[counsel on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL SULLIVAN, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

v.

META PLATFORMS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:26-cv-06793-VC

STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING EXPERT DISCOVERY

Trial Date: None
Date Action Filed: July 2, 2026

Judge: The Hon. V. Chhabria

The Parties in the above-captioned action, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, have agreed and stipulated to certain protocols regarding expert discovery in the above captioned action ("Expert Stipulation"), subject to approval by the Court.

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. This Expert Stipulation applies to the Parties to the above captioned action and provides the protocols for conducting expert discovery.

2. Except as provided otherwise herein, expert discovery shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent that this Expert Stipulation imposes limitations on discovery which otherwise would be available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Parties have agreed to any such limitations. Neither the terms of the Stipulation and Order nor the Parties' agreement to them shall be considered an admission by any person that any of the information restricted from discovery by this Stipulation and Order would otherwise be discoverable or admissible.

3. This Expert Stipulation shall apply to all prior and future work by experts in this action.

4. Nothing in this Expert Stipulation shall limit or waive a Party's right to object to the admission into evidence of any opposing Party's expert report or opinion(s) or other materials, or to the qualification of any person to serve as an expert witness.

5. The Parties agree that they are not required to produce or exchange any log of any material, otherwise discoverable, that is precluded from discovery or disclosure pursuant to this Expert Stipulation.

II. NON-TESTIFYING EXPERTS

6. Except as provided for in the Protective Orders entered in these cases, the Parties agree that there shall be no discovery or disclosure with respect to non-testifying experts; provided, however, that if a Party retains as a consulting expert a person who is otherwise a fact witness, only that person's communications within the scope of a bona fide consulting expert agreement shall be exempt from discovery or disclosure under this paragraph. If a Party retains as a consulting expert a person who is otherwise a fact witness, the retaining Party shall disclose the identity of such person to the opposing

Party. A fact witness consulted solely for purposes of factual investigation, information gathering, or fact development in connection with this litigation shall not, by virtue of such consultation, be deemed a consulting expert and need not be disclosed as a consulting expert.

7. The Parties agree that notes, drafts, written communications, or other records of preliminary or intermediate work prepared or created by, or at the direction of, non-testifying experts shall not be the subject of any form of discovery (including by deposition).

8. The Parties agree that budgets, invoices, bills, receipts, or time records concerning non-testifying experts or their staff, assistants, colleagues, or associates, or their companies or organizations, shall not be the subject of any form of discovery (including by deposition).

9. To the extent a non-testifying expert is later designated and disclosed as a testifying expert, that person shall be subject to discovery or disclosure pursuant to Section III of this Expert Stipulation governing testifying experts, including with respect to any documents that would otherwise be subject to protection under this Section II arising from that person's work as a non-testifying expert.

III. TESTIFYING EXPERTS

A. Expert Materials to Be Disclosed

10. Within three (3) business days after service of a report under Rule 26(a)(2)(B), the Party submitting the report shall produce:

a. all documents relied upon by the expert in forming their opinions for such report, except to the extent such documents have already been produced in the above captioned litigation or are publicly available, and can be readily identified from the report;

b. a copy of all data and other information relied upon by the expert in forming their opinions for such report, including, but not limited to, underlying data, spreadsheets (including formulas therein), computerized regression analysis and/or other underlying reports, programs, computer codes and schedules sufficient to reconstruct the work, calculations, and/or analyses upon which the testifying expert is relying for their opinions;

c. exhibits, information, charts, tables or data processed or modeled by a computer at the direction of a testifying expert and relied upon by the testifying expert in the course of forming the testifying expert's opinions;

d. all raw and final data sets exactly as relied upon by the testifying expert, and all spreadsheets in the expert report or exhibits thereto in native format; and

e. copies of industry reports and articles, as well as copies of any excerpt(s) of books, as relied upon by the testifying expert.

11. Information required by Paragraph 10 shall be produced electronically (via email, disc, or FTP site), and (where feasible) in native format or, if native format is not available, a format from which all data contained within is readily extractable. To the extent that such disclosures include charts, tables, exhibits, information or data processed or modeled by computer, the submitting Party shall produce machine-readable copies of the charts, tables, exhibits, information or data (including all linked or used data and data files, input and output files, formulas contained within spreadsheet cells and similar electronic information necessary to understand the charts, tables, exhibits, information or data) sufficient to allow the replication of all analysis contained in the report, along with a list of all computer programs used by the expert for any numerical or other analysis in the report; the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding access to and use of any program(s) that are not commercially available. In addition, to the extent such disclosures include information gleaned from websites and from third-party sources not readily available, copies of the content of those websites or third-party sources shall also be provided. For avoidance of doubt, the disclosure requirement in this paragraph does not apply to any demonstratives that a Party may create for future court hearings or trial. The use and disclosure of expert demonstratives at trial will be governed by a pre-trial stipulation or order.

12. Documents that have been previously produced in the above captioned action need not be produced if they are identified by Bates number.

13. Transcripts of depositions taken and previously produced in the above captioned action need not be produced if the producing Party identifies in writing the deponent names and dates for transcripts.

B. Expert Materials Not Requiring Disclosure and Limits on Discovery

14. The below-listed categories of documents, communications, and other recording media need not be disclosed by any Party, and an expert may not be examined at deposition, hearing or trial on the contents of the below-listed categories of documents, communications, and other recording media:

a. any notes or other writings taken or prepared by or for an expert witness in connection with this matter (aside from the final written expert report(s) and notes generated or referred to by the expert while testifying at deposition, hearing, or trial), including written correspondence or memoranda to or from, and notes of conversations between and among, the expert witness and (i) the expert's assistants and/or clerical or support staff (regardless of title), (ii) other expert witnesses or non-testifying expert consultants, including their assistants and/or clerical or support staff (regardless of title); (iii) attorneys for the Party or Parties for whom the expert is offering opinions and their clerical or support staff; and (iv) the Party or Parties for whom the testifying expert is offering opinions;

b. copies of materials produced by any Party in this litigation bearing the notes, markings, or comments of the expert, the expert's assistants and/or clerical or support staff, other expert witnesses or non-testifying expert consultants (including their staff), or attorneys for the Party or Parties and their clerical or support staff;

c. any draft reports, draft studies, draft work papers, draft declarations, or other draft materials or preliminary work product prepared by, for, or at the direction of, an expert witness or his or her staff or agents, regardless of the form in which the draft is recorded; and

d. the content or fact of any communications, written or otherwise exchanged, recounted, or memorialized in connection with the above captioned action among and between a testifying expert and any other person, including: (i) the testifying expert's assistants and/or clerical or

support staff (regardless of title), (ii) other expert witnesses or nontestifying expert consultants (including their assistants and/or clerical or support staff), (iii) attorneys for the Party or Parties and their

clerical or support staff, regardless of the form of such communications, and (iv) the Party or Parties for whom the testifying expert is offering opinions; and

e. any budgets, invoices, bills, receipts, work plans, or time records concerning a testifying expert's work in the above captioned action.

15. Except as stated in Paragraph 17, the foregoing exclusions from discovery set forth in Paragraph 14 do not apply to any facts, information, communications, or documents that the expert relies upon as a basis for forming their opinions in the above captioned action.

16. This Expert Stipulation does not preclude questions at a deposition, hearing, or trial related to a testifying expert's compensation, the number of hours the testifying expert expended in preparing their opinion(s), or the compensation paid to any consulting or supporting experts, firms, or staff who assisted them, for the preparation of the testifying expert's opinion(s).

17. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent questions relating to the substance of the testifying expert's opinion(s) (including alternative theories, methodologies, variables, or assumptions that the testifying expert may not have relied upon in forming his or her opinions). Thus, notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, a testifying expert may be presented at a deposition, hearing, or trial with documents, testimony, or other materials not disclosed in this Section III and questioned about whether the testifying expert relied or did not rely on such documents, testimony, or other materials in formulating their opinion(s), and whether such documents, testimony, or other materials would cause the testifying expert to alter their opinion(s) in any respect. A testifying expert's communications with their employees and staff and non-testifying expert consultants (including their assistants and/or clerical or support staff), as well as any communications with counsel for the producing Party as to the relevance or significance of facts or data and as to the consideration of alternatives, however, are completely exempt from disclosure, provided, however, that if a testifying expert testifies at deposition, hearing, or trial that they considered a particular alternative theory, methodology, variable, assumption, or data

source, such testimony shall not be deemed a waiver of the protections set forth in this paragraph, but shall permit inquiry into the expert's basis for considering or rejecting such alternative.

18. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed to preclude or limit the discoverability of any documents or other information relied upon by an expert witness in preparing his or her report(s) or that is otherwise discoverable under any order of the Court.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: November 16, 2026

By: */s/David Slarskey*

SLARSKEY LLC
David N. Slarskey (pro hac vice)
dslarskey@slarskey.com
Adam Hollander (pro hac vice)
Deepa Devanathan (pro hac vice)
Phillip Gasperetti (pro hac vice)
825 Third Avenue, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10022
Tel: (212) 658-0661

ARCHSTONE LAW GROUP, P.C.
Brenda Ulrich (pro hac vice pending)
bulrich@archstonelaw.com
Riverside Center
275 Grove Street, Suite 2-400
Newton, MA 02466
Tel: (781) 314-0100

GAW | POE LLP
Randolph Gaw (S.B. #223718)
rgaw@gawpoe.com
Christopher A. Wimmer (S.B. #263275)
cwimmer@gawpoe.com
One Embarcadero Ctr., Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 766-7451
Fax: (415) 737-0642

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: November 16, 2026

By: */s/Jessica Phillips*

DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP
Karen L. Dunn (pro hac vice)
kdunn@dirllp.com
Kyle N. Smith (pro hac vice)
ksmith@dirllp.com
Meryl C. Governski (pro hac vice)
mgovernski@dirllp.com
Jessica E. Phillips (pro hac vice forthcoming)
jphillips@dirllp.com
401 Ninth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004-2637
Tel: (202) 240-2900

COOLEY LLP
Mark R. Weinstein (SBN 193043)
mweinstein@cooley.com
Elizabeth L. Stameshkin (SBN 260865)
lstameshkin@cooley.com
3175 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
Tel: (650) 843-5000

Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2026

The Honorable Vince Chhabria

United States District Judge