SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086)
sonal.mehta@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Telephone: (650) 858-6000

TAYLOR GOOCH (SBN 294282)
taylor.gooch@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
50 California St.
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (628) 235-1000

JARED V. GRUBOW (*Pro Hac Vice)*
jared.grubow@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
250 Greenwich St
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (212) 230-8800

LOUIS W. TOMPROS (*Pro Hac Vice*)
louis.tompros@wilmerhale.com
DISHA PATEL (*Pro Hac Vice*)
disha.patel@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Telephone: (617) 526-6000

ARI HOLTZBLATT (SBN 354361)
ari.holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com
ROBIN C. BURRELL (*Pro Hac Vice*)
robin.burrell@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
2100 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20037
Telephone: (202) 663-6000

Attorneys for Defendant
ANTHROPIC PBC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

CONCORD MUSIC GROUP, INC., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ANTHROPIC PBC,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ANTHROPIC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendant Anthropic PBC ("Anthropic")'s Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Publishers' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, the materials cited therein, all the pleadings and papers on file in this matter, oral argument, and such other materials and argument as may be presented to this Court at the hearing or otherwise, and for good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Anthropic's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED and Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is DENIED:

1. Judgment is entered in Anthropic's favor on Plaintiffs' claim for direct infringement under the Copyright Act (Count I), including because there is no genuine dispute of material fact that Anthropic's use of Plaintiffs' lyrics to train Claude is fair use.
2. Judgment is entered in Anthropic's favor on Plaintiffs' claim for contributory infringement under the Copyright Act (Count II).
3. Judgment is entered in Anthropic's favor on Plaintiffs' claim for vicarious liability under the Copyright Act (Count III).
4. Judgment is entered in Anthropic's favor on Plaintiffs' claim under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (Count IV).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2026

_____

The Honorable Eumi K. Lee
United States District Court Judge