EXHIBIT 7

From:	Keith Howell
To:	Patel, Disha R.; Audrey Adu-Appiah; Andrew Guerra; Bret Matera; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller; cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser; King, Jonathan Z.; Matt Oppenheim; Michelle Gomez-Reichman; Nick Hailey; Mandel, Richard; Dannay, Richard; Timothy Chung; Alex Kaplan; Jeff Gould
Cc:	Mehta, Sonal; Gooch, Taylor; Tompros, Louis W.; Holtzblatt, Ari; Haugh, Kyle; Burrell, Robin C.; WH Anthropic-Concord Service; Grubow, Jared V.
Subject:	Re: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40
Date:	Thursday, January 22, 2026 6:39:47 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.jpg image002.png image003.png

EXTERNAL SENDER

Counsel,

Your summary of Publishers' emails remains inaccurate. Our prior communications speak for themselves.

Publishers began analyzing and attempting to replicate Dr. Bray's analysis nearly contemporaneously upon receipt of his opening report. As we've described at length at this point, those efforts have been frustrated by Anthropic's and Dr. Bray's failure to disclose necessary information, misstatements, and attempts to block access to relevant files. We continue to reserve all rights.

Best regards,
Keith

Keith Howell
Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP
4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Direct: 202.845.8403
KHowell@oandzlaw.com | www.oandzlaw.com
Connect with us on LinkedIn

From: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 at 2:14 PM
To: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>, Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>, Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>, Bret Matera

<BMatera@oandzlaw.com>, bpatel@coblentzlaw.com <bpatel@coblentzlaw.com>, Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>, cwiener@coblentzlaw.com <cwiener@coblentzlaw.com>, ef-jgk@cpdb.com <ef-jgk@cpdb.com>, ef-tah@cpdb.com <ef-tah@cpdb.com>, Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>, King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>, Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>, Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>, Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>, Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>, Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>, Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>, Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>, Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>, Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>, Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>, Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>, Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>, Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>, WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>, Grubow, Jared V. <Jared.Grubow@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

Counsel,

We understand Publishers' position to be that non-privileged information about Mr. Dennis's selection of which subset of records to analyze and his basis for only reviewing a subset of documents exists, but that Publishers are declining to disclose that information to Anthropic. We reserve all rights.

It was the Court – not Anthropic – that set the parameters for exporting records from the 5 Million Sample, and for all of the reasons we have explained, Publishers' request fell outside the permissible bounds of the Court's Order. Your understanding of the review tools and programs used by Dr. Bray continues to be incorrect and we've made our position clear. What is your basis for your statements in your 1/21 email regarding the SQL database?

Your continued suggestions that Publishers have been prejudiced are also without merit, as Publishers chose to delay in making their request to Lighthouse. When did you first request a virtual machine from Lighthouse?

Best,
Disha

From: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 12:19 PM
To: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>; Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>; Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>; Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>;

cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>; King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>; Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>; Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>; Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>; Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>; Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>; Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>; Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>; Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>; Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>; Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>; Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>; Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>; Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>; WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: Re: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

EXTERNAL SENDER

Counsel,

That is not an accurate summary of our prior responses.

Regarding Anthropic unilaterally blocking Publishers' access to the Sample, as I explained in my January 14th email, we understand that Anthropic ordered LightHouse not to comply with Publishers' export request. You have sent multiple emails since then, none of which dispute that understanding.

Further, we have confirmed that your prior representation that "Dr. Bray worked *within* the Relativity system" to perform his analysis is false. The SQL database is separate and apart from Relativity. Anthropic's misstatements regarding the analysis Dr. Bray performed—and Dr. Bray's failure to describe that analysis in sufficient detail—have resulted in further delay and prejudice to Publishers. We continue to reserve all rights.

Best regards,
Keith

Keith Howell
Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP
4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Direct: 202.845.8403
KHowell@oandzlaw.com | www.oandzlaw.com
Connect with us on LinkedIn

From: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 at 1:39 PM
To: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>, Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>, Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>, Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>, bpatel@coblentzlaw.com <bpatel@coblentzlaw.com>, Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>, cwiener@coblentzlaw.com <cwiener@coblentzlaw.com>, ef-jgk@cpdb.com <ef-jgk@cpdb.com>, ef-tah@cpdb.com <ef-tah@cpdb.com>, Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>, King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>, Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>, Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>, Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>, Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>, Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>, Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>, Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>, Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>, Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>, Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>, Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>, Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>, Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>, WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

Counsel,

We have still not received your response to the below. Is it Publishers' position that all information regarding Mr. Dennis's selection of which subset of records to analyze and his basis for only reviewing a subset of documents provided by Anthropic is privileged?

Best,
Disha

From: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2026 9:19 AM
To: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>; Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>; Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>; Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>; cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>; King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>; Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>; Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>; Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>; Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>; Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>; Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>; Alex Kaplan

<alex@oandzlaw.com>; Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>; Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>; Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>; Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>; Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>; Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>; WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

Keith,

Is it Publishers' position that all information regarding Mr. Dennis's selection of which subset of records to analyze and his basis for only reviewing a subset of documents provided by Anthropic is privileged?

Regarding Dr. Bray's report – Publishers' contention that exporting 5 million records from a sample of 5 million is "reasonable" and "proportional" is not persuasive. Any attempt by Publishers to export this volume of records is clearly circumventing the Court's order. Anthropic has not only provided you with a Lighthouse team, but it has also directed you to the specific request you can make from that team – a request for a virtual machine. Further, your statement that "Dr. Bray's SQL database is separate from the information produced to Publishers to date . . . Anthropic has continued to refuse to produce the SQL database relied upon by Dr. Bray containing these metadata fields. . . .Dr. Bray further had access to prompts and outputs sufficient to allow him to submit those records to Claude for further analysis" is false. This SQL database is, and has always been, available to Publishers via Publishers' separate Lighthouse team. To the extent Publishers chose not to request it, that was Publishers' decision. You've had the ability to make this request for months, and certainly long before Dr. Bray served his opening report. To repeat ourselves, the SQL tool used by Dr. Bray was provided **by the Lighthouse team** and has been equally available to Publishers since long before opening reports were served.

If you contend that you requested a virtual machine and/or SQL database through Lighthouse for your team's use and Lighthouse refused, please specify the exact date upon which you made the request, when that request was denied, and what reason Lighthouse gave in denying your request.

To be clear, Publishers have no basis to serve a supplemental rebuttal to Dr. Bray's analysis, or to seek exclusion of Dr. Bray's analysis, and we certainly do not agree to provide Publishers with an extension. Any prejudice Publishers claim they have suffered has been a result of their own conduct and delay.

Best,
Disha

From: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2026 4:23 PM

To: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>; Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>; Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>; Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>; cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>; King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>; Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>; Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>; Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>; Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>; Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>; Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>; Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>; Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>; Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>; Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>; Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>; Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>; Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>; WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: Re: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

EXTERNAL SENDER

Counsel,

Your characterization of the Court's Order at Dkt. 407 is inaccurate. First, the order expressly contemplates "export[s]" of data from the Sample that are distinct from having the documents "formally produced." Dkt. 407 at 4.

Second, while the Order limits exports or formal productions to a "reasonable, proportional number," Publishers' export complied with that restriction. Dr. Bray purports to have analyzed the full Sample, putting all 5 million records at issue, and metadata from those records are reasonable and necessary to respond to his analysis. Moreover, LightHouse informed Publishers that the type of analysis performed by Dr. Bray cannot be completed within the existing Relativity environment. As such, an export of only certain metadata fields relating to the Sample is proportional and reasonably necessary to allow Publishers to respond to Dr. Bray's analysis.

Third, while the Order entitles Anthropic "to know the number, but not any details, of the produced records," nothing in the Order gives Anthropic the ability to unilaterally block Publisher's access to records in the Sample. However, we understand from LightHouse that Anthropic has done just that, ordering LightHouse not to comply with Publishers' request.

Finally, your suggestion that Publishers can simply use "review tools and programs" provided

by LightHouse to perform the analysis in Relativity is belied by both LightHouse's own statements and Dr. Bray's opening report. Dr. Bray's report and exhibits make clear that his regular expressions were not run in Relativity. In particular, he queried a separate SQL database, which contained fields entitled "LastPrompt" and "LastOutput." *See, e.g.*, Dr. Bray Opening Report at Table A, Table C. These are not metadata fields provided through Relativity, indicating Dr. Bray's SQL database is separate from the information produced to Publisher to date. Despite this, Anthropic has continued to refuse to produce the SQL database relied upon by Dr. Bray containing these metadata fields, forcing Publishers to attempt to repeat the data extraction apparently performed by Dr. Bray. Dr. Bray further had access to prompts and outputs sufficient to allow him to submit those records to Claude for further analysis. *See, e.g.*, Dr. Bray Opening Report at 30; *see also id*. at Table F. Anthropic appears to be attempting to block Publishers from having access to the Sample on an equal footing with Anthropic's expert.

Anthropic's failure to timely produce supporting materials for Dr. Bray, its refusal to produce other supporting materials entirely, and now its unilateral interference with Publishers' access to the Sample has greatly prejudiced Publishers' ability to respond to Dr. Bray's opening report on the current schedule. Publishers reserve all rights, including, given Anthropic's discovery failures and obstruction described above, the right to serve a supplemental opposition to Dr. Bray's analysis after the January 23rd deadline and/or to seek exclusion of Dr. Bray's opinions.

Finally, regarding the records referenced by Mr. Dennis in his opening report, your description of Mr. Dennis' analysis is incorrect, and Publishers have already fully responded to Anthropic's question. It is unclear what additional, non-privileged information Anthropic seeks. As Anthropic has known since the Dennis report was served, these documents were provided to Mr. Dennis by counsel. Anthropic has those documents, as well as access to the full Sample. Accordingly, Anthropic has all of the information it needs to respond to Mr. Dennis' opening report.

Best regards,
Keith

Keith Howell
Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP
4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Direct: 202.845.8403
KHowell@oandzlaw.com | www.oandzlaw.com
Connect with us on LinkedIn

From: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Date: Monday, January 12, 2026 at 10:52 AM
To: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>, Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>, Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>, Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>, bpatel@coblentzlaw.com <bpatel@coblentzlaw.com>, Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>, cwiener@coblentzlaw.com <cwiener@coblentzlaw.com>, ef-jgk@cpdb.com <ef-jgk@cpdb.com>, ef-tah@cpdb.com <ef-tah@cpdb.com>, Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>, King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>, Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>, Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>, Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>, Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>, Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>, Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>, Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>, Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>, Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>, Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>, Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>, Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>, Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>, WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

Counsel,

We direct you to the Court's Order at Dkt. 407 - Publishers are not permitted to export these records outside of the formal production procedure of prompts and outputs set forth in Dkt. 407, which is limited to a "reasonable, proportional number." Contrary to your suggestion, and as provided by the Court's order, Publishers are permitted to use "review tools and programs" that can be provided by the vendor (as Dr. Bray has done), without the export or downloading of the files, such as, for example, use of a virtual machine. We expect that Publishers will not attempt to circumvent the Court's order again.

Additionally, your understanding of the Claude outputs referenced in Dr. Bray's report continues to be incorrect. We've already explained this reference in Dr. Bray's report (*see* our 1/8 email) and have also agreed to produce the results Dr. Bray received from Claude. You have what you need to respond to Dr. Bray's analysis.

Regarding Mr. Dennis's report, that the records "were provided to Mr. Dennis by counsel" is not a sufficient explanation to the questions we have posed. Mr. Dennis has not disclosed any explanation regarding why, for example, only 6,849 records were chosen out of 5 million for him to analyze, nor does he explain the criteria for such selection. We reiterate our request that Publishers promptly produce the information that established the basis for Mr. Dennis's consideration of specific records for his analysis.

Best,
Disha

From: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 11, 2026 10:09 PM
To: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>; Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>; Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>; Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>; Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>; cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>; King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>; Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>; Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>; Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>; Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>; Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>; Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>; Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>; Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>; Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>; WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>; Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>; Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>; Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: Re: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

EXTERNAL SENDER

Counsel,

As we've explained before, the documents Publishers have been seeking for nearly two weeks are documents Dr. Bray explicitly relied upon or that are necessary to assess his performance of the analysis disclosed in his opening report, which appears in at least one instance to include a basic error. While you claim Publishers could have reconstructed these documents that Anthropic was required to provide on December 23rd, materials like the Claude outputs Dr. Bray relied upon simply cannot be reconstructed by Publishers. To reconstruct the portions of Dr. Bray's analysis that can be reconstructed, however, Publishers would be required to export the extracted text relating to the Sample, which we will move forward with in light of Dr. Bray's analysis and your representations. In any event, Publishers reserve all rights regarding Anthropic's failure to timely produce these materials, including its continued refusal to identify the "false positives" explicitly relied upon by Dr. Bray for opinions in his opening report.

Regarding your new request for materials related to Mr. Dennis's opening report, Anthropic already has what it is requesting. Publishers provided extensive supporting materials with Mr.

Dennis' opening report, including nearly 600 pages of exhibits. These exhibits disclose many of the same categories of information Anthropic forced Publishers to pursue over the past several weeks, including material responsive to the requests you now raise. Publishers also timely provided additional backup materials relating to Mr. Dennis' report on December 23rd. Besides requesting an exhibit in a different format, to which Publishers timely responded, Anthropic raised no issues with the Dennis supporting materials for 17 days. Only after multiple emails raising Anthropic's failure to timely produce supporting materials for Dr. Bray did Anthropic send its current requests, which all seek material already in Anthropic's possession.

In any event, to clarify that the material Anthropic seeks has already been provided, Publishers make the following disclosures:

- The record excluded from Exhibit 4G can be identified by reference to the Prompt Date column in Exhibit 4;
- The records excluded from Exhibit 5G can be identified by reference to the Prompt Date column in Exhibit 5;
- The blank records excluded by Mr. Dennis are identified in footnote 82; and
- As noted in footnotes 5 and 13 and paragraph 55, the records you reference were provided to Mr. Dennis by counsel.

We trust this resolves Anthropic's belated requests.

Best regards,
Keith

Keith Howell
Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP
4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Direct: 202.845.8403
KHowell@oandzlaw.com | www.oandzlaw.com
Connect with us on LinkedIn

From: Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Date: Thursday, January 8, 2026 at 4:15 PM
To: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>, Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>, Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>, Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>, Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>, bpatel@coblentzlaw.com <bpatel@coblentzlaw.com>, Corey Miller

<Corey@oandzlaw.com>, cwiener@coblentzlaw.com <cwiener@coblentzlaw.com>, ef-jgk@cpdb.com <ef-jgk@cpdb.com>, ef-tah@cpdb.com <ef-tah@cpdb.com>, Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>, King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>, Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>, Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>, Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>, Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>, Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>, Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>, Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>, Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>, Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>, WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>, Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>, Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>, Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

Counsel,

It appears Publishers have failed to disclose several critical pieces of information from Mr. Dennis's Opening Report. First, Mr. Dennis states that he was provided with 851 Work-in-Suit Records, 6,849 Sample Song Records, and 65 Employee Records. *See* Dennis Opening Rpt. at pg. 6 f.n. 5; pg. 9 f.n. 13; pg. 26, paragraph 55. Mr. Dennis provides *no explanation* for how these records were chosen for him, what criteria was utilized, what sorts of records were excluded from his analysis, and the basis for such exclusion. Please produce the information that established the basis for Mr. Dennis's consideration of specific records for his analysis, no later than EOD tomorrow (1/9).

Second, Mr. Dennis states he excluded 1 record for which there was no date in the prompt from Exhibit 4G (pg. 63, f.n. 140) and 61 conversations for which there was no prompt date available from Exhibit 5G (pg. 102, f.n. 203), but he does not identify which records he excluded. Please identify the excluded records no later than EOD tomorrow (1/9).

Finally, Mr. Dennis states he excluded blank records (pgs. 28-29, paragraph 66) from his analysis, but he does not provide a list complete of such records. He only provides one excerpted example in paragraph 65. Please provide a complete list of the records that Mr. Dennis classified as blank and therefore excluded no later than EOD tomorrow (1/9).

Regarding your correspondence discussing Dr. Bray's report - Anthropic has fulfilled its obligations and Publishers' requests are nothing more than an attempt to ask Anthropic to do additional work – work that is not required by the parties' agreed upon guidelines for expert discovery. Publishers have all the information they need to reconstruct Dr. Bray's work. If Publishers have chosen not to undertake the effort to reconstruct this work over the last several weeks, they have done so at their own peril. Any prejudice Publishers claim they have suffered has been a result of their own conduct.

Nonetheless, in an effort to resolve this dispute efficiently, Anthropic agrees to produce the following, in addition to what we have already agreed to produce. *See* R. Burrell Jan. 5, 2026 Email. We expect to produce these materials this week.

- The results Dr. Bray received from Claude (discussed in paragraph 105 (Question 1) and 125 (Question 3));
- Identification of the files Dr. Bray excluded from his analysis (discussed in paragraphs 61-93);
- 863 records (discussed in paragraph 104, Question 1); and
- 322 records (discussed in paragraph 124, Question 3)

Your request for "copies of [the] databases" that Dr. Bray used does not make sense. We've already explained that, consistent with the Court's order regarding the production of the 5-million sample, Dkt. 407 at 4, Anthropic provided a separate vendor team that Publishers could use and that vendor team is permitted to provide "additional review tools or programs." Dkt. 407 at 4. Dr. Bray worked *within* the Relativity system and utilized "tools or programs" provided by Anthropic's vendor team to run his regular expressions. Publishers' experts are free to do the same – but they cannot expect Anthropic to do this work for them. For avoidance of doubt, Dr Bray did not have a separate database – he had access to the same database that Publishers have access to.

Additionally, you request identification of the "false positives" referenced in Paragraph 111 of Dr. Bray's report. Dr. Bray explicitly stated that he observed false positives but did not refine his regular expression further. You have Dr. Bray's regular expressions within his report, the code that he ran to generate results from those regular expressions, and now you will have the results he received from his use of Claude. You have all the information you need to identify any "false positives" within Dr. Bray's results. We trust this resolves your concerns.

Best,
Disha

From: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 10:27 AM
To: Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>; Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>; Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>; Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>; cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>; King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>; Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>; Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>; Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>; Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>; Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>; Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>; Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>; Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>; Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>; WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>; Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>;

Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>; Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>; Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: Re: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

EXTERNAL SENDER

Counsel,

Thank you for agreeing to provide additional materials relating to Dr. Bray's opening report. Please confirm Anthropic will provide those materials as soon as possible, and in any event no later than EOD tomorrow.

However, Anthropic still has not complied with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Expert Discovery Order (ECF No. 546). Dr. Bray explicitly relied upon additional "documents, data or other information" in forming his opinions. *Id*. Under the Expert Discovery Order, those additional materials must be provided to Publishers. As noted in my original email, Dr. Bray generated characterizations by Claude reflecting whether prompts in the sample sought reproduction of lyrics, and whether outputs in the sample in fact regurgitated lyrics. *See, e.g.*, Table F. Dr. Bray explicitly relied on these Claude characterizations in forming his opinions. *See, e.g.*, Dr. Bray Opening Report at ¶¶104-110 (modifying his opinion on the number of requests that call for lyrics from 863 files to 1029 files after considering the Claude characterizations). As explained in my original email, these specific characterizations are not replicable by Publishers. Your email simply ignores this request for relevant and required support materials. Dr. Bray's report also references other data relied upon by Dr. Bray, including the SQL databases referenced in Tables A-H ("AnthropicSandbox" and "DocumentSandbox"). Please provide copies of these databases Dr. Bray explicitly relies upon and that are necessary to replicate his analysis.

Additionally, Anthropic has now agreed to identify the prompts and outputs Dr. Bray opines are responsive to each of his first four "research questions." For the same reasons, Anthropic should do the same for the prompts and outputs Dr. Bray excluded from his analysis, as requested in my original email. Dr. Bray quantifies the number of records he excluded under each category, showing Anthropic readily possesses a list of the files falling into each category. *See, e.g.*, Dr. Bray Opening Report at ¶67 (noting Dr. Bray excluded 1,693,579 documents he claims are "Non-English Files," which leaves "3,306,421 English files"). Anthropic should do the same for the 863 files Dr. Bray opines are responsive to Question 1 under his original analysis (*id*. at ¶104) and the 322 files Dr. Bray opines are responsive to Question 3 under his original analysis (*id*.at 124). Again, your email simply ignores these relevant requests.

Publishers also requested identification of the "false positive" documents referenced by Dr. Bray in paragraph 111. To the extent Anthropic still intends to have Dr. Bray offer this opinion in this case, this material must be identified to allow for adequate cross-examination. You again simply ignored the request.

Please confirm whether Anthropic or Dr. Bray maintained the material discussed above, including the Claude characterizations. Assuming so, please produce the requested materials immediately, and in any event no later than EOD tomorrow. Anthropic's deadline to provide these materials was over two weeks ago. Any further delay will only continue to prejudice Publishers' ability to response to Dr. Bray's report.

Best regards,
Keith

Keith Howell
Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP
4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Direct: 202.845.8403
KHowell@oandzlaw.com | www.oandzlaw.com
Connect with us on LinkedIn

From: Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>
Date: Monday, January 5, 2026 at 9:37 AM
To: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>, Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-Appiah@oandzlaw.com>, Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>, Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>, bpatel@coblentzlaw.com <bpatel@coblentzlaw.com>, Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>, cwiener@coblentzlaw.com <cwiener@coblentzlaw.com>, ef-jgk@cpdb.com <ef-jgk@cpdb.com>, ef-tah@cpdb.com <ef-tah@cpdb.com>, Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>, King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>, Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>, Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>, Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>, Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>, Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>, Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>, Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>, Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>, Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>, WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>, Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>, Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>, Haugh, Kyle

<Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>, Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40
Counsel,

Anthropic has fully complied with the Stipulation and Order as Modified Regarding the Scope of Expert Reports (Dkt. 546), and did so under the agreed upon timeframe. Under that stipulation, the parties were required to provide the "coding or other information sufficient to reconstruct the work, calculations, and/or analyses upon which the Expert witness is relying." Dkt. 546 ¶ 8.

Dr. Bray's report discloses the coding that allows Publishers to reconstruct the work that Dr. Bray did. Publishers' contention that Anthropic has "not produced the Sample to Plaintiffs in a manner sufficient to allow Plaintiffs to replicate these regular expressions" is simply incorrect. Consistent with the Court's order regarding the production of the 5-million sample, Dkt. 407 at 4, Anthropic provided a separate vendor team that Publishers could use and that vendor team is permitted to provide "additional review tools or programs." Dkt. 407 at 4. Dr. Bray utilized "tools or programs" provided by Anthropic's vendor team to run his regular expressions. Publishers' experts are free to do the same.

Nonetheless, Anthropic can agree to provide information beyond that which is required under Dkt. 546, and can provide spreadsheets identifying the document IDs for the following:

- The 46,000 records identified in Paragraph 35
- The 1029 records identified in Paragraph 40, Question 1
- The 138 prompts identified in Paragraph 40, Question 2
- The 325 outputs identified in Paragraph 40, Question 3
- The 87 outputs identified in Paragraph 40, Question 4
- The 91,000 unique n-grams identified in Paragraph 130

Finally, Anthropic confirms the bates range identified below comprises the metadata spreadsheets referenced in Exhibit B. Each deposition testimony and document filed in the case that Dr. Bray considered is set forth in Exhibit B attached to his report; those are not "unidentified" as you claim.

Anthropic has fully complied with its obligations under the stipulation, and disagrees that Publishers' ability to respond within the agreed-upon timeframe is in any way prejudiced.

Best,
Robin

From: Keith Howell <KHowell@oandzlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2025 3:48 PM
To: Hanhan, Melinda <Melinda.Hanhan@wilmerhale.com>; Audrey Adu-Appiah <AAdu-

Appiah@oandzlaw.com>; Andrew Guerra <Andrew@oandzlaw.com>; Bret Matera <BMatera@oandzlaw.com>; bpatel@coblentzlaw.com; Corey Miller <Corey@oandzlaw.com>; cwiener@coblentzlaw.com; ef-jgk@cpdb.com; ef-tah@cpdb.com; Jennifer Pariser <JPariser@oandzlaw.com>; King, Jonathan Z. <jzk@cll.com>; Matt Oppenheim <Matt@oandzlaw.com>; Michelle Gomez-Reichman <MGomez-Reichman@oandzlaw.com>; Nick Hailey <Nick@oandzlaw.com>; Mandel, Richard <rsm@cll.com>; Dannay, Richard <rxd@cll.com>; Timothy Chung <tchung@oandzlaw.com>; Alex Kaplan <alex@oandzlaw.com>; Jeff Gould <Jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Que, Allison <Allison.Que@wilmerhale.com>; Luo, Christina <Christina.Luo@wilmerhale.com>; lwanthropiclitigation.lwteam@lw.com; Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>; Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>; Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>; WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>; Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>; Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>; Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>; Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: Re: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

EXTERNAL SENDER

Counsel,

I write regarding Anthropic's failure to produce the documents, data, and other information relied upon by Dr. Andrew Bray in forming the opinions contained in his opening report, in violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)(ii) and the Court's Stipulation and Order as Modified Regarding Scope of Expert Reports (ECF No. 546, "Expert Discovery Order").

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), Dr. Bray was required to include in his report "a complete statement of all opinions the witness will express and the basis and reasons for them," along with "the facts or data considered by the witness in forming them." Further, under the Expert Discovery Order, Anthropic was obligated to provide "the documents, data or other information relied upon by the Expert witness in forming the Expert witness's opinions," along with "any final work product (including but not limited to, analyses, spreadsheets, graphs, and charts) relied upon by the Expert witness." The deadline for Anthropic to comply with the Expert Discovery Order was more than a week ago, on December 23, 2025.

Contrary to Dr. Bray's and Anthropic's obligations, Anthropic has failed to produce a complete statement of Dr. Bray's opinions and all facts or data considered by Dr. Bray in forming his opinions. For example, Dr. Bray claims to have identified 1,029 prompts from the Sample that contain direct requests for lyrics to songs. Opening Report at ¶110. But Dr. Bray's Opening Report fails to identify any of these 1,029 prompts. Dr. Bray has disclosed certain regular

expressions relating to each of his "research questions." But Anthropic has not produced the Sample to Plaintiffs in a manner sufficient to allow Plaintiffs to replicate these regular expressions. Additionally, without confirmation of what documents Dr. Bray believes are responsive to each of his "research questions," Plaintiffs cannot determine whether Dr. Bray correctly applied his regular expressions. Dr. Bray further relied on Claude outputs characterizing prompts and outputs in the Sample in order to refine his regular expressions, but Anthropic does not appear to have produced these Claude characterizations. Again, Anthropic has not produced the Sample in a manner sufficient to allow Plaintiffs to replicate Dr. Bray's process of submitting prompts and outputs to Claude for characterization, and even if Anthropic had, there is no guarantee Plaintiffs would receive the same outputs relied upon by Dr. Bray in response to the same queries. Dr. Bray's Opening Report also explicitly relies on information that was not produced in discovery and identified by a Bates number, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under the Expert Discovery Order. *See* ECF No. 546, ¶9. For example, Dr. Bray explicitly relies on unidentified "[m]etadata spreadsheets representing all of the prompt/output records for Claude spanning September22, 2023 through March 22, 2024" and "[m]etadata spreadsheets representing the Sample." Opening Report, at Ex. B (Materials Considered).

Accordingly, Plaintiffs' hereby request that Anthropic immediately mitigate its failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Expert Discovery Order and produce a complete statement of Dr. Bray's opinions and all facts and data considered by Dr. Bray in forming his opinions, including at least the following:

- Data reflecting all "last prompt/output pairs" on which Dr. Bray bases his opinions, and information sufficient to tie each purported pair to a document from the Sample (*Id*. ¶54-60);
- Identification of each "last prompt/output pair" from the Sample that Dr. Bray contends relates to each of his "research questions," including, for example, the 863 "last prompt/output pairs" from the Sample Dr. Bray contends contain prompts seeking lyrics under his initial approach to "research question" 1, and the 1,029 "last prompt/output pairs" from the Sample Dr. Bray contends contain prompts seeking lyrics under his revised approach to question 1. (*Id*. ¶¶ 104, 110);
- Identification of the "last prompt/output pairs" from the Sample that Dr. Bray excluded from his analysis under each exception before arriving at the "Adjusted Sample" (*Id*.¶¶40, 61-93);
- Identification of the "approximately 46,000 files" for which Dr. Bray queried Claude as part of his sanity "check[s]" (*Id*. ¶35);
- The outputs from Dr. Bray's Claude sanity "check[s]," which Dr. Bray explicitly relied upon to refine his process for responding to multiple "research questions" (*Id*. ¶¶34, 105-108);

- Identification of the "false positive" documents on which Dr. Bray explicitly relies in concluding that his approach is "overinclusive" (¶111), along with any other "false positives" or "false negatives" Dr. Bray may testify regarding;
- The "approximately 91,000 unique n-grams" on which Dr. Bray relies to compare the Sample to Plaintiffs' Works-in-Suit (*Id*. ¶130);
- Identification of all individuals, consistent with the Expert Discovery Order, who provided information on which Dr. Bray relied in forming his opinions, including the "two [unidentified] members of Anthropic's data science team" referenced in paragraph 17; and
- Identification of all other materials Dr. Bray relied upon in forming his opinions, including the unidentified "deposition testimony and documents filed in this case" referenced in paragraph 149.

To the extent Anthropic claims any of the above has already been produced or disclosed, please identify the corresponding Bates number or the portion of Dr. Bray's Opening Report comprising that requested category.

Please also confirm that Anthropic_0000598409-Anthropic_0000598422 comprise the "[m]etadata spreadsheets representing all of the prompt/output records for Claude spanning September22, 2023 through March 22, 2024" and "[m]etadata spreadsheets representing the Sample," referenced in Dr. Bray's Exhibit B.

Anthropic's failure to comply with its discovery obligations has already prejudiced Plaintiffs' ability to respond to Dr. Bray's report by the January 23rd deadline, and any further delay will only compound that prejudice. Accordingly, please confirm Anthropic will remedy its failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Expert Discovery Order as soon as possible, and in no event later than EOD Friday, January 2.

Plaintiffs reserve all rights regarding Anthropic's and Dr. Bray's failure to disclosure, including the right to seek to preclude Dr. Bray's opinions in whole or in part due to Anthropic's and Dr. Bray's failure to make their required disclosures in a timely manner.

Best regards,
Keith

Keith Howell
Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP
4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Direct: 202.845.8403

KHowell@oandzlaw.com | www.oandzlaw.com
Connect with us on LinkedIn

From: Hanhan, Melinda <Melinda.Hanhan@wilmerhale.com>
Date: Tuesday, December 23, 2025 at 4:32 PM
To: aadu-appiah@oandzlaw.com <aadu-appiah@oandzlaw.com>, andrew@oandzlaw.com <andrew@oandzlaw.com>, bmatera@oandzlaw.com <bmatera@oandzlaw.com>, bpatel@coblentzlaw.com <bpatel@coblentzlaw.com>, corey@oandzlaw.com <corey@oandzlaw.com>, cwiener@coblentzlaw.com <cwiener@coblentzlaw.com>, ef-jgk@cpdb.com <ef-jgk@cpdb.com>, ef-tah@cpdb.com <ef-tah@cpdb.com>, jpariser@oandzlaw.com <jpariser@oandzlaw.com>, jzk@cll.com <jzk@cll.com>, matt@oandzlaw.com <matt@oandzlaw.com>, mgomez-reichman@oandzlaw.com <mgomez-reichman@oandzlaw.com>, nick@oandzlaw.com <nick@oandzlaw.com>, rsm@cll.com <rsm@cll.com>, rxd@cll.com <rxd@cll.com>, tchung@oandzlaw.com <tchung@oandzlaw.com>, alex@oandzlaw.com <alex@oandzlaw.com>, jeff@oandzlaw.com <jeff@oandzlaw.com>
Cc: Que, Allison <Allison.Que@wilmerhale.com>, Luo, Christina <Christina.Luo@wilmerhale.com>, lwanthropiclitigation.lwteam@lw.com <lwanthropiclitigation.lwteam@lw.com>, Burrell, Robin C. <Robin.Burrell@wilmerhale.com>, Mehta, Sonal <Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com>, Gooch, Taylor <Taylor.Gooch@wilmerhale.com>, WH Anthropic-Concord Service <WHAnthropic-ConcordService@wilmerhale.com>, Tompros, Louis W. <Louis.Tompros@wilmerhale.com>, Holtzblatt, Ari <Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com>, Haugh, Kyle <Kyle.Haugh@wilmerhale.com>, Patel, Disha R. <Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: Concord Music Group, Inc., et al. v. Anthropic PBC. (No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK) – Anthropic Production Vols. 39-40

Counsel,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Regards,

Melinda

Melinda Hanhan | WilmerHale
She/Her
Paralegal

2600 El Camino Real
Suite 400
Palo Alto, CA 94306 USA
+1 650 600 5144 (t)
+1 650 858 6100 (f)
melinda.hanhan@wilmerhale.com

One Firm. One Legacy.

This email message and any attachments are being sent by Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately—by replying to this message or by sending an email to postmaster@wilmerhale.com—and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Thank you.

For more information about WilmerHale, please visit us at https://www.wilmerhale.com.