EXHIBIT 2

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CONCORD MUSIC GROUP, INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs,
-against- Case No: 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK
ANTHROPIC PBC,
Defendant.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of ROBERT LEONARD, taken by the Defendant, pursuant to Notice, held via ZOOM, on February 27, 2026, at 10:29 a.m., before a Notary Public of the State of New York.

2

A P P E A R A N C E S:

OPPENHEIM & ZEBRAK, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
461 5th Avenue, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10017
BY: ANDREW GUERRA, ESQ.

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, California 94306
BY: SONAL MEHTA, ESQ.
LAKSHMI C. REDDY, ESQ.

ALSO PRESENT:
RAECHEL MEYEROWICH- Remote Tech
JEAN-LOUIS ZIESCH- Videographer
KARL JOHNSTON-Anthropic

3

INDEX

WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
Robert Leonard	Sonal Mehta	6

EXHIBITS

LEONARD	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 1	Robert a. Leonard, Ph.D.'s, Curriculum Vitae	12
Exhibit 2	Flint Ai Authorship Determination Printout	24
Exhibit 3	Expert Report of Dr. Robert Leonard	46
Exhibit 4	Reply Expert Report of Dr. Robert Leonard	76
Exhibit 5	Declaration of Dr. Robert Leonard in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion For Preliminary Injunction	93
Exhibit 6	Appendix E	95
Exhibit 7	Plaintiffs_0000009754	177

4

THE REMOTE TECH: Thank you to everyone for attending this proceeding remotely, which we anticipate will run smoothly. Please remember to speak slowly and do your best not to talk over one another. Please be aware that we are recording this proceeding for backup purposes.

Any off-the-record discussion should be had away from the computer. Please remember to mute your mic for those conversations.

If you are unable to connect with video and are connecting via phone, please identify yourself each time before speaking. I apologize in advance for any technical-related interruptions. Thank you.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of Media Number 1 of the videotaped deposition of Dr. Robert Leonard in the matter of Concord Music, et al., versus Anthropic, et al., in the US District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, case No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK.

Today's date is February 27, 2026. The time on the video monitor is 10:29 a.m. Eastern Standard Time. The certified remote

5

videographer today is Jean-Louis Ziesch, representing Planet Depo. All parties of this video deposition are attending remotely.

Will counsel please identify yourself and state whom you represent.

MS. MEHTA: Good morning. Sonal Mehta from WilmerHale on behalf of the defendant, Anthropic. With me is my colleague, Lakshmi Reddy. And I believe the inhouse counsel at Anthropic, Karl Johnston, is also on the line.

MR. GUERRA: Andrew Guerra of Oppenheim + Zebrak on behalf of the plaintiffs.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Brooke Perry, representing Planet Depo.

The witness will now be sworn.

R O B E R T L E O N A R D, the witness herein, having been first duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows:

THE REPORTER: Please state your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Robert Leonard.

THE REPORTER: Please state your address for the record.

THE WITNESS: 51 Lake Avenue, Mill Neck, New York 11765.

6

EXAMINATION BY
MS. MEHTA:

Q. Good morning, Dr. Leonard.
A. Good morning. How are you?
Q. I'm good. How are you?
A. Good.
Q. How many times have you been deposed, sir?
A. I'm not sure. Around 30.
Q. Okay. So you're familiar --
A. Yeah. I could count it up if you want.
Q. No. I just wanted to confirm you're familiar with the process.
A. Somewhat.
Q. Is there anything -- well, let me start with this: You understand that you're testifying under oath today, just as you would before the judge and the jury in the courtroom in San Jose?
A. I do.
Q. Is there anything that would prevent you from proving your most truthful and accurate testimony here today?
A. No.
Q. We are doing this by Zoom, so it's going to be very important that we both work hard to not talk over each other. I'm going to do my best not to interrupt your answers, and I'll ask you to do the same with respect to my questions, okay?

7

A. Yes.
Q. If at any point you have any question about my question or you are not sure what I'm asking, please ask me for clarification, okay?
A. Yes.
Q. And if I don't receive a request for clarification, I'm going to assume that you understood the question, and you're providing your best testimony and response, fair?
A. Yes.
Q. We'll do breaks about every hour, hour and a half. If you need a break in less time than that, let me know, and I will finish up that line of questioning, and then we'll take a break, okay?
A. Very good.
Q. You -- when were you first engaged for this matter?
A. I believe it was 2023.
Q. Okay. And about how much time total have you spent working on the matter since you were first engaged?
A. I really -- I really don't know.

8

Q. 12 hours? 25 hours? Hundreds of hours? Any estimate?

MR. GUERRA: Object to the form.

A. It certainly wasn't 12 or 25, right, over the years. But I really don't know, off the top of my head.
Q. If the jury wanted to know how much time you'd spent working on this matter and forming the opinions that you formed for this matter, what's your best estimate?

MR. GUERRA: Object to the form.

A. Well, I'd have to look it up.
Q. Is that something you have looked at in preparation for your deposition today?
A. No.
Q. What did you do to prepare for your deposition today?
A. Sorry.
I read through my report and my declaration from 2023 and my report from 2025.
Sorry, I'm a little hoarse. So if I start and stop again, you'll know why.
I met with counsel, Mr. Guerra. I looked at Dr. Lustig's report. I looked at the data. I think that's about it.
Q. About how much time, total, did you spend

17

Q. So maybe you can orient me then on how I should look at this list. So I see page 21, it says "Cases."
And then the first section under that is:
"Qualified as Expert in US Federal District Court."
And what I understand you to mean is your testimony was accepted as expert testimony by the judge in those cases.
A. Yeah, I guess that's a good way of calling it. And -- then the next category is page 25. Are you there?
Q. Go ahead.
A. "Qualified as Expert in State Courts."
Q. And those are cases in which, again, your testimony was accepted by a judge as expert. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then you have, below that, on page 29, other state court testimony, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are cases in which you offered testimony, but it was not accepted as expert testimony by the court?
A. It -- I was allowed to testify, so I presume it was -- especially the 2016, but I always ask the

18

attorneys how should I list this in my CV. And they sort of shrugged and said, I guess, other state court testimony.
Q. And then I see at the top of page 30:
"Testimony before International Tribunals."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are international arbitration proceedings, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And then below that, I see a list of depositions. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are matters in which you offered deposition testimony, but the matter did not get to the point at which your testimony was presented to a court and approved or accepted by the court. Is that right?
A. No, that's not right.
Q. What is -- how would you describe the instances that are depositions, but not previous -- not listed earlier in the CV?
A. But it's not necessarily not listed earlier. I could have been deposed and then testified as well.
Q. I see. So this list of depositions includes

19

all of your depositions, including for matters that only got to the deposition stage, and for matters that also are listed earlier in the CV where you went through to a further proceeding and your testimony was accepted by the Court. Is that fair?
A. That sounds right.
Q. Okay. Now I'm going to have you -- you don't have to read this out loud, obviously, but I'm going to have you scan through the matters on this list.
And the question that I had asked was, in looking at it, I did not see any matters in which you have offered expert testimony, either in a deposition or in a proceeding involving song lyrics.
Could you please confirm that for me?
MR. GUERRA: Objection to form.
A. I don't think that's actually the way you asked me. If that's the question, then I will look at this. Because I've been asked to prepare testimony on hundreds and hundreds of cases. And it would take me a while to think about whether -- I do believe we had ones on song lyrics, but anyway, I will look at this and make sure that what you just said was right, that none of these depositions had to do with song lyrics?
Q. Right. What I'm asking is -- is a precise questions about depositions or other testimony that

20

you've offered in a legal proceeding, and to confirm for me, if you could, that you have never offered deposition testimony or other testimony in a legal proceeding relating to song lyrics.
A. Okay. I'll look.
That's correct.
Q. Have you ever offered deposition testimony or testimony in a legal proceeding relating to copyright allegations involving musical compositions?
MR. GUERRA: Object to the form.
A. No.
Q. Do you use AI tools?
MR. GUERRA: Object to the form.
A. What do you mean?
Q. Do you ever use AI tools in your work, or in your life?
MR. GUERRA: Same objection.
A. Only as far as it's sort of there. And if I'm Googling something, it might come up with AI.
Q. Have you ever used ChatGPT?
A. Probably.
Q. What have you used ChatGPT for?
A. The same kind of thing. If I'm looking for something -- and the university I work for, Hofstra, has a license with ChatGPT, but I can't recall whether I

193

A C K N O W L E D G M E N T

STATE OF NEW YORK)
):ss
COUNTY OF)

I, ROBERT LEONARD, hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony taken under oath in my deposition of the 27th day of February, 2026; that the transcript is a true, complete and correct record of my testimony, and that the answers on the record as given by me are true and correct.

_____
ROBERT LEONARD

Signed and subscribed to before me, this day of , 2026.

_____
Notary Public, State of New York

194

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF QUEENS)

I, BROOKE E. PERRY, a Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That ROBERT LEONARD, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 27th day of February, 2026.

Brooke E. Perry
BROOKE E. PERRY

195

ERRATA SHEET

CASE NAME: CONCORD MUSIC GROUP, INC. V. ANTHROPIC PBC
DATE OF DEPOSITION: February 27, 2026
WITNESS'S NAME: ROBERT LEONARD

PAGE	LINE (S)	CHANGE	REASON

ROBERT LEONARD
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME
THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 20__.
_____ _____
(NOTARY PUBLIC) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

No. 621065

Re: Deposition of **Robert Leonard**
Date: 2/27/2026
Case: Concord Music Group -v- Anthropic
Return to: transcripts@planetdepos.com

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT

I, Robert Leonard, do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct and complete transcription of the testimony given by me and any corrections appear on the attached Errata sheet signed by me.

4/10/2026 Robert Leonard

(Date) (Signature)

No. 621065

Re: Deposition of **Robert Leonard**, Ph.D.
Date: 2/27/2026
Case: Concord Music Group -v- Anthropic
Return to: transcripts@planetdepos.com

Page	Line	Correction/Change and Reason
13	12	Change “steam” to “scheme”; mistranscription
16	13	Change “extension” to “intention”; mistranscription
29	22	Change “I assume” to “I don’t assume”; mistranscription
50	13	Change “Eight” to “Twenty-eight”; mistranscription
78	23	Change “references” to “reference”; mistranscription
83	5	Change “at the time, we were starting” to “at the time were starting,” clarification
91	17	Change “over” to “on”; mistranscription
93	1	Change “ou” to “you”; mistranscription
100	21	Change “shared semantic meaning” to “don’t share semantic meaning”; clarification
106	24	Change “finely” to “finally”; mistranscription
113	23-25	Add “ before “Did somebody” and ” after “no.”; clarification
117	18	Change “get back down that” to “get back to you on that”; mistranscription
120	2-3	Move “ from before “Message” to before “I hope”; clarification
152	3-4	Change “that would” to “or that could”; mistranscription
163	5	Change “than my” to “than what’s in my”; clarification
163	10	Change “Maintenance” to “Plaintiffs”; mistranscription
176	7	Change “perotic” to “parodic”; mistranscription
176	8	Change “perotic” to “parodic”; mistranscription

4/10/2026
(Date)

Robert Leonard
(Signature)