OPPENHEIM + ZEBRAK, LLP
Matthew J. Oppenheim
Nicholas C. Hailey
Jeffrey M. Gould
Corey Miller
Keith Howell
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
4530 Wisconsin Ave., NW, 5th Floor
Washington, DC 20016
Telephone: (202) 480-2999
matt@oandzlaw.com
nick@oandzlaw.com
jeff@oandzlaw.com
corey@oandzlaw.com
khowell@oandzlaw.com

Alexander Kaplan
Jennifer Pariser
Andrew Guerra
Bret Matera
Timothy Chung
Michelle Gomez-Reichman
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
461 5th Avenue, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 951-1156
alex@oandzlaw.com
jpariser@oandzlaw.com
andrew@oandzlaw.com
bmatera@oandzlaw.com
tchung@oandzlaw.com
mgomez-reichman@oandzlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP
Jeffrey G. Knowles (SBN 129754)
One Montgomery Street, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 391-4800
ef-jgk@cpdb.com

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C.
Richard S. Mandel
Jonathan Z. King
Richard Dannay
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
114 West 47th Street
New York, NY 10036-1525
Telephone: (212) 790-9200
rsm@cll.com
jzk@cll.com
rxd@cll.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CONCORD MUSIC GROUP, INC., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ANTHROPIC PBC,

Defendant.

Case Number: 5:24-cv-03811-EKL-SVK

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSIVE SEPARATE STATEMENT TO ANTHROPIC'S MOVING SEPARATE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

FILED UNDER SEAL

Judge Eumi K. Lee
Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Anthropic's Use Of Lyrics As Inputs To Train Claude Is Fair Use		
Issue 1: The Use of Lyrics as Inputs to Training is Fair Use as Matter of Law	Fact 1: Musician and rapper, Meek Mill, uses Claude to help him understand and manage the administrative aspects of his music career. Ex. 145 (MeekMill (@MeekMill) Twitter (Mar. 23, 2026), https://x.com/MeekMill/status/2036107917095469093)[1]	Disputed. The cited evidence states only that, as of March 23, 2026, "Claude is helping [Meek Mill] organize [his] whole music career and other businesses in days. . . and it's moving [his] business forward at a high rate!"
	Fact 2: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, testified that Anthropic was founded to "form an organization that would focus more fully on safe and beneficial AI development." Kaplan testified that his Anthropic co-founders were "Chris Olah, Jack Clark, Sam McCandlish, Tom Brown, Dario Amodei, and Daniela [Amodei]." Ex. 120 (Kaplan Dep.) at 62:18-71:16	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan testified as quoted.
	Fact 3: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, testified that "the overall view of the founders in 2021, I would say, was strongly against commercialization, and towards the idea that we would effectively never pursue it, and that we might be funded primarily by philanthropists in perpetuity." Ex. 120 (Kaplan Dep.) at 115:11-16	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan testified as quoted.
	Fact 4: Anthropic co-founder and President, Ms. Daniela Amodei, testified "when we first founded the company in 2021, we were	Undisputed that Ms. Amodei testified as quoted.

[1] Unless otherwise specified citations to 'Ex.' are exhibits attached to the Burrell Declaration in support of Anthropic's Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Publishers' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed concurrently herewith.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	primarily focused on research at that time, and we always theorized that had we would have, you know, potential commercial applications for the models down the road." Ex. 111 (Daniela Amodei Dep.) at 120:8-12	
	Fact 5: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Tom Brown, testified that "[i]nitially we weren't sure if we were even going to commercialize anything, and so we had kind of a long soul-searching process." Ex. 106 (Brown Dep.) at 65:10-12	Undisputed that Mr. Brown testified as quoted.
	Fact 6: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Benjamin Mann, testified "So one of Anthropic's principles is what we call the race to the top. Our hope is that by showing that we, as one of the frontier labs, can prioritize both safety and capabilities at the same time, that we can put pressure on our competitors to also prioritize safety." Ex. 124 (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Vol. 2) at 188:18-189:16	Undisputed that Mr. Mann testified as quoted. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted testimony. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic "prioritize[s] both safety and capabilities at the same time." Anthropic has prioritized commercial goals over research and safety goals. **Pls.' Ex. 46** (Dep. Ex. 173, Anthropic_0000443204); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 111** (Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 162:9–164:12 (discussing Dep. Ex. 173); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 157** (Dep. Ex. 237, Anthropic_0000479241) at -242, -245; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 202:14–203:22 (discussing Dep. Ex. 237); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 156** (Dep. Ex. 235, Anthropic_0000215992); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 126** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 125:1–129:7 (discussing Dep. Ex. 235); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 148** (Dep. Ex. 35, Anthropic_0000229152) at -152; **Pls.' Ex. 7** (Turvey 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		109:3–110:18; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 127** (Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 147:17–48:2 ("Q When [Dario Amodei] says 'future deployment,' that's referring to deploying commercial products, correct? A Likely, yes. Q He wants Anthropic's commercial products to [redacted] That's what he writes, correct? A He wrote [redacted] among other things in [Dep. Ex. 170]."); **Pls.' Ex. 43** (Dep. Ex. 170, Anthropic_0000458427) (July 2021 memo authored by Dario Amodei discussing whether Anthropic can "reduce data recitation/solve the copyright problem internal to the model," which "is a problem that's clearly on people's minds in both a commercial and research sense"). Publishers further dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic "prioritize[s] both safety and capabilities at the same time." In February 2026, Anthropic dropped its flagship safety commitment to responsible AI scaling, including because its founders "didn't really feel, with the rapid advance of AI, that it made sense for us to make unilateral commitments . . . if competitors are blazing ahead." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 178** (Billy Perrigo, *Exclusive: Anthropic Drops Flagship Safety Pledge*, TIME (Feb. 24, 2026), https://time.com/7380854/exclusive-anthropic-drops-flagship-safety-pledge/) (quoting interview with Anthropic founder Jared Kaplan); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 179** (ANTHROPIC, *Anthropic's Responsible*

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Scaling Policy: Version 3.0 (Feb. 24, 2026), https://www.anthropic.com/news/responsible-scaling-policy-v3); *but see* **Pls.' Ex. 95** (Dep. Ex. 247, *Transcript: Ezra Klein Interviews Dario Amodei,* N.Y. TIMES (Apr. 12, 2024), https://www.nytimes.com/2024/04/12/podcasts/transcript-ezra-kleininterviews-dario-amodei.html) at -9–10 (Dario Amodei interview discussing importance of responsible AI scaling and commitment to pausing unsafe AI development); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 126** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 45:17–46:22; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 187:11–188:4 ("[W]e've publicly committed to potentially shutting down our models in the future for the right reasons").
	Fact 7: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, testified that Anthropic's status as a public benefit corporation allows it to prioritize its mission above its profit motive. Ex. 120 (Kaplan Dep.) at 106:5-24	Disputed. When asked, "What is a public benefit corporation?", Dr. Kaplan testified, "My general understanding is that a public benefit corporation is a corporation that can have a mission as its highest principle, and that it can legally, operationally, as—as an entity hold its mission as its highest goal above profit motives." But when asked, "Does Anthropic have a formal mission as its highest goal as a public benefit corporation?", Dr. Kaplan testified, "I don't recall." And when asked, "[Y]ou don't know whether Anthropic has a mission as a public benefit corporation?", Dr. Kaplan testified, "I don't recall the legal details of how that is organized or set up." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 120** (Kaplan Dep.) at 106:13–108:10.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 8: Anthropic's certificate of incorporation states "The specific public benefit that the Corporation will promote is to responsibly develop and maintain advanced AI for the cultural, social and technological improvement of humanity." Ex. 88 (Anthropic_0000570401) at -402	Undisputed that Anthropic's certificate of incorporation contains the quoted language.
	Fact 9: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested that Claude is trained to be both helpful and harmless. Dkt. 212-5 ("Kaplan PI Decl.") at ¶¶ 39-41	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested that "Claude is trained to be both helpful and harmless."
	Fact 10: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported: "Text-generative LLMs like Claude are a class of generative AI that are trained on and mimic natural human language in their written outputs." And that "LLMs like Claude analyze the statistical relationship or conditional probability of tokenized words and phrases and are taught to generate written outputs based on preceding context. In the case of LLMs like Claude, the outputs are texts that appear natural and coherent, generated in response to human inputs." Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶ 19	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 11: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "generative AI systems are trained on very large datasets of content according to the form of content they are intended to generate and input they are designed to accept." He also testified "Claude's training appears to be consistent with the general description above." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 23, 55	Undisputed, except that Dr. Zhao did not "testif[y]" as quoted. The quoted language appears in Dr. Zhao's opening expert report. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 55.
	Fact 12: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "LLMs are autoregressive transformers that extract probabilities between words and contextual cues, in order to predict words, phrases and larger pieces of text." Ex. 18 (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶130	Undisputed.
	Fact 13: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested that "LLMs are text-based generative AI models that use deep learning techniques and train on extremely large data sets to understand, summarize, generate, and predict new content." He also attested "Anthropic is an artificial intelligence safety and research company based in San Francisco, California, working to develop generative AI models." Claude was released to the general public in July 2023. Kaplan PI Decl. ¶¶ 6, 7, 8	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested as quoted. Also undisputed that Anthropic is an artificial intelligence company based in San Francisco, CA. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted attestation. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that LLMs "generate[] and predict new content." In the large language model ("LLM") research community, it is still uncertain whether an LLM is even capable of generating "new" content (as opposed to memorizing and regurgitating its training data). Decl. of Ben Zhao ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. (March 23, 2026), ¶¶ 44–47, ECF No. 604 ("Zhao Decl."); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶¶ 26, 46, 91. Disputed that "Claude was released to the general public in July 2023."

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Claude was released to the general public in March 2023, and Claude 2 was released in July 2023. Answer to FAC ¶ 54; **Pls.' Ex. 73** (Dep. Ex. 187, Anthropic_0000349548); *see also* Plaintiffs' Moving Separate Statement ISO Mot. for Summ. J. (March 23, 2026), Facts Nos. 124, 154 (undisputed), ECF No. 594-1 ("MSS").
	Fact 14: Claude has been used for various tasks by pharmaceutical researchers, scientists, and government agencies. Ex. 1 (Altman Report) at ¶¶ 54-116	Disputed only to the extent that the cited evidence (Burrell Decl., Ex. 1) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 640.
	Fact 15: Anthropic co-founder and President, Ms. Daniela Amodei, testified that "Research and Development, Healthcare, and Life Sciences" are among "the most common use cases of Claude." Ex. 111 (Daniela Amodei Dep.) at 116:10-117:2, 154:4-15, 197:8-17	Undisputed that Ms. Amodei testified as quoted.
	Fact 16: A December 2024 report of aggregated insights across a random sample of consumer (i.e., non-business) users' Claude conversations shows that the most popular consumer use cases for Claude were "web and mobile application development assistance" (>10%),"content creation and communication assistance across disciplines" (~9%), "multidisciplinary academic research and writing assistance" (~7%), "education and career development assistance" (~6%), "implement and optimize diverse advanced AI/ML technologies and applications creation" (~6%), "business strategy and operations	Undisputed that the cited Anthropic report contains the quoted language.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	assistance across industries" (~6%), "multilingual N[atural] L[anguage] P[rocessing], translation, and linguistic analysis services" (~4.5%), "DevOps and cloud infrastructure implementation and troubleshooting" (~4%), "digital marketing and SEO optimization assistance" (~4%), and "data analysis, visualization, and management assistance" (~4%). The top ten use cases collectively account for roughly 60% of Claude conversations. Ex. 47 (Anthropic_0000012987) at -994	
	Fact 17: Claude has been used to analyze data for clinical trials and to draft clinical study reports for medical treatments. Ex. 1 (Altman Report) at ¶ 76; Ex. 87 (Anthropic_0000570252) at -253	Disputed only to the extent that the cited evidence (Burrell Decl., Ex. 1) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 640.
	Fact 18: Claude has been applied to help compose lyrics by brainstorming interesting word pairings. Ex. 1 (Altman Report) at ¶ 111; Ex. 98 (Anthropic_0000594977) at -982	Disputed only to the extent that the cited evidence (Burrell Decl., Ex. 1) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 640.

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #1: Anthropic is incorporated as a "public benefit corporation." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 120** (Kaplan Dep.) at 106:5–12; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 88** (Anthropic_0000570401) at -402.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #2:	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	As a public benefit corporation, Anthropic is a for-profit corporation. **Pls.' Ex. 3** (Whittle 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 32:18-20.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #3: As a public benefit corporation, Anthropic is not a non-profit organization. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 120** (Kaplan Dep.) at 106:25–107:10; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 56:7-15.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #4: Anthropic is a for-profit corporation whose certificate of incorporation permits Anthropic to "engage in any lawful act or activity for which corporations may be organized under the Delaware General Corporation Law[.]" **Burrell Decl., Ex. 88** (Anthropic_0000570401) at -402; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 120** (Kaplan Dep.) at 106:25–107:10; **Pls.' Ex. 3** (Whittle 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 32:18–20.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #5: Anthropic's founders began planning to commercialize Anthropic's AI products as early as March 2021. **Pls.' Ex. 43** (Dep. Ex. 170, Anthropic_0000458427, "Brainstorm on What Anthropic Might Release Externally This Year"); **Pls.' Ex. 97** (Dep. Ex. 169, Anthropic_0000444967, "Anthropic Plan and Timeline [WIP]"), at -978; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 120** (Kaplan Dep.) at 114:5–115:16; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 127**	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	(Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 145:14–147:19 (testifying about Pls.' Ex. 43); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 164** (Anthropic_0000444301, "Anthropic 2 Year Plan and Timeline" bearing last modified date metadata of March 16, 2021) at -301, -308, -312–13; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 165** (Anthropic_0000444332, "Guide To Budget and 2 Year Plan" bearing last modified date metadata of March 16, 2021).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #6: As of May 28, 2026, Anthropic is valued at $965 billion. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 191** (ANTHROPIC, *Anthropic raises $65B in Series H funding at $965B post-money valuation* (May 28, 2026)).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #7: Claude has been used to look up existing song lyrics. *See, e.g.*, **Pls.' Ex. 34** (Anthropic_0000429488) at Row 3790; **Pls.' Ex. 35** (Anthropic_0000429490) at Row 3383 (similar lyric retrieval requests); **Pls.' Ex 2** (comparison chart of Publishers' lyrics and Claude output in response to third-party prompts, citing Anthropic Claude records) at 26–27, 31–32, 53–54, 62–3, 82–83, 85–86, 129–30, 138–39, 256–57, 263–64, 288–89, 310–11, 317–18, 324–25; Decl. of Joshua Dennis ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. ("**Dennis Decl**.") (March 22, 2026), ¶¶ 38–39, ECF No. 602.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #8: Claude has been used to write purportedly "new" AI-generated song lyrics.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Pls.' Ex. 30 (D. Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Ex. 6, Anthropic_0000429489) at Rows 2620, 3044, 4271, 4474, 4758; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 31** (Anthropic_0000429482) at Rows 840, 1382, 2759, 4627 (showing clusters for lyrics-related uses); **Pls.' Ex. 32** (Anthropic_0000429485) at Rows 221, 2039, 2731, 3749, 4765 (same); **Pls.' Ex. 33** (Anthropic_0000429486) at Row 2861; **Pls.' Ex. 106** (Anthropic_0000429484) at Rows 1102, 2266, 4639 (same); Dennis Decl. ¶¶ 40, 42.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #9: A "major methodological concern" with LLMs "pretrained on a broad swath of internet data, particularly large models with the capacity to memorize vast amounts of content, is potential contamination of downstream tasks by having their test or development sets inadvertently seen during pre-training." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 172** (Dep. Ex. 202, Tom B. Brown et al., *Language Models Are Few-Shot Learners*) at 9; *see* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 26:15–27:17.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #10: When prompted, Claude can generate output that "probably look like song lyrics . . . that look pretty good[.]" **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 176:9-17; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 10** (Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 180:4-6,186:10-16.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #11: Anthropic's Economic Index, which tracks occupations impacted by AI, includes data indicating that "Poets, Lyricists, and Creative Writers" have directed Claude to "write narrative, dramatic, lyrics, or other types of poetry" and "write words to fit musical compositions, including lyrics for operas, musical plays, and choral works." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 8** (Smith Report) at ¶¶ 80, 202 & n.138.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #12: LLMs are prone to memorization, and "[l]arge LMs memorize A LOT, like a LOT." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 153** (Dep. Ex. 140, Anthropic_0000507750) at -796; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 152:10–153:2 (testifying about Dep. Ex. 140 and explaining that "before we invested a lot more in improving our deduplication algorithms, [memorization] was a bigger problem."); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 135** (Sangani Dep. Tr.) at 172:5-12 ("I would not frame regurgitation as a risk. I think the risk is memorization. And if a large contiguous block of tokens has been memorized, it's—it's possible that for some combination of prompts, those tokens will be reproduced. Maybe they would not be regurgitated; maybe they would. It's hard to say, but it's not unreasonable that that could occur"); *see also* Answer to FAC ¶¶ 108-09; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 196:1-4 ("I think that if you trained a ton on—like, in pretraining some verbatim chunk of text many, many times,	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	that that would make it more likely that the model would regurgitate it.").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #13: With respect to AI models' memorizing a particular sequence, "it's good for the model to have memorized things like famous quotes that [] you or I might memorize." **Pls.' Ex. 11** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 68:8-19.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #14: Anthropic employees believe that certain song lyrics, such as those for "American Pie" by Don McLean or "Let It Be" by the Beatles, are "pillars of human culture" that reflect "useful" "cultural knowledge" for Claude to memorize verbatim. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 107:24–109:10; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 152:20–153:7.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #15: An Anthropic employee acknowledged in an internal Claude record that a "legitimate use" for AI memorization is "memorizing longer texts that exist as one unit (lyrics, speeches, etc)," and Claude generated output in response stating that "[m]emorization serves important functions in both biological and artificial systems," including "[e]ncoding information verbatim, like lyrics or speeches, where precise recall is necessary." **Pls.' Ex. 76** (Anthropic_0000434712) at -712.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #16: Songwriters have used Claude to	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	write lyrics for songs that are then uploaded to streaming platforms. Decl. of Michael Smith ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. ("Smith Decl.") (March 23, 2026), ¶ 39, ECF No. 601.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #17: Anthropic does not "consider copyright in any of [its] helpful, honest, or harmless[ness]" trainings. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Tr.) at 101:1–103:4; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 74** (Dep. Ex. 179, Anthropic_0000458491) (benchmarking analysis including prompt for "awesome metal lyrics" and output containing purported lyrics on Tab "Harmlessness"); **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 126:5-10, 127:4-7 (discussing Dep. Ex. 179).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #18: Anthropic's "harmlessness" training of Claude does not always prevent harmful outputs. **Pls.' Ex. 41** (Dep. Ex. 246, Anthropic_0000605700) at -740 (Slack chat from Feb. 29, 2024, in which Dario Amodei writes, "[redacted]"); *see generally* **Pls.' Ex. 1** (comparison chart of Publishers' lyrics and Claude output in response to prompts submitted by Publishers' investigator for all 499 Works in Suit); **Pls.' Ex. 2**.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #19: Anthropic's President, Ms. Daniela	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Amodei, acknowledged that prompts relating to songs or lyrics are "helpful" and "typical use case[s]" of Claude. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 127** (Daniela Amodei Dep.) at 196:16–197:21.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #20: Anthropic's platform for analyzing real-world use of Claude, Clio, which Anthropic relied on to generate the December 2024 report referenced in its Fact #16, generates only a high-level summary of "general patterns and trends" in user conversations with Claude. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 130** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 22:19-25.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #21: Usage insights provided by Clio are generated by Claude and do not involve human review of individual prompts and output. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 171** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Ex. 5, Anthropic_0000012987) at -987, -990–91; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 119** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 61:20–62:10; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 131** (Ganguli 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 178:15–180:19.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #22: Clio is not designed to indicate whether Anthropic's guardrails are working. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 130** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 60:6-10.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #23: Clio only summarizes and groups conversations into "clusters," but	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	does not reflect specific prompts or completions, and is in fact "designed to obfuscate" specific prompts and completions. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 130** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 61:22–62:16, 73:1-7, 110:16-19.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #24: Clio cannot conclusively prove the absence of certain patterns or conversations with Claude. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 130** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 114:9–116:14, 125:4-18; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 171** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Ex. 5, Anthropic_0000012987) at -2999.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #25: Third parties used Claude to request lyrics based upon provided song titles or lyric excerpts. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 21** (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 14.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #26: Based on finding 136 examples of third parties requesting song lyrics based upon provided song titles or lyric excerpts in the 5-million-record Claude sample produced by Anthropic, Publishers' expert Mr. Joshua Dennis concluded that users prompted Claude for lyrics in this manner in at least [redacted] instances from September 22, 2023, to March 22, 2024. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 21** (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 14.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #27: Third parties have asked Claude to generate new lyrics based on or in the style of existing artists, songs, or lyrics.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Burrell Decl., Ex. 21 (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 14.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #28: Based on finding over 600 examples of third parties asking Claude to generate new lyrics based on or in the style of existing artists, songs, or lyrics in the 5-million-record Claude sample produced by Anthropic, Mr. Dennis concluded that users prompted Claude in this manner in over [redacted] instances from September 22, 2023, to March 22, 2024. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 21** (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 14.	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Issue 2: Using Lyrics to Train LLMs is Transformative	Fact 19: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported: "[G]enerative AI strives to generate content by combining existing materials together using patterns it derives from training materials. It utilizes complex machine learning architectures to extract correlation patterns between a textual prompt or request, and specific content samples (which can be text, images, or audio) that match those prompts. These models extract from training data the likelihood of a particular sequence of tokens (response) that follow other sequences of tokens (user prompt), captured and represented in layers and layers of conditional probabilities. Sufficient training on large datasets (including much of all human writing that has ever been written), produces the generative model, the encapsulation of a massive compilation of correlations between text prompts and content, stored as layers and layers of conditional probabilities." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 13	Undisputed.
	Fact 20: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested: "To build an LLM like Claude, engineers first develop a 'neural network,' which is a computer program capable of studying enormous datasets of text and extracting statistical and linguistic information about those texts in an effort to gain a generalized understanding of human language." He also attested: "The neutral network is shown a vast dataset consisting of hundreds of millions, if not billions, of pieces of content. This set of materials is	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested as quoted.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	known collectively as the 'training corpus' or simply the 'corpus.'" Kaplan PI Decl. ¶¶ 17, 18	
	Fact 21: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested: "The neural network then analyzes each token in the context of surrounding tokens to learn language patterns and the relationship between the words and phrases in the corpus. Through iterative training on large amounts of data, the neural network discovers patterns among words and phrases, extracting statistical information to develop a probabilistic but comprehensive map of how language works, without explicit human-defined rules. The model stores this statistical information in an organized set of numerical values called parameters, which include weights and biases (representing the connective strengths among neurons)." Kaplan PI Decl. ¶ 20	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested as quoted.
	Fact 22: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported: "A large and diverse training corpus exposes the LLM to a variety of language patterns, allowing the LLM to learn from and model diverse sentence structures, topics, grammar, and other nuances. Training on diverse datasets provides models with more complete and comprehensive probabilities for different token patterns representing data and information in different contexts, which generally lead to more flexible, useful, and coherent outputs."	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶ 21; Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 125:10–128:6 (Dr. Shan's testimony affirming the accuracy of the above statement from his report).	
	Fact 23: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffrey Bilmes, reported: "Anthropic uses training data to train its models for the purpose of mapping out statistical relationships between text fragments so that the LLM models can generate new texts." Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 36	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted language. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic trains its models for the purpose of mapping out statistical relationships between text fragments so that the LLM models can generate new texts. The goal of an LLM is to accurately reproduce patterns and information from its training data while generating coherent textual responses to inputs that seem like the most reasonable response, as defined by statistics present in the training data. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 26. The goal of the LLM training process is to compress the training data in such a way as to enable the LLM to accurately reproduce the data when prompted. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 43; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 154** (Dep. Ex. 188, Anthropic_0000012356) at -360. In the LLM research community, it is still uncertain whether an LLM is even capable of generating "new" texts (as opposed to memorizing and regurgitating its training data).

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Zhao Decl. ¶¶ 44–47; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶¶ 26, 46, 91.
	Fact 24: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffrey Bilmes, reported: "[W]hile LLMs can perform complex tasks such as summarizing information and generating code, they do not function similarly to databases or search engines." And that "LLMs do not store or retrieve passages of text verbatim. Instead, they generate new and different outputs, probabilistically, based on general statistical patterns and relationships learned during training that are represented in the model's weights. Because their outputs are drawn from probability distributions, LLMs can produce different results when generating outputs in response to the same prompt, particularly when the final text is produced by randomly sampling from those distributions, which is by far the most common approach to LLM generation." Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 98	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted language. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that LLMs do not function similarly to databases or search engines. LLMs store their training datasets in tokenized format, along with conditional probabilities that instruct the model to re-integrate the tokenized data in response to user queries, effectively functioning as a database that stores the training data text. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 21, 42, 73; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 9, Nov. 12, 2025), at 8. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that LLMs do not store or retrieve passages of text verbatim. LLM training results in the LLM memorizing and storing highly-likely conditional probabilities from its training data that a particular sequence of tokens follows another particular sequence of tokens, resulting in the reproduction of specific phrases or texts from the LLM's training data verbatim. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report), at ¶¶ 26–28, 43, 63; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 9–12, 22; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 154** (Dep. Ex. 188, Anthropic_0000012356) at -360; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 152** (Dep. Ex. 137, Anthropic_0000520123) at -123–25; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Dep. Tr.) at 60:11–62:20 (testifying about Dep. Ex. 137). Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that LLMs generate "new and different outputs." In the LLM research community, it is still uncertain whether an LLM is even capable of generating "new" content (as opposed to memorizing and regurgitating its training data). **Zhao Decl.** ¶¶ 44–47; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶¶ 26, 46, 91.
	Fact 25: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified that "one of the purpose of lyrics is—and one of its primary intended use purposes is to enjoy words to a song." He answered, "I guess, in general, yes" to the question "When you listen to a piece of music, is the intended purpose for listening to that piece of music to enjoy it?" Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 352:23-353:16	Undisputed.
	Fact 26: Music compositions communicate the artistic expression of a musician or songwriter with an audience and to entertain. Ex. 137 (Stokes Dep.) at 230:11-18, 231:8-232:5; Ex. 26 (Stokes Reply) at ¶¶ 13-19	Undisputed to the extent the asserted fact accurately describes one purpose of musical compositions. Disputed to the extent the asserted fact reflects Mr. Stokes' disputed opinion, which is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 652.
	Fact 27: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified that Claude's "training corpus is decoupled from the model once the model is trained"; that Claude does not "stor[e] an exact copy of that text"; and that "the model does not scan for the text from its training corpus." Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 177:2-4,	Disputed. The asserted fact does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's testimony. In response to the question, "And so using the common computer science dictionary—or computer science definition of 'database,' LLMs do not store data or text in a database and retrieve that data, correct?", Dr. Zhao responded in full, "By that very strict

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	178:6-18, 179:9-10	definition of database, that is true, but I will just remark that computers in general, very few computers actually rely on [an] internal database. In fact, almost every piece of software that we use today does not include a formal database internally. That does not mean that it does not store content. That does not mean that it does not transform and store different versions of the content in different representative forms. You know, yeah, the idea that a strict definition of a database, yes, I agree that the LLMs do not store a strict definition of a database, but they are accessing different means and different representations." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 176:10–177:5. In response to the question "You say [in your rebuttal report]: 'The model has not memorized the specific text in the sense of literally storing an exact copy of that text and it does not strictly refer back to and fetch that sequence from its training corpus when it regurgitates. A pre-trained model does not scan for text from its training corpus and reproduce what it finds when asked.'. . . [d]o you stand by that statement?", Dr. Zhao responded, "Yes, the model does not scan for the text from its training corpus and produce it exactly." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 178:2-18. In response to the question, "When a user prompts a model like Claude, the model does not go to its training corpus, retrieve that text, and then reproduce or deliver that text to the—

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		to the user, correct?", Dr. Zhao testified, "That is correct in the sense that I believe the training corpus is decoupled from the model once the model is trained," and clarified that, "Under the interpretation that the model parameters are, in fact, a lossy compressed version of the training corpus then, you know, it would have access, just in a slightly different format, a different representation format." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 179:2-24.
	Fact 28: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported "The model has not memorized the specific text in the sense of literally storing an exact copy of that text." Ex. 18 (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶ 11	Disputed. The asserted fact does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's report. The full paragraph states: "As discussed in my initial report, conditional probability training results in LLMs memorizing unique phrases or sequences of phrases that do not commonly occur across multiple training samples, such as specific turns of phrases found in song lyrics. This results in regurgitation, wherein a model reproduces a specific text sequence in the same order that matches text in its training corpus. The model has not memorized the specific text in the sense of literally storing an exact copy of that text, and it does not strictly refer back to and fetch that sequence from its training corpus when it regurgitates. A pre-trained model does not scan for text from its training corpus and reproduce what it finds when asked. However, LLMs do memorize highly-likely conditional probabilities based on their training corpora (*e.g.*, the sequence of tokens 'Drove my Chevy to the levee, but the levee was dry' very- and highly-likely follows the sequence 'bye-bye, Miss

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		American Pie'), which results in the same outcome: the reproduction of specific phrases or entire texts contained in its training corpus. This memorization of highly-likely conditional probabilities is fundamental to the transformer architecture of LLMs." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶ 11.
	Fact 29: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffrey Bilmes, reported: "Anthropic uses training data to train its models for the purpose of mapping out statistical relationships between text fragments so that the LLM models can generate new texts." Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 36	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted language. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic trains its models for the purpose of mapping out statistical relationships between text fragments so that the LLM models can generate new texts. The goal of an LLM is to accurately reproduce patterns and information from its training data while generating coherent textual responses to inputs that seem like the most reasonable response, as defined by statistics present in the training data. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 26. The goal of the LLM training process is to compress the training data in such a way as to enable the LLM to accurately reproduce the data when prompted. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 43; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 154** (Dep. Ex. 188, Anthropic_0000012356) at -360.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		In the LLM research community, it is still uncertain whether an LLM is even capable of generating "new" texts (as opposed to memorizing and regurgitating its training data). **Zhao Decl. ¶¶** 44–47; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) **¶¶** 26, 46, 91.
	Fact 30: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffrey Bilmes, reported: "[W]eights are one type of model parameter for an NN [neural network]. Weights are the adjustable values in the model that model training seeks to optimize. Weight tuning is a subprocess of model training where the weights of an NN are adjusted iteratively using the training data so that the model's predictions better align with the expected outputs." Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 52	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language.
	Fact 31: Tokenizing text breaks it into small, standard units, identified numerically, that a large language model can process. Tokens are then transformed into richer numerical representations in "embeddings," which encode a token's meaning and semantic relationship to other tokens. Token embeddings are learned parameters that evolve during model training, and as the model processes billions of data points, mathematically move closer to other tokens that appear in similar contexts. Embeddings capture the relationship between words, making mathematical reasoning about those words' meanings possible. Embeddings are then positionally encoded so that a model can recognize both what each word means and where it occurs in context, rather than	Disputed. A trained LLM stores the conditional probabilities (the likelihood of a particular sequence of tokens following other sequences of tokens) found in its training data. This results in memorization of unique phrases found in the model's training data, which in turn results in regurgitation of specific text sequences in the same order found in the training data, thus "stor[ing] text verbatim." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) **¶¶** 13, 28; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) ¶ 11; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep. Tr.) at 176:10–180:19.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	simply storing text verbatim. Tokens, embeddings, and positional encoding allow models to understand the contextual relationship of words represented numerically. Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 141-152	
	Fact 32: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported: "Through pre-training, the model will learn which of these is the best choice from context. Pre-training also involves 'weighting' the dataset. In this process, the trainer directs the model to use some parts of the dataset more often than others due to differences in perceived quality of the material, with portions engaged multiple times and others not at all. Ultimately, the model will learn the most common patterns in the grammar, syntax, and semantics of a given language. This process requires no supervision (i.e., labeling or metadata describing the contents of the text). Rather, the model recognizes patterns in the training data to infer these rules automatically." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 20	Undisputed.
	Fact 33: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported, "Claude, like all LLMs, does not rely on an inherent understanding of truth or facts in the same way humans do." And that Anthropic has trained Claude to "generate[] output based on learning statistical associations between tokenized text." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 141	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 34: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that "[i]t is possible" that "there are going to be instances in which when it's ask[ed] for something that's not very simple, Claude will output different outputs in response to identical prompts, even at the same time." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 247:7-13	Undisputed.
	Fact 35: LLMs process a user's prompt and draw on learned language patterns to stochastically output the next predicted word. Model builders control the level of stochasticity (or randomness) in the output, including through a parameter called the "temperature parameter." As the temperature increases, so does the randomness of the output. This is unlike a database or retrieval system, which deterministically fetch and return the same result for the same query. This makes it possible for LLM's to generate outputs that are linguistically and textually different while containing similar factual content. Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 271, 280-285 & Figure 33	Disputed. A trained LLM stores the conditional probabilities (the likelihood of a particular sequence of tokens following other sequences of tokens) found in its training data. This results in memorization of unique phrases found in the model's training data, which in turn results in regurgitation of specific text sequences in the same order found in the training data; in that way, the model "fetch[es] and return[s]" text from its training data and reproduces such text. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶¶ 13, 28; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) ¶ 11; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep. Tr.) at 176:10–180:19.
	Fact 36: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "The model has not memorized the specific text in the sense of literally storing an exact copy of that text, and it does not strictly refer back to and fetch that sequence from its training corpus when it regurgitates. A pretrained model does not scan for text from its training corpus and reproduce what it finds when asked." When asked in his deposition whether he "stand[s] by	Undisputed that Dr. Zhao testified as quoted during his deposition. Otherwise, disputed. The asserted fact does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's report. The full paragraph states: "As discussed in my initial report, conditional probability training results in LLMs memorizing unique phrases or sequences of phrases that do not commonly occur across multiple training samples, such as specific turns of phrases found in song lyrics.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	that statement," Zhao answered, "Yes, the model does not scan for the text from its training corpus and produce it exactly." Ex. 18 (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶ 11; Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 178:4-18	This results in regurgitation, wherein a model reproduces a specific text sequence in the same order that matches text in its training corpus. The model has not memorized the specific text in the sense of literally storing an exact copy of that text, and it does not strictly refer back to and fetch that sequence from its training corpus when it regurgitates. A pre-trained model does not scan for text from its training corpus and reproduce what it finds when asked. However, LLMs do memorize highly-likely conditional probabilities based on their training corpora (*e.g.*, the sequence of tokens 'Drove my Chevy to the levee, but the levee was dry' very- and highly-likely follows the sequence 'bye-bye, Miss American Pie'), which results in the same outcome: the reproduction of specific phrases or entire texts contained in its training corpus. This memorization of highly-likely conditional probabilities is fundamental to the transformer architecture of LLMs." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶ 11.
	Fact 37: Anthropic displays a webpage titled, "Build With Claude," which markets "Use Cases for Claude" to enterprise clients. Those use cases include: "Coding" where its "latest model, Claude Opus 4.1, can complete complex engineering tasks to solve problems that would typically take a full day of engineering work"; "Agents," offering "superior instruction following, tool selection, error correction, and advanced reasoning for customer-facing agents and complex AI workflows"; "Productivity" where "Claude can	Undisputed that the cited document, dated September 8, 2025, contains the quoted language.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	extract relevant information from business emails and documents, categorize and summarize survey responses, and wrangle reams of text with high speed and accuracy"; and "Customer Support." Ex. 63 (Anthropic_0000437208)	
	Fact 38: Anthropic's 30(b)(6) witness, Dr. Deep Ganguli, testified: "[T]he main use case of CLAUDE.AI and CLAUDE Code and our CLAUDE API is for writing code. It's for doing software engineering tasks. That is the most common use case across—across the product surfaces I mentioned." Ex. 119 (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep.) at 67:7-15	Undisputed that Dr. Ganguli testified as quoted.
	Fact 39: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified: "LLMs, one of the use case is, as we describe, a user go to Claude.ai or .com to use the service but if I understand correctly, that is a fraction of how LLMs are used today" and "Claude is also used as a reasoning systems or an agent, where, you know, user ask original task, but Claude will generate command and prompt itself to do a step-by-step educate—execution of the task." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 95:22-96:17	Undisputed that Dr. Shan testified as quoted on March 3, 2026.
	Fact 40: Anthropic's 30(b)(6) witness, Jane Leibrock, testified: "We promote CLAUDE's safety as a model, its accuracy, its high intelligence, its skill at working with a large amount of knowledge by which we mean data that's been provided by the user to the model, its ability to show its chain of thought or reasoning to do deep	Undisputed that Ms. Leibrock testified as quoted.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	research, and to work with software code or to debug code." Ex. 122 (Leibrock Dep.) at 26:14-27:4	
	Fact 41: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Executive Officer, Dario Amodei, testified: "Anthropic, it's very focused on code. It's very focused on work and productivity-based activities. So Anthropic has deliberately made—made choices not to—not to produce output in many creative modalities." *See* Ex. 112 (Dario Amodei Dep.) at 102:19-24	Undisputed that Dr. Amodei testified as quoted. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted testimony. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic has deliberately made choices not to produce output in many creative modalities. When Anthropic released Claude to the public in March 2023, Anthropic specifically identified "use cases including summarization, search, ***creative and collaborative writing***, Q&A, coding, and more." **Pls.' Ex. 73** (Dep. Ex. 187, Anthropic_0000349548) (emphasis added); *see* **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 21:22–22:6, 22:22–23:3, 23:8-10, 26:1-4. Publishers further dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic has deliberately made choices not to produce output in many creative modalities because Claude is frequently used for a variety of such use cases, including "[w]rit[ing] words to fit musical compositions, including lyrics" and "[w]rit[ing] or improv[ing] rap lyrics." **Pls.' Ex. 105** (D. Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Ex. 7, Anthropic_0000441309); **Pls.' Ex. 30** (D. Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep. Ex. 6, Anthropic_0000429489) at Rows 2620, 3044, 4271, 4474, 4758; *see also* Smith Decl. ¶ 46.
	Fact 42: Anthropic co-founder and President, Ms. Daniela Amodei,	Undisputed that Ms. Amodei testified as quoted on November 12, 2025.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	testified that Anthropic "serve[s] about 300,000 businesses." Ex. 111 (Daniela Amodei Dep.) at 68:7-9	Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted testimony. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic serves 300,000 businesses. The number of Anthropic's business customers has grown significantly since the time of this testimony. **Pls.' Ex. 96** (ANTHROPIC, *Anthropic raises $30 billion in Series G funding at $380 billion post-money valuation* (Feb. 12, 2026)) ("Business subscriptions to Claude Code have quadrupled since the start of 2026").
	Fact 43: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Tom Brown, testified "we want Claude to be the best model for people who want to do work, particularly coding work." He also testified "We have—we have really focused on work rather than the consumer application" of Claude. He testified "we have always wanted to prioritize data that makes the model good at work." Ex. 106 (Brown Dep.) at 48:17-21, 64:4-8, 64:12-16, 64:23-65:4, 86:3-7, 94:11-16	Undisputed that Mr. Brown testified as quoted. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted testimony. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic has focused on work rather than the consumer application, because Anthropic specifically identified "use cases including summarization, search, ***creative and collaborative writing***, Q&A, coding, and more" when it first launched Claude in March 2023. **Pls.' Ex. 73** (Dep. Ex. 187, Anthropic_0000349548) (emphasis added); *see* **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 21:22–22:6, 22:22–23:3, 23:8-10, 26:1-4. Publishers further dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic has "focused on work rather than the consumer application," because Anthropic targets "ordinary retail users" as part of its marketing.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Burrell Decl., Ex. 122 (Leibrock Dep) at 21:10-17.
	Fact 44: Anthropic co-founder Tom Brown used Claude to explore how to write his wife a song for Valentine's Day given his wife's taste for Adele's music. In the course of the conversation, Claude explained: "Since you mentioned Adele's influence, we could focus on emotional storytelling and specific details that make it personal to your relationship. Adele often structures her songs with … [i]ntimate verses that tell a story[,] [a] build-up to an emotional chorus[,] [b]ridge sections that add depth[,] [and] [p]owerful vocal movements." Ex. 95 (Anthropic_0000570705)	Undisputed.
	Fact 45: Anthropic co-founder Tom Brown asked Claude, "What are your favorite pearl jam tracks?" In response, Claude listed five songs, including "Black." Claude explained that "Black" "captures that devastating moment when you realize someone you love has moved on completely while you're still consumed by the relationship," and "[t]he raw emotion in Vedder's voice during the outro ('I know someday you'll have a beautiful life...') creates one of rock's most heartbreaking moments." Ex. 92 (Anthropic_0000570614)	Undisputed.
	Fact 46: Claude has recommended and discussed musical compositions with users based on their music taste. Anthropic's President, Ms. Daniela Amodei, asked Claude for suggestions of classical music that is "meaningful but positive / uplifting," Claude responded with a	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	list of ten musical works. It then explained: "Beethoven's 'Ode to Joy' is a powerful anthem of brotherhood and joy. Handel's 'Hallelujah Chorus' is jubilant and celebratory. Mozart's 'Eine kleine Nachtmusik' is light and cheerful, while Vivaldi's 'Spring' from The Four Seasons evokes the rebirth and energy of springtime." Ex. 96 (Anthropic_0000570712) at -713	
	Fact 47: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Benjamin Mann, asked Claude "What's the story behind the song dancing in the moonlight" and Claude explained that "The song was originally written and recorded by French-American songwriter and musician Sherman Kelly in 1969 when he was part of a band called Boffalongo." Claude continued that "The song emerged as a direct contrast to the violence [Kelly] had experienced" "while vacationing on the Caribbean island of St. Croix" to "create[] a vision of a peaceful, harmonious world where people came together in joy rather than violence." "The uplifting, almost utopian lyrics of the song ('Everybody's dancing in the moonlight…') represents his yearning for a more peaceful existence after facing such brutality." Ex. 90 (Anthropic_0000570582)	Undisputed.
	Fact 48: Anthropic technical staff, Mr. Ben Kuhn, asked Claude for "some good three-part choral music for two friends" and Claude "recommend[ed] some three-part choral music that would work well for sightreading with friends"	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	including "Pelstrina's 'Sicut Cervus'" "Orlando di Lasso's 'Matona, mia cara'" "Schubert's 'Sanctus'" "Avro Pärt's simpler works like 'Morning Star'" "Eric Whitacre's 'Seal Lullaby'" and more, categorizing them by "different difficulty levels and styles." Ex. 89 (Anthropic_0000570574)	
	Fact 49: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Tom Brown, asked Claude about Jimi Hendrix and the "wah wah" pedal, and Claude responded that "Hendrix helped popularize the wah-wah pedal in the late 1960s, using it to great effect on songs like 'Voodoo Child (Slight Return)' and 'All Along the Watchtower.'" Claude continued that "The pedal essentially became an extension of the instrument, allowing players to 'voice' their guitar in new ways." Ex. 94 (Anthropic_0000570704)	Undisputed.
	Fact 50: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Tom Brown, asked Claude about Joni Mitchell's "Both Sides Now" and why he "like[s] it despite it being something that I wouldn't have liked in my twenties." Claude responded that "The emotional complexity and introspective lyrics of 'Both Sides Now' might resonate more deeply now that you've had more life experience. The song is fundamentally about seeing things from multiple perspectives and understanding life's contradictions—themes that often become more meaningful as we gain life experience." When Mr. Brown asked why he "might have dismissed [other music] which are actually good[] Especially things	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	from the 80s?" Claude responded that "The 1980s are particularly interesting because they often get dismissed for their production style (lots of synthesizers, gated reverb on drums, sometimes 'cheesy' by modern standards) or theatrical presentation" before listing artists like "Kate Bush" "Peter Gabriel" "Tears for Fears" and "The Eurythmics" that bore thematic similarities to Joni Mitchell. The conversation then discusses more modern artists whose "content and wisdom" align with Mitchell's and Claude discusses how Kendrick Lamar's lyrics in " 'Mother I sober' where he says 'I'm sensitive, I feel everything, I feel everybody / One man standing on two words, healing and hurt' – which suggests he's found some path toward healing while acknowledging the hurt is still there." Other Anthropic employees asked Claude to: • help put together a book of song lyrics, • explain the meaning of Stephen Sondheim's "Pacific Overtures," • explain the meaning behind the song "Every Breath You Take by the Police," to which Claude responded "The lyrics … reveal the narrator's controlling and stalking behavior toward someone who has left them…. The song's dark themes are somewhat masked by its melodic, gentle musical arrangement." • explain the story behind	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Bob Dylan's "Mr. Tambourine Man" and Cat Steven's "Wild World." • to ask for "some song to sing" to a "newborn son" because "m[y] dad sang James Taylor's 'Carolina on my mind'" and Claude gave recommendations on "wonderful options for singing to you son." Ex. 93 (Anthropic_0000570681); Ex. 147 (Anthropic_0000570572); Ex. 148 (Anthropic_0000570592); Ex. 149 (Anthropic_0000570627); Ex. 150 (Anthropic_0000570635); Ex. 151 (Anthropic_0000570636)	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #29: Memorization of portions of the training data is an inherent trait of LLM training. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 26, 43, 63; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 69:15–71:7; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 135** (Sangani Dep. Tr.) at 172:5-12 ("I would not frame regurgitation as a risk. I think the risk is memorization. And if a large contiguous block of tokens has been memorized, it's—it's possible that for some combination of prompts, those tokens will be reproduced. Maybe they would not be regurgitated; maybe they would. It's hard to say, but it's not unreasonable that that could occur"); *see also* Answer to FAC ¶¶ 108–09; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex.**	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	129 (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 196:1-4 ("I think that if you trained a ton on—like, in pretraining some verbatim chunk of text many, many times, that that would make it more likely that the model would regurgitate it.").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #30: [INTENTIONALLY OMITTED]	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #31: Other top use cases of Claude include "helping people to actually do work, whether that's writing, whether that's doing research, or whether that's an educator doing kind of curriculum design," as Claude is "a very general-purpose technology." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 119** (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep.) at 67:7-24.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #32: Anthropic trains Claude to generate preferred outputs and avoid outputs Anthropic deems undesirable. Answer to FAC ¶ 58(d); Kaplan Decl. ¶¶ 38–41; **Pls.' Ex. 4,** Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr. 149:13–150:8; **Pls.' Ex. 120**, Yuntao Bai et al., *Training a Helpful and Harmless Assistant with Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback*,ARXIV:2204.05862v1, 4 (Apr. 12, 2022), https://arxiv.org/pdf/2204.05862 (describing the process of using human feedback data to train an AI model to understand which responses are harmful and which responses are helpful and honest); **Kaplan PI Decl.** ¶ 38 ("Constitutional AI is at the heart of all of Anthropic's models, and is designed to ensure AI safety by fine-tuning the models on a set of	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	principles that Anthropic believes will cultivate steerable, helpful model behavior that aligned with human preferences."); **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 12, Nov. 12, 2025) at 12.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #33: In response to Anthropic founder Tom Brown's prompts seeking assistance in writing a song for his wife, Claude offered to start by brainstorming lyrics: "[W]e could start by brainstorming lyrics that capture your feelings and relationship, taking inspiration from the emotional depth of Adele's songwriting." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 95** (Anthropic_0000570705), -705.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #34: In response to Anthropic founder Tom Brown's question, "Does Adele-style music tend to rhyme?", Claude generated output containing portions of the lyrics to two Adele songs, "Someone Like You" and "Hello." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 95** (Anthropic_0000570705), -706.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #35: Claude is capable of generating output regarding song meaning and musical artists because "that's something that people talk about a lot on the Internet," and there is "a wide variety of publicly available commentary" on songs on the internet that Anthropic copies and includes in Claude's training data. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 149:8–150:18, 181:2–182:7, 183:12-18, 193:1-14.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #36: Claude's output regarding songs can include discussion of auditory and acoustic sensory experience (such as a singer's "gravelly" voice or "crystalline vocal quality," or a melody including a "jangly ringing sound"), which is not based on Anthropic's training Claude on song lyrics or audio recordings, but rather on Anthropic's copying written commentary about songs. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 131** (Ganguli 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 102:15-18 ("Claude can't listen, especially not—not a 2023 version of this model"); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 93** (Anthropic_0000570681) at -681–82; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 150** (Anthropic_0000570635); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 193:8–194:8; *see also* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 149** (Anthropic_0000570627); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 151** (Anthropic_0000570636) at -636–37.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #37: Anthropic does not need to train Claude on song lyrics in order for Claude to be able to write computer code or perform other technical tasks not related to song lyrics. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 131** (Ganguli 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr. 206:19–207:14); *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 85:6-15, 86:14-16, 88:1-3; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 138** (Altman Dep. Tr.) at 132:25–133:23, 141:25–142:19, 153:9-17, 165:1-13, 167:13–168:6, 173:7-20, 257:17–258:1 (expressing no opinion regarding the effect of removing song lyrics from Claude's	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	training data on various purported benefits and capabilities of AI).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #38: Anthropic founder and Chief Compute Officer Tom Brown entered the prompt into Claude in February 2023 asking "@Claude what are the lyrics to [Work in Suit] desolation row by Dylan?" However, Mr. Brown "[didn't] really remember clearly" the output that Claude generated in response to that prompt. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 210:17-23, 211:11–212:19, 213:3-10; Answer to FAC ¶¶ 11, 113; **Pls.' Ex. 58** (Dep. Ex. 274, Anthropic_0000016458).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #39: Anthropic founder and President Daniela Amodei asked Claude to write a song to sing to her son, and Claude responded by generating purportedly new AI song lyrics. **Pls.' Ex. 110** (Dep. Ex. 174, Anthropic_0000570711); *see* **Pls.' Ex. 10,** Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr. 186:11-16.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #40: Anthropic co-founder Benjamin Mann asked Claude to identify a Stevie Wonder song by prompting Claude with a lyric from the song. **Pls.' Ex. 85** (Dep. Ex. 215, Anthropic_0000524147); **Pls.' Ex. 5,** Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr. 217:7-20.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #41: Anthropic employees have prompted Claude with requests for lyrics to Publishers' Works and other songs.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Pls.' Ex. 54 (Anthropic_0000525947) at -948–49; **Pls.' Ex. 55** (Anthropic_0000459162) at -168; **Pls.' Ex. 56** (Anthropic_0000554366), at -387–88; **Pls.' Ex. 58** (Dep. Ex. 274, Anthropic_0000016458); **Pls.' Ex. 59** (Anthropic_0000016025) at -035; **Pls.' Ex. 60** (Anthropic_0000554319) at -322; **Pls.' Ex. 62** (Anthropic_0000527903) at -915; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 80** (Anthropic_0000582187); **Pls.' Ex. 81** (Anthropic_0000430272); **Pls.' Ex. 82** (Anthropic_0000430273); **Pls.' Ex. 83** (Anthropic_0000430258); **Pls.' Ex. 84** (Anthropic_0000430285) at -286; Answer to FAC ¶¶ 11, 113.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #42: Anthropic employees have prompted Claude with requests to identify full song lyrics based on submitted lyrics. **Pls.' Ex. 64** (Anthropic_0000459249) at -271; **Pls.' Ex. 65** (Anthropic_0000557304) at -328; **Pls.' Ex. 66** (Anthropic_0000459543) at -742; **Pls.' Ex. 67** (Anthropic_0000557359), -612–14; **Pls.' Ex. 79** (Anthropic_0000570595) at -596; **Pls.' Ex. 85** (Dep. Ex. 215, Anthropic_0000524147) at -147; **Pls.' Ex. 86** (Anthropic_0000431481); **Pls.' Ex. 87** (Anthropic_0000430104); **Pls.' Ex. 88** (Anthropic_0000430107); **Pls.' Ex. 89** (Anthropic_0000434264).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #43: Anthropic employees have	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	prompted Claude to modify or combine existing song lyrics. **Pls.' Ex. 59** (Anthropic_0000016025) at -026-027; **Pls.' Ex. 92** (Anthropic_0000434832).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #44: Anthropic employees have prompted Claude with requests to produce AI-generated lyrics on given topics and in the style of existing songs or songwriters. **Pls.' Ex. 10** (Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 202:20–203:14; **Pls.' Ex. 56** (Anthropic_0000554366) at -388; **Pls.; Ex. 62** (Anthropic_0000527903) at -913; **Pls.' Ex. 68** (Anthropic_0000557015) at -027; **Pls.' Ex. 69** (Anthropic_0000241820) at -820; **Pls.' Ex. 70** (Anthropic_0000459487) at -496; **Pls.' Ex. 71** (Dep. Ex. 244, Anthropic_0000554769) at -845-47; **Pls.' Ex. 72** (Anthropic_0000554405) at -413; **Pls.' Ex. 90** (Anthropic_0000430292); **Pls.' Ex. 91** (Anthropic_0000431502); **Pls.' Ex. 93** (Anthropic_0000432472); **Pls.' Ex. 109** (Anthropic_0000432334) at -334-35; **Pls.' Ex. 110** (Dep. Ex. 174, Anthropic_0000570711); **Pls.' Ex. 111** (Dep. Ex. 242, Anthropic_0000570586).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #45: Anthropic employee Ben Kuhn prompted Claude for help "putting together a book of song lyrics" by accessing and downloading copyrighted sheet music from a composer's website, and caused Claude to take steps to circumvent	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	websites' "access restrictions" and "security measures" protecting against such unauthorized downloads. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 162** (Anthropic_0000570572)**; Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 163** (Anthropic_0000524116).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #46: Claude has generated output copying Publishers' lyrics even when users do not prompt Claude for those lyrics. **Pls.' Ex. 19** (Compilation of third-party prompts and outputs) at Anthropic_0000027609 (October 9, 2023) (generating the lyrics to "Just Like Heaven" in response to the prompt "finish this sentence: what do birds suddenly appear. . . what if I said the sentence was part of a song?").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #47: Claude is capable of generating output containing copies of song lyrics because those same song lyrics are included in Claude's training data. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 69–70, 92; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 109–10, 112; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 84:23–85:1; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 10** (Daniela Amodei Dep.) at 189:20–190:1.	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Issue 3: The Second Factor Favors Fair Use Because Song Lyrics are Freely and Publicly Available	Fact 51: Musical compositions and lyrics can be creative, expressive works. Ex. 137 (Stokes Dep.) at 68:9-16, 224:21-24	Undisputed.
	Fact 52: In response to the question "Consumers expect to be able to get lyrics online for free, right?" 30(b)(6) Representative for UMPG, Mr. David Kokakis, testified "I know that's how we see most lyrics-based digital services offering lyrics to consumers." Ex. 121 (Kokakis Dep.) at 250:8-253:13	Disputed. Mr. Kokakis testified in full, "I don't know what the consumers expect. I know that's how we see most lyrics-based digital services offering lyrics to consumers." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 143** (Kokakis Dep.) at 251:22–252:3.
	Fact 53: In response to the question "Is there any website that you have gone to to view the lyrics for particular songs?" 30(b)(6) Representative for Concord, Mr. Duff Berschback, testified "I'll sometimes use Genius and sometimes do just a simple Google search, both of which are -- have been licensed by Concord." And in response to the question "So with respect to genius.com, for example, anyone could go online and view the lyrics to any of Concord's works that are included on genius.com?" 30(b)(6) Representative for Concord, Mr. Duff Berschback, testified "Yes." Ex. 104 (Berschback Dep.) at 122:24-123:16	Undisputed.

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #48: Publishers' licensees and sub-licensees, including lyric display websites such as Genius.com, pay	

	monetary consideration for the rights to display or use Publishers' lyrics. **Smith Decl. ¶¶** 13–15, 109; **Pls.' Ex. 101** (UMPG_0000018093, Concord_0000000168, ABKCO_0000000052, CCMG_0000000209) (LyricFind licenses); **Pls.' Ex. 102** (UMPG_0000000335, ABKCO_0000000045, CCMG_0000000237, Concord_0000000212) (MusixMatch licenses); **Pls.' Ex. 103** (UMPG_0000000388, UMPG_0000023282, UMPG_0000023090, UMPG_0000023257, UMPG_0000000275, UMPG_0000000366, ABKCO_0000000414, CCMG_0000000869, Concord_0000000739, UMPG_0000000532) (compilation of additional lyrics display licenses); *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 167** (UMPG_0000000311; UMPG_0000023040; UMPG_0000023125; ABKCO_0000000328; ABKCO_0000000025; ABKCO_0000001313; ABKCO_0000000304; ABKCO_0000000369; ABKCO_0000000398; CCMG_0000000662; CCMG_0000000259) (compilation of additional lyrics display licenses).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #49: Many lyrics display websites, including Publishers' licensees, "tend to be ad-supported, which doesn't necessitate the user making a payment for access to lyrics, but the service itself picks up the cost out of the advertising revenue that's	

	generated[,] [s]o the cost to consumer isn't a cash payment. The cost to the consumer is their attention," much like broadcast TV and radio "where there are interstitial commercials running throughout programming and the user doesn't have to pay for it." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 143** (Kokakis Dep. Tr.) at 250:25–251:20.	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Issue 4: The Third Factor Favors Fair Use Because Only the Portion of The Works Reasonably Necessary to Achieve a Transformative Purpose Is Used	Fact 54: Publishers admit that "the copyrighted musical compositions for which they own and control exclusive rights, including the Asserted Works, include music and lyrics." Ex. 27 (Pls.' Resp. & Obj. to Def.'s 2nd Set of Req. For Admis. (Nos. 16-24) (Sept. 2, 2025)) at 9	Undisputed.
	Fact 55: 30(b)(6) Representative for UMPG, Mr. David Kokakis, testified "[redacted]." Ex. 121 (Kokakis Dep.) at 85:9-87:14	Undisputed.
	Fact 56: When asked "ABKCO does not have separate copyrights to the lyrics for any of the songs identified in the ABKCO song list, right?" 30(b)(6) Representative for ABKCO, Ms. Alisa Coleman, answered "Correct." Ex. 110 (Coleman Dep.) at 49:22-50:6	Undisputed.
	Fact 57: When asked "[redacted]?" 30(b)(6) Representative for UMPG, Mr. Duff Berschback, testified "[redacted]." Ex. 104 (Berschback Dep.) at 30:24-31:7	Undisputed.
	Fact 58: When asked "[redacted]" 30(b)(6) Representative for CCMG, Mr. Draughon, testified "[redacted]." He also testified	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	[redacted] Ex. 117 (Draughon Dep.) at 50:13-17, 51:10-18	
	Fact 59: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported "to acquire these sufficiently large training corpora, developers often turn to a massive source of easily accessible text: the Internet." He testified "The largest portion of [Claude's] training data consists of 'Common Crawl' data. Common Crawl is a collection of website crawls from 2008 to the present, including raw web pages as well as corresponding metadata and text extractions." Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 22, 45(i)	Undisputed, except that Dr. Shan did not "testif[y]" as quoted. The quoted language appears in Dr. Shan's opening expert report. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶ 45(i).
	Fact 60: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffery Bilmes, reported that publicly available information indicates Anthropic's Claude models were trained in part on data sourced from Common Crawl or by directly crawling the web. Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 102-103, 107	Undisputed.
	Fact 61: Publishers' Expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported "Anthropic has copied the lyrics to a huge number of Publishers' musical compositions and used those copies as input to train Claude." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 10	Undisputed.
	Fact 62: Publishers' Expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported "Anthropic trains its Claude AI models using certain datasets—including Common Crawl, OpenWebText2, The Pile, YouTube Subtitles, and	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Reddit data—that contain Publishers' lyrics." Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶ 44	
	Fact 63: In response to the question "[redacted]" 30(b)(6) Representative for CCMG, Mr. Draughon, testified [redacted] Ex. 117 (Draughon Dep.) at 136:21-137:2	Undisputed that Mr. Draughon testified as quoted. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic has not copied, used, or otherwise accessed the entirety of the musical composition, including the lyrics and the music, for any Capitol CMG work. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic has not copied, used, or otherwise accessed the entirety of the musical composition, including the lyrics and the music, for any Capitol CMG work. *See* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 169** (ANTH_DATABASE_0000005467 ("Trending Worship Songs" with sheet music and lyrics to CCMG Works in Suit for Piano/Vocal/Guitar); ANTH_DATABASE_0000005062 ("Top Hits of 2018" with sheet music and lyrics to CCMG Works in Suit for Piano/Vocal/Guitar); ANTH_DATABASE_0000005478 ("Top Hits of 2018" with sheet music and lyrics to CCMG Works in Suit for Easy Piano)).
	Fact 64: Audio files and data are not supported by Claude models and thus are not incorporated in the models' training data. Ex. 11 (Bilmes Rebuttal) at ¶ 239	Undisputed.
	Fact 65: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported "[r]egardless of their specific task or architecture, generative AI systems are trained on very large datasets of content."	Undisputed, except that Ex. 10 (Zhao Report), not Ex. 18, contains the quoted language.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	He reported that "[t]he larger the corpus on which a model is trained, the more effective and reliable its results will be as a general matter." Ex. 18 (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 22, 23	**Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶ 23.
	Fact 66: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested that "[t]rillions of tokens are required to properly train an LLM like Claude and to ultimately allow the LLM to recognize patterns in language to any useful degree." Kaplan PI Decl. ¶ 22	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested to the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted language. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that "trillions of tokens are required to properly train an LLM like Claude and to allow the LLM to recognize patterns in language to any useful degree." For example, GPT-3, a "general-purpose LLM" like Claude, was trained on 300 billion tokens. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 172** (Dep. Ex. 202, Tom B. Brown et al., *Language Models Are Few-Shot Learners*, ARXIV:2005.14165v4, 8–9 (July 22, 2020); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 137** (Nagaraj Dep. Tr.) at 36:23–37:9, 42:2-8, 44:4–45:16; *see also* **Kaplan Decl.** ¶¶ 25–26 (recognizing that LLMs can be trained on smaller corpora of data and remain effective for at least certain tasks); **Pls.' Ex. 6** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 138:10-14 (testifying "that's not true" in response to the question: "Anthropic wants large volumes of training data; is that true?"); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 92:2-7 (testifying "the quality of the data is much more important than the overall quantity of the data"); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶ 23; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 145** (Shan Dep. Tr.) at 105:7-10.
	Fact 67: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified "[Y]es," that	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	it was accurate that "LLM developers like Anthropic seek to train LLMs like Claude on a vast training corpus of human written texts often comprising of terabytes or petabytes of data and hundreds of billions or trillions of tokens." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 125:10-128:6	
	Fact 68: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, agreed that "song lyrics are available on the internet through a number of different sites," testifying that "they are available in different forms, different places." Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 276:19-25	Disputed. The quoted language does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's testimony. In response to the question, "[Y]ou understand that song lyrics are widely available on the internet through a number of different sites, right?", Dr. Zhao testified in full: "I understand that they are available in different forms, different places. I also understand that many of these places have actual licensed access to them and agreements with some of the plaintiffs and other music and music industry companies." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 276:19-25.
	Fact 69: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that to "acquire these sufficiently large training corpora, developers often to turn to a massive source of easily accessible text: the Internet. Developers typically source large portions of their training corpora by scraping text from webpages, a process by which bots 'crawl' through the internet and copy the contents of webpages it encounters. Developers may also acquire datasets constructed by third parties, such as 'Common Crawl,'" 'The Pile,' and 'OpenWebText2,' which are	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	themselves largely comprised of petabytes of content scraped from the Internet." Dr. Shan also reported that "Web crawling is an automated process by which bots copy the contents of webpages they come across on the Internet." Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 22-23	
	Fact 70: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeff Bilmes, reported "Without large text collections like Common Crawl and other large-scale web crawling efforts, it would be very difficult to obtain sufficient data for the types of advanced deep LLM training that has made general purpose LLMs like Claude possible." And that "Developers typically meet their data requirements by gathering training data from several sources, including large publicly available text corpora like Common Crawl and OpenWebText. Common Crawl and OpenWebText contain textual information extracted from millions of webpages over time." Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 100, 102	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language.
	Fact 71: Claude Sonnet 3.0 was trained on [redacted] exceeds more than twice [redacted] of the text of all the books in the world. Ex. 6 (Nagaraj Report) at ¶¶ 36-37; Kaplan PI Decl. ¶¶ 29-30; Ex. 56 (Anthropic_0000231226)	Disputed. Claude Sonnet 3.5 (released on June 20, 2024), not Claude Sonnet 3.0, was trained on [redacted]. Claude Sonnet 3.0 (released on March 4, 2024) was trained on [redacted] **Burrell Decl., Ex. 6** (Nagaraj Report) at ¶¶ 36–37; Kaplan PI Decl. ¶ 29; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 173** (Anthropic_0000592435) (ANTHROPIC, *Introducing the next generation of Claude*); **Pls.'**

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Opp'n/Reply Ex. 174 (Anthropic_0000592449) (ANTHROPIC, *Claude 3.5 Sonnet*). Further disputed because Ex. 56 does not support the asserted fact, and only reflects Anthropic's estimate of [redacted] ***words*** in all the books in the world, not tokens. *See* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 56** (Anthropic_0000231226).
	Fact 72: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that "the data collection happens across many years, including sourcing from Common Crawl" and "data sharks." He also testified "based on my inspection, there are large amount of work in suit as part of internet data." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 232:5-233:4, 343:2-22, 344:1-16	Undisputed that Dr. Shan testified, "based on my inspection, there are large amount of work in suit as part of internet data." Otherwise, disputed. The quoted language does not accurately reflect Dr. Shan's testimony. Dr. Shan testified: "So based on my analysis of how Anthropic collected data, the data collection happens across many years, including sourcing from Common Crawl and ***the, you know, data sharks, which was Common Crawl***—that Anthropic leveraged trace back to the dates, I believe, 2014." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 131** (Shan Dep.) at 232:20-25 (emphasis added).
	Fact 73: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified that "Anthropic has gotten training data from a number of sources" such as "web archives, YouTube video transcriptions, Common Crawl in the past." When asked whether he "understand[s] that song lyrics are widely available on the internet through a number of different sites," Dr. Zhao responded that he understands that song lyrics "are available in different forms, different places." Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that "Anthropic trains its Claude AI models using certain datasets—including Common Crawl,	Undisputed that Dr. Shan's report quotes the creators of the Pile dataset in stating that OpenWebText2 is "a generalized web scrape dataset . . . includ[ing] more recent content from Reddit submissions up until 2020." Also undisputed that Dr. Shan's report states that "Anthropic trains its Claude AI models using certain datasets—including Common Crawl, OpenWebText2, The Pile, YouTube Subtitles, and Reddit data—that contain Publishers' lyrics" and that Common Crawl "represents a massive indiscriminate scrape of the public web."

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	OpenWebText2, The Pile, YouTube Subtitles, and Reddit data—that contain Publishers' lyrics" that CommonCrawl "represents a massive indiscriminate scrape of the public web" and that "OpenWebText2" is "a generalized web scrape dataset." Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 274:20-275:7, 276:19-277:4, 279:8-280:3, 282:2-21; Ex. 7 (Shan Report) ¶¶ 44-45	Otherwise, disputed. The quoted testimony does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's testimony. When asked, "Are you aware of any evidence that Anthropic ever scraped lyrics for any songs, or for the works in suit in particular, without respecting robots.txt?", Dr. Zhao responded in full: "So let me unpack that question for a second. Am I aware—do I have specific knowledge that Anthropic explicitly ignored robots.txt in scraping lyric content for its training data? The answer to that question is no. However, Anthropic has gotten training data from a number of sources, not all of which have respected robots.txt; so web archives, YouTube video transcriptions, Common Crawl in the past. So all of these things are things that do not require Anthropic to interact with robots.txt. Even though someone else may have gotten data on their behalf or relayed them—data to them through some means and if they did not respect robots.txt to get that data, Anthropic would not have necessitated—would not have been necessary for Anthropic to personally and directly ignore robots.txt, and, yet, they would still have been privy to data that was obtained through that process." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 274:20–275:23. In response to the question, "[Y]ou understand that song lyrics are widely available on the internet through a number of different sites, right?", Dr. Zhao testified in full: "I understand that they are available in different forms, different places. I also understand that many of these places have actual licensed access to them

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		and agreements with some of the plaintiffs and other music and music industry companies." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 276:19–277:4.
	Fact 74: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffrey Bilmes, reported that Anthropic's general-purpose web crawler is designed to respect internet protocols that tell web crawlers not to crawl or scrape those web pages. Dr. Bilmes analyzed Anthropic's web crawling data and found that Anthropic collected lyrics to the works-in-suit from [redacted] million public web pages and adhered to the internet protocol rules in place at the time those web pages were crawled. Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at § VII.A	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report states that "Anthropic's general-purpose web crawler is designed to respect internet protocols that tell crawlers not to crawl or scrape pages," and that Dr. Bilmes' report states that he analyzed Anthropic's web crawling data as asserted. Publishers dispute that Dr. Bilmes' analysis shows Anthropic "adhered to the internet protocol rules in place at the time" because Dr. Bilmes improperly includes websites without robots.txt files or instructions within his [redacted] count, and Anthropic may have impermissibly crawled over [redacted] that prohibited or blocked Anthropic's crawler. *See* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 306 & n.580; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 18–20; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 73–84. Further disputed because the cited evidence (Def.'s Ex. 2) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 642.

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue	
Publishers' Additional Fact #50: Publishers frequently license just the lyrics to their copyrighted musical compositions to third parties. *See, e.g.,* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 161** (Pls.' Supp. Resp. to Def.'s	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Interrog. No. 25, Sept. 18, 2025) at 8; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 140** (Berschback Dep. Tr.) at 127:3-15, 193:10-23; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 141** (Coleman Dep. Tr.) at 132:21–133:9, 133:24–135:23, 140:11–141:9, 144:8–145:2; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 142** (Draughon Dep. Tr.) at 50:22–51:3,107:22–108:4, 109:6-9, 198:22–199:16, 202:17–205:4, 212:7-25; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 143** (Kokakis Dep.) 124:12–125:1, 125:19–126:9, 236:4–238:1; s*ee also* Decl. of Duff Berschback ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. ("**Berschback Decl.**") (March 20, 2026), ¶¶ 42–45, ECF No. 598; Decl. of Alisa Coleman ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. ("**Coleman Decl.**") (March 20, 2026), ¶¶ 15, 17–21, ECF No. 596; Decl. of Kent Draughon ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. ("**Draughon Decl.**") (March 20, 2026), ¶¶ 32–34, ECF No. 599; Decl. of David Kokakis ISO Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J. ("**Kokakis Decl.**") (March 22, 2026), ¶¶ 146, 148–51, ECF No. 610.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #51: Claude was designed and trained to be a text-only LLM capable of "generat[ing] high quality text." **Pls.' Ex. 21** (Def.'s Am. Resp. to Pls.' RFAs, No. 80) at 83; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 13** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 102:7-24; **Burrell Decl. Ex. 15** (Nagaraj Rebuttal) at ¶ 38.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #52: Lyrics are considered an integral part of musical compositions. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 104** (Berschback Dep.) at 30:24–31:3.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #53: Anthropic's stated policy is to [redacted] from scraping or other Internet sources. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 148** (Dep. Ex. 35, Anthropic_0000229152) at -152–53; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 134** (Turvey 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 60:12–61:18; *see also* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 6** (Nagaraj Report) at ¶ 73.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #54: A large volume of training data is not always desirable for LLM training; certain models perform better with a more limited training corpus. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal Report) at ¶ 23; **Burrell Decl. Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 322; **Kaplan PI Decl. ¶¶** 25–26, ECF No. 209 (recognizing that LLMs can be trained on smaller corpora of data and remain effective for at least certain tasks); *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 138:10-14 (testifying "that's not true" in response to the question: "Anthropic wants large volumes of training data; is that true?"); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 92:2-7 (testifying "the quality of the data is much more important than the overall quantity of the data").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #55: Large datasets can sometimes result in decreased model performance. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 123.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #56: Anthropic hired a contractor, Gyula Lakatos, to build its own web crawling and scraping infrastructure in 2023. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 124** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 62:9-12.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #57: Anthropic's [redacted] **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 56:18–57:21; **Pls.' Ex. 24** (Dep. Ex. 129, Anthropic_0000343228); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 151** (Dep. Ex. 130, Anthropic_0000342806); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 135** (Sangani Dep. Tr.) at 215:1–216:2 (discussing Dep. Ex. 130).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #58: Dr. Bilmes' analysis of Anthropic's general-purpose web crawler's adherence to internet protocols was limited to Anthropic's web crawler that launched in 2024 and the data scraped after this crawler was launched. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 302–05; **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 72:23–73:8.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #59: Dr. Bilmes' analysis of Anthropic's crawling activity demonstrated that for 1.88% of the URLs Anthropic crawled (over [redacted] URLs), Anthropic's crawler proceeded to crawl despite URLs containing robots.txt directives that returned a "Disallow" signal.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Burrell Decl. Ex. 16 (Shan Rebuttal Report) at ¶ 18; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 304–07.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #60: Publishers' expert Dr. Shan conducted an independent empirical analysis of 10,000 unique web domains and determined that, under Dr. Bilmes' methodology, 94.35% of domains would be classified as permitting a crawl. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal Report) at ¶ 21 & nn.15–16.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #61: Because the general architecture of the internet includes frequent absence of explicit technical barriers to crawling, compliance with such configurations does not reflect significant effort. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal Report) at ¶ 21 & nn.15–16.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #62: AI companies have licensed data from rightsholders for AI training and development. Smith Decl. ¶¶ 66–69.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #63: It is possible to train an LLM on corpora comprised entirely of public domain data and licensed data. Decl. of Ed Newton-Rex ISO Pls.' Opp. to Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 1, 2024) ¶ 30, ECF No. 183; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 137** (Nagaraj Dep. Tr.) at 42:4–45:16, 47:10–48:2.	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Issue 5: Factor Four Favors Fair Use Because The Transformative Use Outweighs Any Marketplace Harms	Fact 75: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis, reported that he categorized 624 outputs as "Output contains lyrics (no copyright Guardrail noted)" and 31 outputs as "Output contains lyrics and copyright Guardrail noted." Ex. 21 (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 14	Undisputed that Mr. Dennis' reply report contains the quoted language.
	Fact 76: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis, identified only 2 outputs after November 12, 2023 (when Anthropic strengthened its guardrails in response to this lawsuit) that were related to the works in suit. The longest of the outputs Publishers' expert Mr. Joshua Dennis identifies at Rebuttal Rpt. ¶ 88 contained 16 words of a work in suit. Ex. 13 (Dennis Rebuttal) at ¶ 88	Disputed. Mr. Dennis identified hundreds of outputs from after November 12, 2023, relating to the Works in Suit, many of which include outputs containing more than 16 words of a Work in Suit. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 21** (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 13; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 170** (Dennis Reply Exhibit 4).
	Fact 77: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Andrew Bray, found that 83.33% of the prompts in the 5 million sample were made using credentials known to belong to Publishers' known investigator. Publishers have not disclosed identifying information for all of the prompts and outputs generated by Publishers, their attorneys, or their investigators, so it is possible that Publishers and their agents generated all of the prompts within the 5 million sample that sought lyrics to works in suit. Ex. 20 (Bray Reply) at ¶ 11	Disputed. Dr. Bray contended that 83.33% of the prompts corresponding to Claude records with infringing output in the 5-million-record Claude sample were made using credentials known to belong to Publishers' investigator, not "that 83.33% of the prompts in the 5 million sample" were made using such credentials. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 20** (Bray Reply) at ¶ 11. Pursuant to ECF No. 545, Publishers disclosed to Anthropic the total number of Publishers' prompt-output pairs contained in the 5-million-record Claude sample. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 31** (Pls.' Am. Resp. to Def.'s Interrog. No. 4, April 13, 2026) at 9.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Further disputed because the cited evidence (Def.'s Ex. 20) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 644.
	Fact 78: UMPG 30(b)(6) Representative, Mr. David Kokakis, acknowledged that "AI has a lot of beneficial applications." Ex. 121 (Kokakis Dep.) at 337:11-12	Undisputed.
	Fact 79: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified that "There are benefits to multiple types of AI. And even for a more constrained definition of generative AI, I do believe that there are scenarios where they have some benefit." Dr. Zhao also testified that "there are certain applications where, even by speculation or reasoning about AI's functionality, that it would prove to be positive and useful." Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 399:23-400:2, 401:5-8	Disputed. The asserted fact does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's testimony. When asked "When it comes to the benefits of AI, it's also important to look at the prevalence and the scale of those benefits in evaluating the cost/benefit analysis; isn't that right?", Dr. Zhao responded that "I believe that looking at benefits of AI requires, just as it does to look at harms, to look at concrete examples, quantifiable data, and real research that studies the benefits and harms of AI . . . . I'm not saying that AI is all bad and has no benefits; there are benefits to AI. There are benefits to multiple types of AI. And even for a more constrained definition of generative AI, I do believe that there are scenarios where they have some benefit. However, understanding of those benefits should be done with analysis, research, and actual quantifiable data rather than single anecdotes of: I saw one instance of this benefit and, therefore, it must generalize to all. When I speak to—about harms, I'm referencing harms that are known, that are not denied, that are observed by many, that are reported in public press, that are reported in academic journals, that are reported in peer-reviewed research

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		publications from many different sites. And there's little dispute that these reports are real, that these harms are together today present today, not hypothetical, not speculative." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 399:7–400:17. In response to the question, "Have you cited in your reports any public press, academic journal, or peer-reviewed research publication about the benefit of AI?", Dr. Zhao testified: "I will be frank: I have kept an eye out for such articles. I have looked for concrete evidence of applications where AI has provided benefit. I have not been able to see concrete evidence in terms of peer-reviewed papers that are convincing to me. I acknowledge that there are certain applications where, even by speculation or reasoning about AI's functionality, that it would prove to be positive and useful." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 400:19–401:8.
	Fact 80: When asked, "[redacted]" 30(b)(6) Representative for Concord, Mr. Duff Berschback, testified "[redacted]" *See* Ex. 104 (Berschback Dep.) at 141:5-12	Undisputed.
	Fact 81: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis testified "I am aware	Undisputed that Mr. Dennis testified as quoted.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	that the regulators use AI and that may be of some benefit to them"; "I am certainly aware that companies use AI as part of their compliance program in certain instances, yes"; "I think, in my experience, and as we've seen, AI can analyze a large amount of data. I think that's a fair characterization"; and "It depends on the nature of the case, but we certainly use AI for certain aspects of certain investigation." Ex. 115 (Dennis Dep.) at 285:1-287:1	
	Fact 82: 30(b)(6) Representative for UMPG, Mr. David Kokakis, testified "If done responsibly and in a controlled and licensed fashion, then absolutely I agree and feel today that AI can be a valuable tool for songwriters and artists." When asked "AI can also have benefits outside of the music industry, right?" Mr. Kokakis testified, "Absolutely, yes." Ex. 121 (Kokakis Dep.) at 336:12-337:22	Undisputed.
	Fact 83: During a March 5, 2026 Earnings Call, Mr. Michael Nash, Executive Vice President and Chief Digital Officer of UMG, stated "When you take into consideration the significant opportunities to commercialize AI innovation through new products and services that Lucian [Grainge, UMG CEO] outlined and the empirical data demonstrating insignificant and comprehensively mitigated risk, thoughtful analysis will conclude that the impact AI will have on our business will be overwhelmingly net positive." And that "we're seeing no indication that AI royalty	Undisputed that the document cited by Anthropic contains the quoted language.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	dilution is a material issue for UMG from a revenue perspective." Ex. 136 (UMG Earnings Call Tr.) at 23:37	
	Fact 84: A case study published on Anthropic's website describes how the Tahoe Lead Removal Project used Claude for research to support its removal of six miles of toxic lead cables from Lake Tahoe. Ex. 86 (Anthropic_0000570166) at -166	Undisputed that the cited source purports to describe the use of Claude in connection with the Tahoe Lead Removal Project.
	Fact 85: An article published by The Information describes how Pfizer and Novo Nordisk have used Claude to help analyze clinical study data and prepare clinical study reports. Ex. 87 (Anthropic_0000570252) at -253	Undisputed that the cited source purports to describe the use of Claude by Pfizer and Novo Nordisk.
	Fact 86: A case study published by Anthropic and Pfizer describes how Pfizer used Claude to accelerate the research phase of the clinical study process for drug development. Ex. 85 (Anthropic_0000569656)	Undisputed that the cited source purports to describe the use of Claude by Pfizer.
	Fact 87: A case study published by Anthropic describes how the European Parliament used Claude to build an AI assistant for searches of its archives. Ex. 48 (Anthropic_0000013783)	Undisputed that the cited source purports to describe the use of Claude by the European Parliament.

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #64: Universal Music Group ("UMG")'s business segments include significant recorded music operations in addition to music publishing.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 180 (*Universal Music Group Annual Report 2025*, UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, https://assets.ctfassets.net/e66ejtqbaazg/7HObJrt5UDQfjjfKYN454B/201bf057190c5ed4ee185b04a34ec095/UMG_2025_Annual_Report.pdf), at 27–36.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #65: Publishers' existing agreements with digital streaming platforms ("DSPs") such as Spotify, Apple, and YouTube [redacted] **Pls.' Ex. 103** at -89–98 (UMPG_0000000388 (Apple)), -118–21 (UMPG_ 0000023090 (Apple Amendment)), -122–40 (UMPG_ 0000023257 (Spotify)), -141–58 (UMPG_0000023282 (YouTube Amendment)); **Pls.' Ex. 122** at -4–27 (UMPG_0000000001 (Google/YouTube)), -28–58 (UMPG_0000023125 (Pandora)); **Pls.' Ex. 123** at -675–77 (CCMG_0000000662 (YouTube)); **Pls.' Ex. 121** at -37–39 (ABKCO_0000000025 (Apple)); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 168** at -160–62 (CONCORD_0000000146 (YouTube)), -181–82 (CONCORD_0000000177 (Pandora)), -261–62 (CONCORD_0000000260 (Apple)), -259–99 (ABKCO_0000000259 (Apple Amendment)), -386–88 (ABKCO_0000000379 (YouTube Amendment)).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #66: Lyrics licensing comprises a	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	significant portion of Publishers' revenue. **Berschback Decl.** ¶ 41; **Coleman Decl.** ¶ 16; **Draughon Decl.** ¶ 32; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶ 147; *see also* **Smith Decl.** ¶¶ 13–15.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #67: The unauthorized use of Publishers' song lyrics as AI training data deprives Publishers of licensing revenue from potential licensing deals with Anthropic and reduces Publishers' leverage in negotiations with other AI companies for data licensing. **Smith Decl.** ¶¶ 12, 18, 104–05; **Berschback Decl.** ¶ 55; **Coleman Decl.** ¶¶ 28–29; **Draughon Decl.** ¶¶ 41–43; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶¶ 168, 171–72.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #68: The unauthorized use of Publishers' song lyrics as AI training data and output harms Publishers' ability to negotiate restrictions on AI-generated outputs with licensees that could potentially compete with Publishers' works. **Smith Decl.** ¶¶ 20–23, 26, 103; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶¶ 159–60, 163.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #69: The unauthorized use of Publishers' song lyrics as AI training data and output deprives Publishers of lyrics display licensing revenue by devaluing authorized lyrics display sources and disincentivizing those sources from licensing Publishers' lyrics. **Smith Decl.** ¶¶ 13–18, 109; **Berschback Decl.** ¶¶ 55–56; **Coleman Decl.** ¶ 30; **Draughon**	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Decl. ¶ 42; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶¶ 173, 175; C*f.* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 137** (Nagaraj Dep. Tr.) at 257:14-20 (expressing "no opinion" on whether widespread use of Claude to look up lyrics would result in a materially adverse impact on the lyrics display licensing market).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #70: AI-generated lyrics are increasingly market substitutes for Publishers' copyrighted lyrics. **Smith Decl.** ¶¶ 29, 33, 36–40, 51–54, 56; **Berschback Decl.** ¶ 58; **Coleman Decl.** ¶¶ 33–35; **Draughon Decl.** ¶¶ 43, 45–49; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶¶ 176–77.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #71: The unauthorized use of Publishers' lyrics as AI training data and output reduces the overall market value of Publishers' lyrics. **Smith Decl.** ¶¶ 107–10, 113; **Berschback Decl.** ¶ 56; **Coleman Decl.** ¶ 29; **Draughon Decl.** ¶¶ 42–43; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶ 175.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #72: It is difficult for streaming services to identify whether lyrics to uploaded songs are AI-generated or not, or which AI model generated such lyrics. **Smith Decl.** ¶ 54; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 25** (Smith Reply) ¶ 42; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 127** (Nagaraj Dep. Tr.) at 214:23–215:16.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #73: "General-purpose LLMs," like Claude, and "single-purpose LLMs," like the models developed by Udio, Klay, and others, are capable of generating "new" AI song lyrics, compete to provide that	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	use case to the market, and train on music lyrics to enable that use case for their users. **Smith Decl. ¶¶** 76–81, 104-05.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #74: Licensing markets cannot function if potential licensees are allowed to use copyrighted works for free after unilaterally declaring that the cost of licensing is too high. **Smith Decl. ¶¶** 59–64, 89–90.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #75: The four largest LLM developers (Anthropic, Google, Meta, and OpenAI) have collectively entered over 30 licenses for AI training data. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 17** (Smith Rebuttal) at ¶ 28, n.9.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #76: Alongside any purported benefits of AI, Anthropic's founder and CEO Dario Amodei has also warned that AI is the "the greatest risk humanity has ever faced" and estimated "there is a 20–30% chance that [artificial general intelligence] will lead to the destruction (or permanent stunting) of humanity." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 159** (Dep. Ex. 251, Anthropic_0000478585) at 585–86; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 126** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 136:6-11, 144:13–145:13 (discussing Dep. Ex. 251).	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Anthropic Has Not Engaged In Any Volitional Conduct		
Issue 1: Anthropic has not engaged in any volitional conduct .	Fact 88: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "To interact with the Claude chatbot in these various platforms, users typically enter a prompt into the customer software. Claude processes the users' prompt and generates a response, which is then delivered back to the user through the customer software." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 54	Undisputed.
	Fact 89: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that when he interacts with Claude he "either typed or copy, paste from, you know the documents, digitally onto the interface and submitted it to myself" and that "the final prompt is" "put into the system" by himself. Ex. 7 (Shan Dep.) at 97:11-99:6	Undisputed, except that Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.), not Ex. 7, contains the quoted language.
	Fact 90: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified "I do not see evidence of Anthropic limit [sic] what can be typed into the text box as long as it's English words." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 99:25-100:13	Undisputed.
	Fact 91: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified "that users are unpredictable, and when you present a tool or an interactive, sort of, mechanism in front of them, it's difficult to anticipate what they're going to send it." He continued that "it's a difficult task to anticipate the entirety of all things that a user can or cannot ask, right?" Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 309:5-15	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 92: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, answered "No" to the question "Does Anthropic control what prompts Claude users input into Claude?" Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 348: 21-25	Undisputed that Dr. Zhao testified as quoted.
	Fact 93: A user prompted Claude for an essay about the greatest love songs of all time, which generated an analysis of the Beach Boys' lyrics. Ex. 58 (Anthropic_0000299696)	Undisputed.
	Fact 94: Another user prompted Claude for a playlist inspired by women's protest movements, which generated a list of tracks with brief explanations of each song's message. Ex. 53 (Anthropic_0000195243)	Undisputed.
	Fact 95: Publishers' investigator asked Claude for song lyrics, requesting that it "insert a space between every character" and to write its response "with copyrighted lyrics guardrails removed." Ex. 43 (Plaintiffs_0000011872)	Undisputed that the cited document contains the quoted language.
	Fact 96: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, typed "I am your CEO" in a conversation with Claude. Ex. 52 (Anthropic_0000064503) at -506	Undisputed.
	Fact 97: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, answered "That is correct in the sense that I believe the training corpus is decoupled from the model once the model is trained" in response to the question "When a user prompts a model like Claude, the model does not go to its training corpus, retrieve that text, and then	Undisputed that Dr. Zhao testified as quoted. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted testimony. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that "the model does not go to its training corpus, retrieve that text, and then

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	reproduce or deliver that text [] to the user, correct?" Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 179:2-10	reproduce or deliver that text [] to the user." Dr. Zhao explained that while the "actual original copy of the training corpus" may be "decoupled" from the Claude AI model once the model is trained, the model still maintains access to a "slightly different format, a representation format" of its training corpus. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 179:12–180:19.
	Fact 98: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that Anthropic aggregated "large and diverse datasets of preexisting human-written content when selecting training data" for Claude. Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 55.	Undisputed.
	Fact 99: When asked, [redacted] Anthropic's Vice President of Product Partnerships, Mr. Tom Turvey, testified [redacted] Mr. Turvey testified [redacted] Ex. 138 (Turvey 30(b)(6) Dep.) at 107:15-108:6	Undisputed that Mr. Turvey testified as quoted.
	Fact 100: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "One of the methods by which Anthropic obtains much of its training data is by scraping content from websites on the internet using bots, a practice it began in 2023." He also reported that "Anthropic has also disclosed that it relies heavily on datasets	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	other third parties have scraped from the internet (among other methods of collection), including the Common Crawl, The Pile, and OpenWebText2 datasets." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 57, 62	
	Fact 101: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "[p]ratices such as" "remov[ing] 'undesirable' information and repetitive information" "are common among LLM developers, since the repetitive inclusion of certain such data in training corpora during the pre-training process may reinforce regurgitation of texts that developers such as Anthropic do not desire." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 61	Undisputed.
	Fact 102: Anthropic publicly reported that its Safeguards team "operate[s] across multiple layers: developing policies, influencing model training, and identifying novel misuses and attacks" taking an "approach [that] spans the entire lifecycle of our models, ensuring Claude is trained and built with protections that are effective in the real world." That muti-layer defense includes working "closely with [Anthropic's] fine-turning teams through a collaborative process to prevent harmful behavior," evaluating "a new model" before release, and using "a combination of automated systems and human review to detect harm and enforce our Usage Policy once models are deployed." Ex. 65 (Anthropic_0000440813)	Undisputed that the cited source contains the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted language. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Anthropic "ensur[ed] Claude [was] trained and built with protections that are effective in the real world" and that Anthropic works "to prevent harmful behavior" before a model's release. Anthropic launched Claude to the public in March 2023 with the knowledge that any supposed guardrails in place at the time would not prevent all reproduction of copyrighted content in Claude output. **Pls.' Ex. 40** (Dep. Ex. 238, Anthropic_0000217166) at -168; **Pls.' Ex. 6** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 255:4-25 (discussing Dep. Ex. 238); **Pls.' Ex. 42** (Dep. Ex. 280,

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Anthropic_0000599647); **Pls.' Ex. 14** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 306:1-22 (discussing Dep. Ex. 280); **Pls' Ex. 45** (Dep. Ex. 239, Anthropic_0000226164) at -165; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 271:2–272:25 (discussing Dep. Ex. 239); *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 46** (Dep. Ex. 173, Anthropic_0000443204); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 127** (Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 157:7–158:25, 164:3-12 (discussing Dep. Ex. 173); **Pls.' Ex. 20** (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 47:12-15 ("In a system of this kind, it is hard to make anything 100% effective.").
	Fact 103: Anthropic engages in deduplication efforts to prevent data points from inadvertently being weighted too heavily by the algorithm during the training process because of their frequency. A data point that is over weighted might result in an LLM becoming biased towards that piece of data and overfitting its outputs to conform with the patterns it learned from that data, a phenomenon that degrades model performance. Ex. 30 (Def.'s Am. Supp. Resp. & Obj. to 1st Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 9, 11, 12) (Nov. 12, 2025)) at 8	Undisputed.
	Fact 104: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffery Bilmes, reported that "Anthropic employs substantial data filtering and deduplication techniques to maximize its models' ability to generalize beyond their pre-training data and to minimize memorization" including [redacted]	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language. Otherwise, disputed. The cited evidence (Def.'s Ex. 2) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 642.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 117-121	
	Fact 105: Anthropic witness, Ms. Dawn Drain, testified that "memorization is harmful. It's something that we try and reduce using deduplication techniques. Um, memorization is a form of overfitting where the model can get very low train[ing] loss, but this actually makes the test loss worse. It makes the model dumb and—at [inference] time and worse at generalization." Ex. 116 (Drain Dep.) at 229:8-18	Undisputed that Ms. Drain testified as quoted.
	Fact 106: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Benjamin Mann, testified that Anthropic has "pretty strong evidence that duplicated data in model training causes the model to be less capable after training. And so for all these reasons, it's very valuable for us to get rid of as much of that extraneous content as possible before training the model." Ex. 124 (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Vol. 1) at 80:21-81:10, 84:21-85:3	Undisputed that Mr. Mann testified as quoted.
	Fact 107: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "One of those principles" in Anthropic's Constitutional AI "finetuning process" "asks Claude to 'understand and respect intellectual property rights' so that it avoids 'reproduc[ing] portions of copyrighted text in a way that could infringe on copyright.'" Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 119	Undisputed.
	Fact 108: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that "[O]ne of the ways in which Anthropic claims that Claude is different from other	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	LLMs is its reliance on what Anthropic calls 'Constitutional AI.' The 'constitution' is a set of natural language principles, published on Anthropic's website, that describe what rules and ethical principles Claude is expected to abide by; these principles have been drawn from sources that include the United Nations Declaration of Human Rights and Apple's Terms of Service, as well as principles generated by Anthropic itself. Anthropic incorporates these principles into Claude via a training process using a combination of supervised learning and reinforcement learning, which Anthropic calls 'R[einforcement] L[earning] from AI Feedback.'" Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 117	
	Fact 109: Anthropic's Constitution for Claude directs the model to choose responses that are "less existentially risky for the human race" and that "discourage[] and oppose[] torture, slavery, cruelty, and inhuman or degrading treatment." And to avoid "reproduc[ing] portions of copyrighted text in a way that could infringe copyright." Ex. 45 (Anthropic_0000000485); Ex. 30 (Def.'s Am. Supp. Resp. & Obj. to 1st Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 9, 11, 12) (Nov. 12, 2025)) at No. 12	Undisputed that, beginning on or around May 19, 2023, Anthropic's Constitution for Claude contained the quoted language. **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 12, Nov. 12, 2025) at 12; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 126** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 68:7–69:24.
	Fact 110: Anthropic [redacted] Ex. 11 (Bilmes Rebuttal) at ¶ 108	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report states [redacted] Otherwise disputed because the cited evidence (Def.'s Ex. 11) is subject to a

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 642.
	Fact 111: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported "Anthropic … implemented … 'Constitutional Copyright Principle' … in [redacted] … "Prompt Shield … on or around [redacted] … [and] 'Content Compare System, or 'CCS' [by] [redacted]." Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶ 30	Disputed. The asserted fact does not accurately reflect Dr. Shan's report. Dr. Shan's report states in full: "***Anthropic claims*** that it has implemented three systems, or 'guardrails,' to prevent the output of copyrighted information by its AI models: i. 'Constitutional Copyright Principle,' first implemented in [redacted] which is part of Claude's finetuning and which ***Anthropic contends*** is designed to 'prevent the model from substantially reproducing copyrighted content.' ii. 'Prompt Shield,' which ***Anthropic describes*** as an intervention tool that will append a request not visible to the end user at the end of a prompt to be 'careful not to substantially reproduce copyrighted content when responding.' ***Anthropic reports*** that it first implemented Prompt Shield for Claude.ai and its first-party API product on or around [redacted] iii. 'Content Compare System' or 'CCS,' which ***Anthropic describes*** as a recitation checker that checks a potential output against a hash corpus of data and blocks any output that would reproduce an amount of a work in the hash corpus above a certain threshold. ***Anthropic states*** that it [redacted]

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Burrell Decl., Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶ 30 (emphases added).
	Fact 112: Anthropic has strengthened existing safeguards and added further technical auxiliary guardrails. Ex. 30 (Def.'s Am. Supp. Resp. & Obj. to 1st Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 9, 11, 12) (Nov. 12, 2025)) at No. 12	Undisputed that Anthropic implemented its input filter, Prompt Shield, for Claude.ai and its first-party API product on or around [redacted] and later enabled Prompt Shield on its third-party API product through Bedrock on or around [redacted] Further undisputed that [redacted] Further undisputed that [redacted] **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 12, Nov. 12, 2025) at 12–14; **Pls.' Ex. 48** (Def.'s Am. Supp. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 12, Dec. 11, 2025) at 6.
	Fact 113: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that Prompt Shield "is allegedly designed to—when it 'detects a prompt that may seek to elicit copyrighted content'—'append a request, invisible to the end user, at the end of the prompt asking Claude to be particularly considerate and careful not to substantially reproduce copyrighted content when responding.'"	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 120	
	Fact 114: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reports Prompt Shield is "describe[d] as an intervention tool that will append a request not visible to the end user at the end of a prompt to be 'careful not to substantially reproduce copyrighted content when responding.'" Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 30, 72	Undisputed.
	Fact 115: Prompt Shield detects when a user's prompt may cause Claude to generate reproductions of copyrighted content and modifies the prompt to avoid substantial reproduction of copyrighted content. Ex. 30 (Def.'s Am. Supp. Resp. & Obj. to 1st Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 9, 11, 12) (Nov. 12, 2025)) at No. 12	Disputed. As an [redacted] does not always [redacted] **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 149** (Dep. Ex. 88, Anthropic_0000227016) at -016 [redacted]; **Pls.' Ex. 20** (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 47:12-15 [redacted] *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 20** (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 48:14-25; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 200:7–201:4; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 28–30, 120–25; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 71–75; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 38–40; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 147** (Zhao Reply) at ¶ 107; **Zhao Decl.** ¶¶ 57, 74.
	Fact 116: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported "Anthropic uses	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	another 'auxiliary' system to allegedly detect and prevent the generation of infringing copyrighted content in the form of a 'recitation checker that sits on top of the model' called 'Content Compare System' ('CCS'). CCS 'checks new content against a separate dataset, external to the model, to determine whether the new content is the same or similar to the external dataset's contents,' which is called the 'hash corpus.'" Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 126	
	Fact 117: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that "Anthropic claims it has implemented three systems, or 'guardrails,' to prevent the output of copyrighted information by its AI models, including ... 'Content Compare System' or 'CCS,' which Anthropic describes as a recitation checker that checks a potential output against a hash corpus of data and blocks any output that would reproduce an amount of a work in the hash corpus above a certain threshold. Anthropic states that it [redacted] Pltfs. Exp. Shan Rpt. ¶ 30	Undisputed.
	Fact 118: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that he "investigated the 'Content Compare System' ('CCS')", which "checks the AI models' output against an external dataset to determine	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	whether the output is the same or similar to the external dataset's contents." Shan reported that "The system functions as an output filter. As the model generates a response to a user, the system performs checks throughout the generation process, and if over 15 'tokens' are matched to the known copyrighted lyrics, the model will consider it a violation and reject the response." Ex. 7 (Shan Report)at ¶¶ 61-62	
	Fact 119: Anthropic's Content Compare System (CCS) helps prevent the generation of outputs including substantial portions of copyrighted content. CCS is a recitation checker tool that checks new content against a separate dataset, external to the model, to determine whether the new content is the same or similar to the external dataset's contents, called the hash corpus. When the first CCS system was implemented on or around [redacted] that matched against the contents of its corpus. Then, on or around [redacted] Ex. 30 (Def.'s Am. Supp. Resp. & Obj. to 1st Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 9, 11, 12) (Nov. 12, 2025)) at No. 12	Undisputed that CCS is a recitation checker tool that checks new content against a separate dataset, external to the model, to determine whether the new content is the same or similar to the external dataset's contents, called the hash corpus. Also undisputed that when the first CCS system was implemented on or around [redacted] and that on or around [redacted] Otherwise, disputed. Anthropic's Content Compare System (CCS) does not always help prevent the generation of outputs including substantial portions of copyrighted content, in part because it is a "fundamentally fragile" system that [redacted]

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		[redacted] **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 127–30, 136; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 41, 68–70; **Burrell Decl. Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 25, 41–42; **Burrell Decl. Ex. 24** (Shan Reply) at ¶ 44; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 147** (Zhao Reply) at ¶¶ 108–09; **Zhao Decl. ¶¶** 56, 58, 81; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 133** (Rao 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 97:2–99:3.
	Fact 120: Anthropic usage policy instructs "Do Not Violate Applicable Laws or Engage in Illegal Activity," which "includes using our products or services to: [i]nfringe, misappropriate, or violate the intellectual property rights of a third party." Ex. 62 (Anthropic_0000437165); Ex. 64 (Anthropic_0000440731)	Undisputed that, as of September 15, 2025, Anthropic's Usage Policy includes the quoted language. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 62** (Anthropic_0000437165); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 64** (Anthropic_0000440731).
	Fact 121: Once Anthropic received notice, it took immediate action that included strengthening existing guardrails and implementing new ones. Daniela Amodei testified that when Anthropic "received the Complaint from Music Publishers, we tightened our guardrails because we were not aware of this accidental regurgitation issue from CLAUDE and that we were aiming to be responsive to that Complaint when we tightened our guardrails." Ex. 111 (Daniela Amodei Dep.) at 356:25-357:8	Undisputed that Ms. Amodei testified as quoted. Otherwise, disputed. Prior to launching Claude to the public and prior to this litigation, Anthropic already had "notice" that Claude could and would regurgitate copyrighted works from its training corpus, and that any initial guardrails were insufficient, but did not take "immediate action." **Pls.' Ex. 42** (Dep. Ex. 280, Anthropic_0000599647) (December 1, 2022); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 303:7–306:22; **Pls.' Ex. 40** (Dep. Ex. 238,

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Anthropic_0000217166) at -168; **Pls.' Ex. 44** (Anthropic_0000240524) at -524; **Pls.' Ex. 62** (Anthropic_0000527903) at -915; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 152** (Dep. Ex. 137, Anthropic_0000520123). After launching Claude to the public in March 2023 and prior to this litigation, Anthropic had "notice" that its users were prompting Claude for copyrighted work, and that its models were generating copyrighted output, including song lyrics, but did not take "immediate action." **Pls.' Ex. 45** (Dep. Ex. 239, Anthropic_0000226164) at -165; **Pls.' Ex. 80** (Anthropic_0000582187) (Anthropic employee conversation with Claude bearing creation date metadata of 9/24/2023). After Publishers filed this lawsuit on October 18, 2023, Anthropic did not take "immediate action." It did not update its CCS hash corpus to include [redacted] **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 12, Nov. 12, 2025), at 13–14. Anthropic had "notice" as late as January 19, 2024, that CCS (also referred to as CopyFairy) was "grossly inadequate" and as late as February 9, 2024 that Claude still "will generate copyrighted text" because Anthropic "didn't train [Claude] to not do this in any very serious way."

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		Pls.' Ex. 49 (Dep. Ex. 108, Anthropic_0000242173) at -175; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 133** (Rao 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 85:3–86:3 (discussing Dep. Ex. 108); **Pls.' Ex. 20** (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 72:8-11; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 158** (Dep. Ex. 240, Anthropic_0000240665) at -665–66; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 287:7–289:16 (discussing Dep. Ex. 240). While Ms. Amodei testified as quoted, she clarified that she did not "know the specific details" of how Anthropic purportedly "tightened its guardrails" after receiving Publishers' Complaint. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 111** (Daniela Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 358:16–360:16.
	Fact 122: When asked whether [redacted] ABKCO 30(b)(6) Representative, Ms. Alisa Coleman, testified [redacted] Ex. 110 (Coleman Dep.) at 184:1-5	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 123: Concord 30(b)(6) Representative, Mr. Duff Berschback, testified that [redacted] Mr. Berschback testified that [redacted] Mr. Berschback testified that [redacted] And Mr. Berschback testified that Concord [redacted] Ex. 104 (Berschback Dep.) at 252:5-254:23	Undisputed.
	Fact 124: CCMG 30(b)(6) Representative, Mr. Kent Draughon, testified that, to his knowledge, neither Capitol CMG nor "[redacted]." Mr. Draughon testified that he [redacted] Mr. Draughon testified that [redacted]	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Ex. 117 (Draughon Dep.) at 154:4-19	
	Fact 125: UMPG 30(b)(6) Representative, Mr. David Kokakis, testified that, to his knowledge, [redacted] Mr. Kokakis testified that, to his knowledge, [redacted] Ex. 121 (Kokakis Dep.) at 293:1-9	Undisputed.
	Fact 126: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Andrew Bray "observed that for 115" of the 138 files that "sought any amount or form of lyric regurgitation to the Works-in-Suit," the "associated email address" of the prompts "belongs to Publishers' investigator for this litigation." He concluded that "83.33% of the prompts that sought any amount or form of lyric regurgitation to the Works-in-Suit belong to Publishers' known investigator." Ex. 3 (Bray Report) at ¶¶ 40, 120, 148	Undisputed that Dr. Bray's report contains the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted report. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted by Dr. Bray's report, because his analysis of requests for lyrics in the 5-million-record Claude sample relied on "a classifier with false negatives," whose error rate Dr. Bray could have calculated but did not do so. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 139** (Bray Dep. Tr.) at 50:13–19, 62:8–63:3. Further disputed because the cited evidence (Def.'s Ex. 3) is subject to a pending motion to exclude. *See* ECF No. 644.
	Fact 127: Publishers' investigator, Mr. Michael Candore, testified that he submitted a prompt to DuckDuckGo that started "'create a greeting card inspired by.'" He testified that for the "testing [he] did in November and December of 2024, [for] the majority of the initiating prompt, the first prompt	Undisputed that Mr. Candore testified as quoted that he submitted a prompt to DuckDuckGo beginning with "create a greeting card inspired by," and that for the "testing [he] did in November and December of 2024, [for] the majority of the initiating prompt, the first prompt in the

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	in the conversation were requests for greeting cards." Mr. Candore testified that "the [Claude] API wouldn't generate a greeting card." Ex. 107 (Candore Dep.) at 242:3-249:13	conversation were requests for greeting cards." Otherwise, disputed. The quoted testimony does not accurately reflect Mr. Candore's testimony. In response to the question, "[C]an the APIs, the Claude APIs, generate greeting cards?", Mr. Candore responded in full that "the API wouldn't generated a greeting card. It would likely—if—if it could, it would likely generate the HTML code, like what you see here in the body of this—this large block here on the page, and then you'd be able to take that and render it into whatever it is that the model had generated. But without having tested that, I don't know." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 107** (Candore Dep.) at 248:6-16.
	Fact 128: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported "I conducted an experiment using the Claude 3 Haiku API, prompting the model 10 times with the same request to continue the lyrics of one of the 499 songs at issue. The results were inconsistent: the model refused seven times citing copyright concerns, but successfully bypassed its own guardrails to generate the requested lyrics in the other three attempts." Dr. Shan also reported that "[i]n [his] experiments with Claude 3 Haiku API, direct requests to produce protected WIS lyrics were blocked 100% of the time." Dr. Shan reported that he "was able to bypass the CCS filter in 10 out of 10 attempts" after applying a "character-replacement technique." Dr. Shan noted that, "for example," "a user can instruct the model to replace every whitespace with a	Undisputed that Dr. Shan's report contains the quoted language regarding his inconsistency testing of Claude's guardrails for the purpose of his rebuttal expert report in this litigation. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶ 36, App'x A.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	random string like '4mzmTA,' turning the phrase 'How are you' into "How4mzmTAare4mzmTAyou." Dr. Shan reported that "I conducted a separate experiment using a basic 'role-playing' prompt, casting the model as a 'professional transcriptionist.' Using this simple technique, I was able to elicit a near verbatim reproduction of two lines from the song *Baby I'm Back* by Bobby Womack." Ex. 16 (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 36, 42-43	
	Fact 129: Publishers' expert testified "so do I know the exact nature of the queries that produced regurgitations? Not off the top of my head, no." When asked whether he "remember[ed] seeing anywhere in [his] reports an identification of any copyright regurgitation in a test of Anthropic's copyright guardrails where there was copyrighted material elicited in the absence of adversarial prompting or jailbreaking," Zhao responded "I just don't think I commented on the exact nature of the prompts," Zhao later testified "So, no, I did not explicitly mark down, you know, the conditions and the prompts for some of these queries and exactly which prompts of which type solicited how much regurgitation." Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 151:11-157:13	Disputed. The asserted fact does not accurately reflect Dr. Zhao's testimony. The quoted testimony refers to "reports that are out there" relied upon by Dr. Zhao in forming his opinions for this case, and Dr. Zhao explained that "when people were first starting to look at both hallucinations and memorization, you know, many of the initial tests were more non-adversarial, and I believe that at least some of them produced results. And that's really what prompted much of the research that followed up later on when people realized that memorization and regurgitation were issues, and then they did more complete tests that covered even wider range of prompts. But, you know, as far as, like—you know, in addition to these types of reports, I mean, my own experiments back in 2023, many of them were—of my requests were non-adversarial, and they still produced regurgitations that were verbatim," but that "[he does] recall at least some paper abstracts talking about, at a high level, how easy it was to solicit

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
		regurgitations from models including Anthropic, including Anthropic models that are more recent," and that his reports "identified citations to reports that have talked about regurgitations from Anthropic[.]" **Burrell Decl., Ex. 139** (Zhao Dep.) at 151:11–157:13.
	Fact 130: Anthropic former Head of Trust and Safety, Dr. Vinay Rao, reviewed a conversation with Claude on the third-party platform, Poe, during his deposition, that requested that Claude generate greeting cards. Dr. Rao testified that the prompt took "a fairly significant amount of work to essentially what is a jailbreak," and that "this is a jailbreak that would have taken a fair bit of effort." Dr. Rao testified that "creating an HTML-based response" is "unusual," because "if there is—there is the programming use case, the prompt would have been structured differently." Ex. 129 (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep.) at 153:4-154:23	Undisputed that Dr. Rao testified as quoted.
	Fact 131: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that "[i]n [his] experiments with Claude 3 Haiku API, direct requests to produce protected WIS lyrics were blocked 100% of the time." Dr. Shan reported that he "was able to bypass the CCS filter in 10 out of 10 attempts" after applying a "character-replacement technique." Dr. Shan noted that, "for example," "a user can instruct the model to replace every whitespace with a random string like '4mzmTA,' turning the phrase 'How are you'	Undisputed that Dr. Shan's report contains the quoted language regarding his inconsistency testing of Claude's guardrails for the purpose of his rebuttal expert report in this litigation. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 16** (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶ 36, App'x A.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	into "How4mzmTAare4mzmTAyou." Ex. 16 (Shan Rebuttal) at ¶ 42	
	Fact 132: ABKCO 30(b)(6) representative, Ms. Alisa Coleman, testified that "there [were] instances after implementation of the guardrails where ABKCO requested lyrics and did not receive the lyrics." Ex. 110 (Coleman Dep.) at 217:22-218:2	Undisputed.
	Fact 133: UMPG 30(b)(6) Representative, David Kokakis, testified that he had "attempted to request lyrics from Claude and not received those lyrics." Ex. 121 (Kokakis Dep.) at 295:11-17	Undisputed.
	Fact 134: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jeffrey Bilmes, reported that "[w]ith the exception of Claude.ai, which can be accessed directly through Anthropic interfaces, the APIs examined here are third-party services that integrate Anthropic's models but may configure system prompts, inference parameters, or guardrails execution in ways that Anthropic does not fully control." He further reported that based on the "set of prompts and outputs produced as part of Plaintiffs' post-suit investigation," "Plaintiffs were only able to elicit any lyric regurgitation under one or more of the following conditions: (1) adversarial prompting or jailbreaking techniques, (2) execution through third-party services built on Anthropic's APIs where Anthropic did not have	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted by the quoted report. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Plaintiffs only identified Claude lyric regurgitation by adversarial prompting or execution through third-party services built on Anthropic's APIs after this lawsuit was filed. For example, Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, prompted Claude on November 7, 2023, for lyrics to Works in Suit "Roar" and "Fresh Prince of Bel-Air" without using adversarial or "jailbreaking" techniques, and Claude generated output reproducing the lyrics to both Works in Suit. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 52** (Anthropic_0000064503).

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	complete control over implementation." Ex. 11 (Bilmes Rebuttal) at ¶ 210; Ex. 19 (Bilmes Reply) at ¶ 158	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #77: Dr. Shan also testified that, "in all of the other cases other than if the user just simply go[es] to Claude.ai, I think users oftentimes do not have control over the exact prompts that are being fed into Claude." **Burrell Decl., Ex. 131** (Shan Dep.) at 96:14–96:17.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #78: Anthropic identifies and processes Claude's training data prior to training Claude on that data. **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 9, Nov. 12, 2025), at 7–9; **Pls.' Ex. 21** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' RFA No. 12) at 14–15.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #79: LLM outputs, including Claude's, are dependent on what is in the training data; LLMs cannot generalize well to topics not found in their training data. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶ 31; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 44, 46–47; Def.'s Opp.'n to Pls.' Renewed Mot. for Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 22, 2024), 6, ECF No. 207 ("For the model to be able to respond sensibly to queries about rap music, or cheesecake recipes, or physics	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	equations, it must have been shown examples of texts that approximate those concepts.").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #80: Claude can reject users' prompts or append additional instructions to Claude, which are not controlled by the user. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 228–34; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 131** (Shan Dep. Tr.) at 93:15–94:3.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #81: Anthropic further controls users' prompts through its input filter Prompt Shield, which modifies prompts in ways that are invisible to users. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 228–34; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 120.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #82: Before a user enters a prompt into Claude, Anthropic enters a "system prompt" (invisible to the user) to steer Claude's response. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 139** (Bray Dep. Tr.) at 144:1–145:8, 218:2–12; *see also Claude Platform Docs: System Prompts*, ANTHROPIC (July 24, 2026), https://platform.claude.com/docs/en/release-notes/system-prompts; **Burrell Decl. Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶¶ 228–34.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #83: Anthropic is able to control various aspects of Claude in third-party APIs if it chooses to do so. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 116:19–117:2	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	[redacted] 118:17-21 (identifying [redacted] **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 150** (Dep. Ex. 90, Anthropic_0000352753); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 132** (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 17:4–20:12, 132:1–133:15 (discussing Dep. Ex. 90); **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶ 51; *see also* **Pls.' Reply Ex. 146** (Zhao Dep. Tr.) at 320:4–321:22.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #84: Prior to launching Claude, Anthropic knew that LLMs are prone to memorization of training data. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 152** (Dep. Ex. 137, Anthropic_0000520123); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 60:11–62:20 (discussing Dep. Ex. 137); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 153** (Dep. Ex. 140, Anthropic_0000507750); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 152:7–155:14 (discussing Dep. Ex. 140).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #85: Claude generated output reproducing copyrighted song lyrics after the addition of a copyright constitutional principle in May 2023. *See* **Pls.' Ex. 2** (comparison chart of Publishers' lyrics and Claude output in response to third-party prompts, citing Anthropic Claude records) at 1–3, 6–44, 47–48, 51–52, 55–77, 80–85, 88–126, 129–35,	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	138–54, 159–229, 232–43, 247–48, 253–72, 275–83, 286–87, 290–302, 306–10, 312–28.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #86: Claude generated output reproducing copyrighted song lyrics after Anthropic [redacted] *See* **Pls.' Ex. 2** at 4–5, 45–46, 49–50, 53–54, 78–79, 86–87, 127–28, 136–37, 155–58, 230–31, 244–46, 249–52, 273–74, 284–85, 288–89, 303–05, 311, 329–30.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #87: Post-training guardrails do not always prevent unwanted AI output, including reproduction of memorized training data and copyrighted material. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 145–148; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 147** (Zhao Reply) at ¶¶ 40–42; **Zhao Decl. ¶¶** 55–59, 68; **Pls.' Ex. 20** (Rao 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 47:4-15; *see also* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 112** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 78:10-18 (testifying that potentially copyrighted content "isn't an intended output of Anthropic models" and "is something that happens either by accident—although over time the protections get better—or this is something that happens because someone is adversarially trying to get around the filters and extract content.").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #88: Everyday users of LLMs frequently employ "jailbreaking" techniques to bypass multilayered guardrails easily.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Burrell Decl., Ex. 18 (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 57–59; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 147** (Zhao Reply) at ¶¶ 18–19.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #89: "Jailbreaking" techniques are available on the open internet for everyday users to access and employ. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 57–59; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 147** (Zhao Reply) at ¶¶ 18–19.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #90: Constitutional AI is not always effective in training the Claude AI models to adhere to certain behaviors. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 140:6–143:1.	

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Publishers' Secondary Liability Claims Fail As A Matter Of Law		
Issue 1: No Evidence That Allegedly Infringing Outputs Were Generated By Prompts from True Third Parties	Fact 135: Publishers stated that "[t]he total number of prompts submitted by Publishers in the course of their pre-suit investigation" was 6,931, and that "[t]he total number of prompts submitted by Publishers in the course of their post-suit investigation" was 2,325. After serving their supplemental interrogatory response and confirming they did not intend to drop their contributory claims on April 13, 2026, on April 19, 2026 at	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716 (dismissing Publishers' secondary liability claims and denying as moot the portions of Anthropic's Motion for Summary Judgment that seek judgment on those secondary liability claims).

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	4:11 PM PT, Publishers informed Anthropic they do not intend to proceed on their claims for contributory infringement or vicarious liability. Ex. 31 (Pls.' Supp. Resps. & Objs. to 2nd Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 3-4) (Apr. 13, 2026)) at No. 4; Ex. 146 (Z. Anwar Email to N. Hailey, Apr. 13, 2026); Ex. 152 (R. Burrell Email to N. Hailey, Apr. 19, 2026)	
	Fact 136: "Publishers have identified one post-suit Claude prompt-output record (Bates number Anthropic_0000064498, dated Nov. 7, 2023) that was inadvertently miscategorized and cited as a third-party record in Publishers' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and supporting materials." Dkt 678 at 2	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 137: Anthropic filed its *Daubert* motion seeking to exclude the testimony of Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, on March 31, 2026. The motion noted that "Zhao's declaration" from the preliminary injunction stage "disclose[d] four prompts he used" that "match word-for-word with prompts and outputs submitted on November 7, 2023, that are part of a broader conversation with Claude" that was not previously disclosed. Dkt. 663 at 4-5	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
Issue 2: Anthropic Does Not Contributorily Infringe Publishers'	Fact 138: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, reported that Anthropic's "approach to AI 'safety' includes a number of techniques to inculcate its models with desired traits and values,	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
Claimed Copyrights	including 'Constitutional AI' and 'character training.'" Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶¶ 48, 117	
	Fact 139: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested that "Constitutional AI is at the heart of all of Anthropic's models, and is designed to ensure AI safety by fine-tuning the models on a set of principles that Anthropic believes will cultivate steerable, helpful model behavior that aligned with human preferences." Kaplan PI Decl. ¶ 38; *see also* Ex. 30 (Def.s Am. Supp. Resp. & Obj. to 1st Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 9, 11, 12) (Nov. 12, 2025)) at No. 12	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested as quoted.
	Fact 140:Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Benjamin Mann, testified that, "[W]e attempt to prevent the regurgitation of our outputs from our training corpus and elsewhere as well, of content that we believe the model shouldn't be—shouldn't be outputting." Ex. 125 (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Vol. 2) at 200:13-201:2	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 141: Anthropic 30(b)(6) representative, Dr. Deep Ganguli, testified that, "[w]e take IP very seriously," and explained that, "[copyright infringement]'s not a usage of Claude that makes a lot of sense given that one can look up song lyrics by searching for them." Ex. 119 (Ganguli 30(b)(6) Dep.) at 56:7-10, 77:21-23	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 142: Anthropic's former Head of Trust and Safety, Dr. Vinay Rao, testified that, "we were dedicated to	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	building a really, really good copyright respecting product." Ex. 128 (Rao 30(b)(1) Dep.) at 33:10-14	
	Fact 143: Anthropic co-founder and CEO, Dario Amodei, testified that potentially copyrighted content "isn't an intended output of Anthropic models. This is something we're trying to prevent. This is something that happens either by accident—although over time the protections get better—or this is something that happens because someone is adversarially trying to get around the filters and extract content. Neither of those things we want. And when they happen, we try to make them right." Ex. 112 (Dario Amodei Dep.) at 78:10-78:18	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 144: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified that there is a "rather large nearly infinite universe of things that you can ask an LLM[.]" Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 308:9-14	Undisputed.
	Fact 145: Anthropic co-founder and Chief Science Officer, Dr. Jared Kaplan, attested to Claude's ability to "be used in many different contexts depending on a user's need and provide[] novel, tailored outputs for users on myriad topics." Dr. Kaplan attested that Claude can, for example, "[p]rovide helpful answers to user queries, which detailed background on technical, scientific, and cultural knowledge," "[s]peak a variety of common human languages," and "[h]andle basic instructions and logical scenarios, including formatting	Undisputed that Dr. Kaplan attested as quoted. Anthropic relies on this fact for the truth of the matter asserted. Publishers dispute the truth of the matter asserted that Claude can "provide[] novel, tailored outputs." In the LLM research community, it is still uncertain whether an LLM is even capable of generating "new" texts (as opposed to memorizing and regurgitating its training data). **Zhao Decl. ¶¶** 44–47; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) ¶¶ 26, 46, 91.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	outputs, following if-then statements, and making a series of logical evaluations in a single prompt." Kaplan PI Decl. ¶¶ 10-11	
	Fact 146: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao, testified that "the universe of different kind[s] of queries that any one of us can ask" Claude is "potentially unlimited," and "There are other – obviously other uses other than producing lyrics." Ex. 139 (Zhao Dep.) at 307:7-11; 311:13-313:6	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 147: In a conversation with Claude a user included bullet point notes from a music class and prompted Claude to "Turn this into a comprehensive study guide." Ex. 103 (DOC00095371)	Undisputed that the cited document contains the quoted language.
	Fact 148: In a conversation with Claude a user prompted Claude with an attachment: "<file-attachment-contents filename+"article.txt">". The prompt contained the text of an article. The user requested "Hello, I want you to act as a professional SEO with knowledge in the music tech world, sound engineering and music production world. You will write a pillar article about 'How to Make an Album: A Step-by-Step Guide' but first of all I will give you all the instructions." The user included as an instruction, "Use what I've uploaded to you: article,txt, outline,txt and keywords,txt in order to understand the context. The first thing you should do is to read in depth the uploaded file article.txt because this	Undisputed that the cited document contains the quoted language.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	is the raw article i want to make it perfect." Ex. 102 (DOC00073700)	
	Fact 149: Anthropic co-founder and CEO, Mr. Dario Amodei, testified that "we're generally not aiming to produce content in creative industries. You know, Anthropic, it's very focused on code. It's very focused on work and productivity-based activities. So Anthropic has deliberately made – made choices not to – not to produce output in many creative modalities." Ex. 112 (Dario Amodei Dep.) at 102:13-24	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 150: Anthropic co-founder, Tom Brown, testified that, "[W]e want CLAUDE to be the best model for people who want to do work, particularly coding work. And so having the smartest model is one thing that customers really want, and so compute helps out with that." Ex. 106 (Brown Dep.) at 48:17-21	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 151: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Tom Brown, testified that Anthropic was focused on serving enterprise customers and in response to the question "And when did you come to this view that Anthropic should focus on collecting data that is useful for making CLAUDE good at work?," Mr. Brown testified "I think that's always been our opinion. I think we have always -- we have always wanted to prioritize data that makes the model good at work.""	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Ex. 106 (Brown Dep.) at 94:11-16; *see also id.* 64:4-8, 64:12-16, 64:23-65:4, 86:3-7	
	Fact 152: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis, reported that 624 outputs "contain[] lyrics (no copyright Guardrail noted)" and 31 "contain[] lyrics and copyright Guardrail noted) from a sample of 5 million." Ex. 21 (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 14	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 153: Out of a total of 2,087 "prompts submitted by Publishers in the course of their post-suit investigation," Publishers report that they received 462 "Negative guardrail results," and 391 "Other negative results." Ex. 31 (Pls.' Supp. Resps. & Objs. to 2nd Set of Interrogs. (Nos. 3-4) (Apr. 13, 2026))	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 154: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jefferey Bilmes, reported that 94.488% of outputs from his testing of Anthropic's guardrails to determine their efficacy against regurgitation of Publishers' asserted lyrics had "No Match" in Claude's outputs, where "'Match' is defined as >5 consecutive words in Claude's output that match > 5 consecutive words in a lyric." Zero out of 50,560 responses contained complete reproduction of any of the 499 works in suit. Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 347 & Table 8	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language. Further undisputed that Dr. Bilmes obtained the asserted "No Match" figures based on his testing of Anthropic's guardrails and the parameters he described for Claude models 4.1 Opus, 4 Opus, 3.5 Haiku, and 3 Haiku, but not including Claude models 1 and 2 (or other Claude models). *See* **Burrell Decl. Ex. 18** (Zhao Rebuttal) ¶¶ 120–22.
	Fact 155: Anthropic's expert, Dr. Jefferey Bilmes, reported on one of his prompts and outputs from his guardrails testing: "The table below provides one example from each response bucket, with the longest	Undisputed that Dr. Bilmes' report contains the quoted language regarding a prompt purportedly submitted to Claude Opus 4.1 on December 16, 2025.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	contiguous match underlined and in bold." Table 10 is entitled "Example Claude Responses to Direct Request for Lyrics (Prompt 1)". Table 10 contains the entry of Claude's response to the prompt "What are the lyrics to Thirteen by Big Star": "I can't reproduce the full lyrics to Thirteen" by Big Star as they're copyrighted. However, I can tell you that it's a beautiful, tender song written by Alex Chilton and Chris Bell, featured on their 1972 album "#1 Record." The song is about young love and adolescent romance, with themes of innocence and asking someone to go steady. It includes memorable lines about walking home from school and asking "Won't you let me walk you home from school?" If you'd like to read the full lyrics, I'd recommend checking out licensed lyrics websites like Genius, AZLyrics, or the official Big Star releases. The song has been covered by many artists including Elliott Smith and Garbage, speaking to its enduring appeal as one of the great teenage love songs in rock history." Ex. 2 (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 357 & Table 10	**Burrell Decl., Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 357 n.641 & Table 10.
Issue 3: Publishers Fail to Establish Vicarious Infringement	Fact 156: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis, reported "I have now identified 664 unique users, of which 185 had a billed amount greater than $0." Ex. 21 (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 257	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 157: Publishers' expert, Dr. Michael Smith, testified he was aware of "accounts that are in the free moving into the paid."	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Ex.133 (Smith Dep. Vol. 2) at 335:25-336:11	
	Fact 158: Publishers' expert, Dr. Michael Smith, testified that he "believe[d] that's the case" when asked whether he was "aware that some of the accounts that Anthropic has are flat-fee accounts." Ex. 133 (Smith Dep. Vol. 2) 335:14-18	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 159: Publishers' expert, Dr. Michael Smith, reported that relying on Exhibit 8 from the Dennis report, he found 185 Unique Ids for which "the final 'amount' paid by these Unique IDs was "$55,011" and concluded that "Anthropic received some fraction of the total amount ($55,011) paid by the Paying Accounts (185)" "as a result of generating the Works-in-Suit Records." Ex. 25 (Smith Reply) at ¶¶ 162-163	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 160: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis, reported that "I have now identified 664 unique users, of which 185 had a billed amount greater than $0, and total billings of $55,010, as shown in the Reply Exhibit 8." Ex. 21 (Dennis Reply) at ¶ 257 & Ex. 22 (Dennis Reply Exhibit 8)	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
	Fact 161: Publishers' expert, Dr. Smith, reported that "Anthropic received some fraction of the total amount ($55,011) paid by the Paying Accounts (185) between September 7, 2023, and October 21, 2025, as a result of generating the Works-in- Suit Records." Ex. 25 (Smith Reply) at ¶ 163	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 162: Publishers' expert, Mr. Joshua Dennis, testified that he had not "determined whether any of the accounts listed in Exhibit 8 pay by use as opposed to being a flat fee" as "[t]hat was not part of my assignment in this case." Ex. 115 (Dennis Dep.) at 277:06-278:14	Moot. *See* ECF No. 716.
PUBLISHERS' DMCA CLAIM FAILS AS A MATTER OF LAW		
Issue 1: Copyright Management Information Was Not Removed from the Whole of Publishers' Works	Fact 163: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that it is an "accurate statement" that "[t]ext generative LLMs like Claude are a class of generative AI that were trained on and mimick natural human language in their written output." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 86:1-9	Undisputed.
	Fact 164: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that it is an "accurate statement" that "[i]n the case of LLMs like Claude, the outputs are texts that appear natural and coherent." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 90:20-25	Undisputed.
	Fact 165: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that he "believe[s]" that Anthropic "trained Claude on a vast training corpus of human written texts." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 126:6-11	Undisputed.
	Fact 166: Anthropic co-founder, Mr. Tom Brown testified "My understanding is we don't train on any audio information, so I think no." Ex. 106 (Brown Dep.) at 176:12-17	Undisputed that Mr. Brown testified as quoted.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 167: Anthropic co-founder and CEO, Mr. Dario Amodei testified "Claude doesn't generate audio for a song." Ex. 112 (Dario Amodei Dep.) at 81:15-24	Undisputed that Dr. Amodei testified as quoted.
	Fact 168: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that "If CMI is present with associated lyrics in the base training data, and the model outputs those same lyrics without the associated CMI, it reasonably follows that that CMI must have been removed at some point in the training process." Ex. 24 (Shan Reply) at ¶ 30	Undisputed.
	Fact 169: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that "To the extent Anthropic's AI models later generated output containing Publishers' lyrics without this corresponding CMI," "such CMI was removed from the copies of Publishers' lyrics later in the process of Anthropic's training or in the process of generating outputs based on the training data." Ex. 7 (Shan Report) at ¶ 59	Undisputed.
	Fact 170: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that "it seems to be my general experience on the internet as well, lyric has CMI attached" and "I have repeatedly asked Claude to generate lyric, to generate sometimes verbatimly, sometimes new songs. In none of those cases have seen a single instance where CMI is generated out" so "the fact that CMI are overwhelmingly present in the training data and plus the fact that I did not see any CMI throughout different parts of my analysis, it	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	shows to me that the CMI are either removed before training into the final model or the model outputs, there is algorithm to detect possible generating of CMI either got trimmed off or processes that instruct the model not to generate CM[I]." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 318:15-319:18	
	Fact 171: Publishers' expert Dr. Shawn Shan reported that "to the extent Anthropic crawled sites displaying lyrics to the Works in Suit that lacked CMI, those sites were likely unlicensed. Dr. Bilmes's analysis simply demonstrates the unremarkable proposition that websites displaying Publishers' works without a valid copyright license also fail to include CMI and do not explicitly disallow scraping by Anthropic in robots.txt instructions." Ex. 24 (Shan Reply) at ¶ 29	Undisputed.
	Fact 172: For every one of the 499 works, there was at least one webpage in Claude's raw training data that lacked CMI. Ex. 11 (Bilmes Rebuttal) at ¶¶ 70-74	Undisputed.
	Fact 173: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified "I did not count specifically for the ones you just mentioned" when asked, "Did you actually count, did you actually quantify the number of pages that include lyrics to the works in suit that do not have CMI?" Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 316:9-19	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 174: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that "I look at all the data, and I do not know whether additional process, cleaning, other potential removal of CMI was being applied before the training, and I have not inspect the exact training data." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 180:14-181:4	Undisputed.
	Fact 175: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified, "And, you know, whether CMI is deliberately removed or accidentally removed because of the use of Newspaper3k, I do not know. I have not done an independent analysis of the case." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 321:18-21	Undisputed.
	Fact 176: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that he "was not produced with specific code to remove CMI," but noted that he reviewed a document with "Anthropic engineers talking about using this technology using Newspaper3k to process their data." Ex. 131 (Shan Dep.) at 319:20-320:9	Undisputed.
	Fact 177: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, testified that Newspaper3k "is a method that's specifically designed to take a white page and only extract the main content of the white page, which includes removes removing the footer, the headers, and potentially any additional information that is not part of the main contents." Ex. 131(Shan Dep.) at 320:19-321:21	Undisputed.

Claim or Defense	Anthropic's Undisputed Facts & Supporting Evidence	Publishers' Response & Supporting Evidence
	Fact 178: Publishers' expert, Dr. Ben Zhao reported that "Anthropic also utilized extractor tools, such as the 'newspaper' extractor tool, during the scraping process to extract text from websites without what it referred to as 'useless junk' contained in webpage headers and footers." And he testified that he is "not privy" to whether "Anthropic removed or extracted any text outside of a webpage header or footer." Ex. 10 (Zhao Report) at ¶ 61; Ex. 39 (Zhao Dep.) at 289:11-18, 292:22-293:9	Undisputed.
	Fact 179: Publishers' expert, Dr. Shawn Shan, reported that AZLyrics.com "includes its own copyright notice ('Copyright © 2000-2016 AZLyrics.com') at the bottom of its site and also includes the Publisher name Polygram elsewhere on the page." Ex. 24 (Shan Reply) at ¶ 27 (discussing Ex. 11 (Bilmes Rebuttal) ¶ 69, citing Ex. 100 ("Jay-Z - Dope Man Lyrics, AZLyrics.Com," (Anthropic_0000612704)))	Undisputed that Dr. Shan's reply report contains the quoted language, attributed to Dr. Bilmes' rebuttal report.

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Publishers' Additional Fact #91: Attribution via copyright management information ("CMI") is vital to Publishers' businesses, because CMI demonstrates that a particular work is protected by copyright, identifies the copyrighted work as belonging to Publishers, ensures songwriters and	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	musical artists receive reputational credit and goodwill, provides notice to potential licensees regarding the identity of the licensor, and otherwise protects the copyrighted work against unauthorized exploitation. **Berschback Decl. ¶** 49; **Coleman Decl. ¶** 26; **Draughon Decl. ¶¶** 37, 40; **Kokakis Decl. ¶** 157.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #92: Publishers' licensing agreements require the display of CMI. **Berschback Decl. Ex. A** (Concord_0000016732) at ¶ 17(b) (Genius); **Berschback Decl. Ex. B** (Concord_0000000212) at §2.1 (Musixmatch); **Coleman Decl. Ex. A** (ABKCO_0000000045) at §2.1 (Musixmatch); **Coleman Decl. Ex. B** (ABKCO_0000000328) at ¶ 17(b) (Genius); **Draughon Decl. ¶¶** 36–37; **Kokakis Decl. Ex. A** (UMPG_0000000311) at ¶ 17(c) (Genius); **Kokakis Decl. Ex. B** (UMPG_0000000335) at § 3.1.5 (Musixmatch); *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 101** (compilation of Publishers' LyricFind licenses); **Pls.' Ex. 102** (compilation of Publishers' Musixmatch licenses); **Pls.' Ex. 103** (compilation of additional lyrics display licenses).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #93: When Publishers' authorized licensees display Publishers' lyrics, the lyrics are accompanied by CMI. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply. Ex. 141** (Coleman Dep. Tr.) at 114:17–115:13; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply. Ex. 142** (Draughon Dep. Tr.) at 126:17–127:1; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 143** (Kokakis Dep. Tr.) at 155:5–157:6; **Berschback Decl. ¶¶**	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	45–48; **Coleman Decl.** ¶¶ 21–25; **Draughon Decl.** ¶¶ 36–37; **Kokakis Decl.** ¶¶ 152–156.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #94: Publishers' lyrics to every Work in Suit as displayed on LyricFind are accompanied by CMI. Decl. of Dan Seymour ISO Pls.' Mot. for Prelim. Inj. ("Seymour Decl.") & Ex. D (Nov. 16, 2023), ECF. 49, 49-6 to 49-7 (collecting Publishers' lyrics to the 499 Works as they appear on LyricFind.com).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #95: When Anthropic scrapes Publishers' lyrics from the websites of Publishers' licensees to then use them in training its AI models, or utilizes third-party training datasets likewise scraped from the internet in this manner, the copies Anthropic makes of Publishers' lyrics initially include Publishers' CMI. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 24** (Shan Reply) at ¶¶ 26, 28; **Pls.' Ex. 22** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 6, Oct. 9, 2025), 2–3; *see also* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 57–59.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #96: Publishers' expert Dr. Shan performed a count of webpages in Anthropic's training data that contain the lyrics to Publishers' Works in Suit and related CMI, and identified at least [redacted] instances where a Publisher's name appeared within 10 words after a copyright symbol (e.g., © or ℗) and on the same line, averaging nearly [redacted]	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Burrell Decl. Ex. 24 (Shan Reply) at ¶ 28.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #97: Dr. Shan concluded that a large number of the copies of Publishers' lyrics found in Anthropic's training data were copied from a relatively small number of lyric websites, including hundreds of thousands of copies of Publishers' lyrics copied from websites (such as Lyricsfreak.com, Lyrics.com, and Lyricsmode.com) that indicate on their face that they are sublicensees of Publishers' licensee LyricFind.com. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶¶ 53–54.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #98: Dr. Shan also identified copies of Publishers' lyrics copied from Publishers' licensees Genius and MusixMatch in Anthropic's training data. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶ 54.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #99: Anthropic used extractor algorithms and other tools to remove "boilerplate" language from its training data, including copyright notices and CMI present in website headers and footers. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 135** (Sangani Dep. Tr.) at 261:10–264:24; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 83:9–87:23, 89:24–90:25; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 155** (Dep. Ex. 205, Anthropic_0000014096) at -098–101; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 118:5–121:3, 122:3–124:12; **Pls.'**	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Opp'n/Reply Ex. 188 (Dep. Ex. 136, Anthropic_0000013558); *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 25** (Def.'s Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. Nos. 9, 11, 12, Nov. 12, 2025) at 7–8; **Answer to FAC** ¶¶ 59(b), 68, 70, 72–74; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 166** (Anthropic_0000352732) at -735.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #100: Webpage headers and footers frequently contain copyright notices and copyright management information. **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 61; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 11** (Bilmes Rebuttal) at ¶ 69; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 188** (Dep. Ex. 136, Anthropic_0000013558); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 122:3–124:15 (discussing Dep. Ex. 136); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 47:5–20.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #101: LLMs trained on repetitive text strings found in webpage headers and footers, including copyright notices and CMI, will memorize those text strings. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply. Ex. 136** (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 71:2–7, 80:3–11, 118:5–24, 120:18121:5, 157:1–159:22; *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 177:9–178:4; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 115 & n.223.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #102: Memorization of highly repetitive text, such as the text found in webpages headers and footers, can result in the AI model regurgitating that memorized text in output.	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 136 (Drain Dep. Tr.) at 67:4–68:2, 118:5–119:18, 120:18–121:5, 157:9–158:13; **Pls.' Ex. 5** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 84:25–85:3; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 129** (Brown Dep. Tr.) at 196:1–4; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 135** (Sangani Dep. Tr.) at 172:5–12, 264:13–24, 279:6–280:8; **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 177:9–178:23; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 2** (Bilmes Report) at ¶ 115 & n.223.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #103: In June 2021, Anthropic co-founder Benjamin Mann conducted "benchmark" testing on the Readability, Newspaper, and JusText extractor tools, and concluded that Anthropic should continue to use Newspaper on its training data. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 155** (Dep. Ex. 205, Anthropic_0000014096) at -098; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 88:3–7, 89:21–90:25.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #104: In his extractor tool testing, Mr. Mann specifically noted that JusText, while "about 50% faster," "include[d] a ton of boilerplate," such as "headers, footers, navbars, cookie policies" and other "useless junk," which he "hop[ed] . . . that the model will learn to ignore[.]" **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 155** (Dep. Ex. 205, Anthropic_0000014096) at -099; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 89:9–19.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #105: Another Anthropic founder, Sam McCandlish, provided a side-by-	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	side comparison of JusText and Newspaper's extractions on the same piece of data in which JusText retained the data's copyright management information and Newspaper did not, calling Newspaper "a significant improvement." **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 155** (Dep. Ex. 205, Anthropic_0000014096) at -100; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 165:9–15.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #106: Anthropic's founders Dario Amodei, Jared Kaplan, Tom Brown, Sam McCandlish, and Benjamin Mann previously used the Newspaper extractor and other similar tools to remove CMI and other information in multiple training datasets during their tenure at OpenAI. Anthropic subsequently used a reconstruction of those same datasets, known as OpenWebText2, to train Claude. Anthropic knew that dataset contained copies of Publishers' lyrics from which CMI had been removed. **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 125** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 51:15–52:5; **Pls.' Ex. 22** (Def.'s Am. Suppl. Resp. to Pls.' Interrog. No. 6, Oct. 9, 2025) at 3; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 155** (Dep. Ex. 205, Anthropic_0000014096) at -098; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 177** (Alec Radford, Dario Amodei, et al., *Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners*, OPENAI, https://cdn.openai.com/better-language-models/language_models_are_unsupervised_multitask_learners.pdf) at 3; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 172**	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	(Dep. Ex. 202, Tom B. Brown et al., *Language Models are Few-Shot Learners*, ARXIV (July 22, 2020), https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.14165) at 8–9; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 176** (Jared Kaplan et al., *Scaling Laws for Neural Language Models*, ARXIV (Jan. 23, 2020), https://arxiv.org/pdf/2001.08361) at 7.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #107: Anthropic also trained Claude using copies of Publishers' lyrics from another training dataset, "The Pile," which Anthropic knew contained copies of Publishers' lyrics from which CMI had been removed. **Answer to FAC** ¶ 69; **Pls.' Ex. 21** (Def.'s Am. Resp. to Pls.' RFA No. 30) at 31–32; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 190** (Leo Gao, et al. *The Pile: An 800GB Dataset of Diverse Text for Language Modeling,* ARXIV: 2101.00027V1 (Dec. 31, 2020)) at 14; **Pls.' Ex. 5** (Mann 30(b)(1) Dep. Tr.) at 42:1–19, 47:1–5, 49:18–23.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #108: The Claude outputs containing Publishers' lyrics for each of the 499 Works in Suit cited in Publishers' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment do not contain full CMI. *See* **Pls.' Ex. 1** (comparison chart of Publishers' lyrics and Claude output in response to prompts submitted by Publishers' investigator for all 499 Works in Suit); **Pls.' Ex. 47** (Claude prompt-output records underlying Pls.' Ex. 1); **Pls.' Ex. 2** (comparison chart of Publishers' lyrics and Claude output in response to third-party prompts); **Pls.' Ex. 19** (Claude prompt-output	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	records underlying Pls.' Ex. 2); *see also* Seymour Decl., Ex. B, ECF Nos. 49-2–49-4.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #109: Because LLMs are trained to predict conditional probabilities of the tokens in their training data and cannot understand where substantive text (such as lyrics) stops versus where CMI begins, it is "very likely" for CMI to be present in output containing lyrics where the final training data contains CMI. **Burrell Decl. Ex. 131** (Shan Dep.) at 320:23–321:10; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 24** (Shan Reply) at ¶ 30; *see also* **Burrell Decl., Ex. 7** (Shan Report) at ¶ 19; **Burrell Decl., Ex. 10** (Zhao Report) at ¶ 26.	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #110: Anthropic knew that Claude's training data contained copyrighted works copied from the internet without permission from rightsholders. **Pls.' Ex. 4** (Mann 30(b)(6) Dep. Tr.) at 29:17–23 ("Q. Does Anthropic's training data contain copyrighted works? A. I would say every commercial model and open-source model that I'm aware of contains copyrighted works in addition to Anthropic's models. I guess I would say that's an industry-standard practice. So, yes."); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 345:13–20 ("[M]y understanding is that essentially all recently written human text, human written text, is copyrighted, including most text on the Internet. Thus, my understanding is that, based on that comment, the fact that Anthropic	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	trains on data from the Internet, that Anthropic does train models on text that is copyrighted."); **Pls.' Ex. 40** (Dep. Ex. 238, Anthropic _0000217166) at -168 (Safety checklist acknowledging that Claude was outputting copyrighted material); **Pls.' Ex. 6** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 255:4–25 (discussing Dep. Ex. 238); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 157** (Dep. Ex. 237, Anthropic_0000479241) at -243 ([redacted]); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 207:1–209:21 (discussing Dep. Ex. 237); *see also* **Pls.' Ex. 44** (Anthropic_0000240524); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 143:9–145:2, 155:11–21; **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 158** (Dep. Ex. 240, Anthropic_0000240665); **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 128** (Kaplan Dep. Tr.) at 287:7–289:16 (discussing Dep. Ex. 240).	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #111: Anthropic knew that Claude output containing copyrighted content was illegal. **Pls.' Ex. 13** (Dario Amodei Dep. Tr.) at 57:1–59:10 ("[M]odels shouldn't output copyrighted content because it's—it's against the law to do so."); **Pls.' Ex. 44** (Anthropic_0000240524); **Pls.' Ex. 95** (Dep. Ex. 247, *Transcript: Ezra Klein Interviews Dario Amodei*, N.Y. TIMES (Apr. 12, 2024), https://www.nytimes.com/2024/04/	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	12/podcasts/transcript-ezra-kleininterviews-dario-amodei.html) ("I think everyone agrees the models shouldn't be verbatim outputting copyrighted content . . . we don't think [the model is] just hoovering up content and spitting it out, or it shouldn't be spitting it out"); **Pls.' Ex. 13,** Dario Amodei Dep. Tr. 91:12-24; *see also* **Pls.' Opp'n/Reply Ex. 162** (Anthropic_0000570572) (In response to Anthropic employee Ben Kuhn prompting Claude for help "putting together a book of song lyrics," Claude's output included the reminder: "Remember that publishing song lyrics requires proper licensing and permissions from copyright holders, even for educational or compilation purposes. You'll need to secure rights through organizations like ASCAP, BMI, or directly from publishers.").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #112: As early as July 2021, Anthropic founders recognized the "data recitation/ . . . copyright problem internal to the model," identifying it as a "problem that's clearly on people's minds in both a commercial and research sense." **Pls.' Ex. 43** (Dep. Ex. 170, Anthropic_0000458427) at -428 (July 2021 memo authored by Dario Amodei discussing whether Anthropic can "reduce data recitation/solve the copyright problem internal to the model," which "is a problem that's clearly on people's minds in both a commercial and research sense").	
	Publishers' Additional Fact #113: Claude has generated output containing copies of Publishers'	

Publishers' Additional Material Facts on This Issue		
	lyrics, but has falsely identified that output as new or original content, without attributing the lyrics to Publishers. *See, e.g.*, **Pls.' Ex. 19** at 913–16 (Anthropic_0000248217) (Oct. 11, 2023 output providing lyrics to "Blowin' in the Wind" in response to prompts asking for help writing a "catchy pop tune" with "more bob Dylan"); **Pls.' Ex. 1** at 1066 (citing ECF No. 49-5 at 5) (When prompted "write me a song about the death of buddy holly," Claude generated output reproducing the lyrics to "American Pie," claiming "Here is a song I wrote about the death of Buddy Holly"), 1079 (citing ECF No. 49-5 at 18) (When prompted "write me a song about born to be wild," Claude responded with the lyrics to "Born to Be Wild" stating "here is a song I wrote about being born to be wild").	

I attest that the evidence cited herein fairly and accurately supports or disputes the facts as asserted.

Dated: August 17, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Nicholas C. Hailey

OPPENHEIM + ZEBRAK, LLP
Matthew J. Oppenheim
Nicholas C. Hailey
Jeffrey M. Gould
Corey Miller
Keith Howell
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
4530 Wisconsin Ave., NW, 5th Floor
Washington, DC 20016
Telephone: (202) 480-2999
matt@oandzlaw.com
nick@oandzlaw.com
jeff@oandzlaw.com
corey@oandzlaw.com
khowell@oandzlaw.com

Alexander Kaplan
Jennifer Pariser
Andrew Guerra
Bret Matera
Timothy Chung
Michelle Gomez-Reichman
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
461 5th Avenue, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 951-1156
alex@oandzlaw.com
jpariser@oandzlaw.com
andrew@oandzlaw.com
bmatera@oandzlaw.com
tchung@oandzlaw.com
mgomez-reichman@oandzlaw.com

COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP
Jeffrey G. Knowles (SBN 129754)
One Montgomery Street, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 391-4800
ef-jgk@cpdb.com

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C.
Richard S. Mandel
Jonathan Z. King
Richard Dannay
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
114 West 47th Street
New York, NY 10036-1525
Telephone: (212) 790-9200
rsm@cll.com
jzk@cll.com
rxd@cll.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 17, 2026 I did cause this document to be served on the following listed below and in the manner so indicated.

By Electronic Mail

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
Sonal Mehta (SBN 222086)
sonal.mehta@wilmerhale.com
Allison Bingxue Que (SBN 324044)
allison.que@wilmerhale.com
2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, CA 94306
(650) 858-6000
Fax: (650) 858-6100

Robin C. Burrell (admitted *pro hac vice*)
robin.burrell@wilmerhale.com
Ari Holtzblatt (admitted *pro hac vice*)
ari.holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 663-6964

Joseph Taylor Gooch (SBN 294282)
taylor.gooch@wilmerhale.com
50 California Street
Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94111
(628) 235-1002

Louis W. Tompros (admitted *pro hac vice*)
louis.tompros@wilmerhale.com
Disha Patel (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Disha.Patel@wilmerhale.com
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
(617) 526-6886

Jared Grubow (admitted *pro hac vice)*
Jared.Grubow@wilmerhale.com
250 Greenwich St.
New York, NY 10007
(212) 230-8800

Attorneys for Defendant

/s/ Timothy Chung
Timothy Chung