

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREA BARTZ and KIRK WALLACE
JOHNSON, individually, and ANDREA
BARTZ, INC., CHARLES GRAEBER, and
MJ + KJ, INC., individually and as
representatives of the class,

No. C 24-05417 WHA

Plaintiffs,

ORDER RE STIPULATION

v.

ANTHROPIC PBC,

Defendant.

The parties have stipulated to proposals regarding expert evidence (Dkt. No. 342).


Most of the proposals concern production of information underlying experts' reports or further inquiries into each other side's experts (including inquiries into communications among and between experts, parties, and counsel, as well as the subpoenas related thereto). These portions (§§ 1–9, 11–12) are **ALLOWED**.

Another proposal concerns delaying disclosure of testifying experts' demonstrative exhibits. This portion (§ 10) is **DISALLOWED**. Rule 26 and the undersigned's standing order require experts to attach these to their opening reports for obviously good reason. The Court will not relax that requirement in advance. But the Court will take into account whether minor modifications later would involve any actual prejudice to the other side.

1 The remainder of the stipulation concerns *Daubert* deadlines. The stipulated schedule for
2 the *Daubert* challenge to the per-work list (§ 13) is **ALLOWED**. Note well, however, that this
3 schedule pertains to a *Daubert* challenge to trial evidence. It does not address use of the per-
4 work list for purposes of class notice. The final provision respecting all other *Daubert*
5 challenges to trial evidence (§ 14) is **ALLOWED**.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: August 24, 2025.

9
10 
11 WILLIAM ALSUP
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28